1) **Revised results**  
   a. Scores from teams 2, 4, & 6 have been revised over the last week.  
   b. Results this week have more accurate scores included and are more reliable.  
   c. The score averages are still similar.  
   d. Some flagged programs were included in the results if zeroes were entered instead of question marks.  
      i. These zeroes will need to be changed.  
      1. Changes will be entered directly into the summary sheets.  
      ii. Changes will be submitted by Wednesday.  
   e. There was concern about anonymity.  
   f. There was discussion about inter-rater reliability.  
   g. A frequency chart of the revised scores shows a normal distribution.

2) **How to place programs into categories**  
   a. There are 4 categories in which the programs could be placed.  
      i. Merit additional investment  
      ii. Sustain at present level  
      iii. Consolidate or reorganize/ Reduce funding  
      iv. Phase Out  
   b. There was discussion as to whether the scores would be given with the categories.  
   c. There was discussion about how programs would be categorized with their scores. Some ideas were presented.  
   d. There were different suggestions for how programs can be categorized.  
      i. Standard deviation method  
      ii. Flat (top 20, etc.)  
      iii. Conceptual threshold for each program  
      iv. Two task group members will work with the Chair for categorizing the programs according to the 3 scoring options.

3) **Next Steps**  
   a. Scores will be edited and resubmitted by Wednesday  
   b. Flagged programs will be reviewed.