## ASSESSMENT REPORT

California State University East Bay
College of Business and Economics

## Summary

| Program | Program in College of Business and Economics |
| :--- | :--- |
| Learning Goal | LG 4: Students who graduate will be able to integrate information from different <br> functional areas of business when making managerial decisions. |
| Learning Objective | LO 4A: Students who graduate will be able to analyze and integrate knowledge across <br> disciplines to make managerial decisions to reach solutions to complex business <br> problems. |
| Rubric | Used to assess student work or artifact. Available at AOL website and end of report. |
| Assessed Course(s) | MGMT 693 |
| Assessment Date(s) | Fall 2020 |
| Artifacts Archival | Rubric score sheets saved. |
| Performance Targets | At least 70\% of students will meet expectations on overall rubric score. |
| Results to Targets | $83 \%$ of student overall rubric score meet or exceed expectations. |

## Assessment Results Table(s)

- The top row lists each trait from the learning objective rubric.
- The first column shows the possible scores given to each student.
- The data inside the table list the number and percentage of students' scoring for each trait.
- The percentage of students scoring below expectations for each trait is highlighted in green.
- The bottom two rows show the percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations for each trait and the percentage of student overall scores meeting or exceeding expectations (highlighted in yellow).


## Results

|  | Trait 1: <br> Analysis of <br> key issues | Trait 2: <br> Concept <br> application | Trait 3: <br> Evaluation of <br> options and <br> decisions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceeds Expectation (4) | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Percentage | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Meets Expectation (3) | 33 | 39 | 30 |
| Percentage | $68 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Below Expectation (0-2) | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| Percentage | $17 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Total Number of <br> Students | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Meets or Exceeds by <br> Trait | $83 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Overall Meets or <br> Exceeds | $83 \%$ |  |  |

## Rubric

| L04A: Intergration |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traits | Exceeds Expectations (6-8 pts) | Meets Expectations (3-5 pts) | Below Expectations (0-2 pts) |
| Trait 1: Analysis of key issues | Student develops a wellintegrated statement of all the key issues facing the organization. <br> Student provides an indepth, coherent analysis of the key issues and clearly explains how criteria/ principles from various business disciplines are integrated and affect the case. | Student appropriately identifies most of the key issues facing the organization. <br> Student provides a basic analysis of the key issues and considers how criteria/principles from various business disciplines impact each other and the case. | Student neglects to identify the key issues facing the organization or identifies the issues inappropriately. <br> Student provides weak analysis of the key issues, minimally applies criteria/principles from various business disciplines to the case and tends to cover them as if thev are in silos. |
| Trait 2: Concept application | Student has carefully selected the most appropriate and relevant concepts and applied them to the case. | Student has selected the relevant concepts and applied them to the case. | The concepts that the student has selected are not relevant or ineffectively applied to the case. |
| Trait 3: Evaluation of options and decisions | Student clearly demonstrates the tensions and potential synergies between relevant functional areas. <br> Student presents options from a variety of perspectives in a thorough and logical manner, and makes a strong case for appropriate action. | Student demonstrates a basic understanding of the tensions or synergies between relevant functional areas. <br> Student presents options from a variety of perspectives and recommends appropriate action. | Student does not articulate the tensions or synergies between relevant functional areas. <br> Business decisions do not flow logically from integrated analysis and are poorly argued. |

