Executive Committee Meeting Minutes October 23, 2019

I. CALL TO ORDER at 12:07 PM

II. ROLL CALL

Present: Daisy Maxion, Kabir Dhillon, Riley Miller, Siddharth Valecha, Martin Castillo, Bronte Kuehnis.

Absent: Melissa Baron

III. ACTION ITEM - Approval of the Agenda

Motion to amend the agenda by replacing the 5 in 2512 to 2612 by K. Dhillon, second by ALL, motion CARRIED.

Motion to approve the agenda by K. Dhillon, second by S. Valecha, motion CARRIED.

IV. ACTION ITEM - Approval of the Minutes of October 9, 2019

Motion to approve the minutes of October 9, 2019 by S. Valecha, second by K. Dhillon, motion CARRIED.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT – Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the committee on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay.

O. Shakoor states that they are still debating whether we will be using the shuttle transportation or the AC transit. I could send you the pro and con list. D. Maxion states that we will be discussing this issue in information item E.

VI. UNFINISHED ITEMS:

No new unfinished items.

VII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS:

A. Discussion Item: Paperless BOD Meetings
The Executive Committee, Director of Sustainability, and ASI Chair will be discussing the potential resolution for paperless BOD Meetings. **O. Shakoor** states that during the last meeting, he noticed a vast amount of paper left over. We do have electronics to be able to view documents. Therefore, we should go paperless, it will help with our mission of sustainability. My goal is to have all committees go paperless. **B. Kuehnis** states that she agrees. Due to having the projector in every meeting and the agenda is sent by email. **D. Maxion** states that it should be specified what documents we should have in paper during meetings. **O. Shakoor** states that some exceptions would be resolutions. Since it needs to be printed out and signed. I was thinking for roll call sheets. We could do an electronic signature to sign in. **K. Dhillon** states he is pro-digital use. **B. Kuehnis** states that it would keep us more inclined to share our materials ahead of time to each committee. It will allow everyone a chance to review it. Instead of seeing it at the meeting for the first time. **K. Dhillon** states paper can be lost. Unlike it being digital, one can access it from anywhere. **M. Castillo** states that in order to get something on the agenda, one must have the documents submitted 72 hours before the meeting. Maybe that’s how you approach part of the resolution. **D. Maxion** states that from her understanding the leads of this is B. Kuehnis and O. Shakoor.

5:43

**B. Discussion Item:** Resolution in Support of S. 2612

The Executive Committee will be discussing a potential resolution in support of Senate Bill 2612. **D. Maxion** states that this is a follow up on the Maria Isabel Bueso case. Senator Dianne Feinstein and Senator Lindsey Graham, introduced a bill that will allow people to become permanent citizens here. Specifically, for Isabel and her family. I would like everyone thoughts on this matter. Due to if we do support this, I don’t want it to seem that we are deterring away student base needs. On the argument of supporting Isabel, she is a California State University East Bay Alumni. Isabel has been part of ASI Board before. We have had previous resolutions before in support of Isabel staying here. **B. Kuehnis** states that she supports. We have already done a bill in Isabel’s honor. I think it applies to other students who it could potentially happen to. It sets a broader example of where we stand as an organization. The more that we can advocate for that the better. **S. Valecha** states that this was all over social media. **D. Maxion** states that this shows the power of people’s voices. I think it’s a good opportunity to show solidarity. As well as, taking a really strong stance on how ASI views these situations going on federally.
C. Information Item: **Budget Update**  
The Vice President of Finance will be presenting a budget update with club funding and the process to the Executive Committee.  
**Motion** to postpone until next executive committee meeting by **K. Dhillon**, second by **S. Valecha**, motion **CARRIED**.  
**M. Castillo** states that he is able to see the budget. His concern is that the budget is not being used. I know we have some issues with the new Bay sync. However, if we are really trying to help clubs and organizations. We need to push this money out. **K. Disharoon** states that we are meeting with M. Baron tomorrow. I am not sure who will be attending that meeting. I just wanted everyone to be made aware of that.  
**Motion** to table this item until next meeting by **ALL**. Motion **CARRIED**.

10:25

D. Discussion Item: **East Bay Live**  
The Vice President of Communications will be discussing updates with the East Bay Live radio station.  
**R. Miller** states that it is going well. We just had Jessika Murphy present from the DISC. The next episode will be on November 5th with Kendrick Ali and Kayla Lamont. They will be discussing Pioneer Dining. We are planning to do the episode at the ASI balcony. If the weather permits it. It will be during U-hour. The episode will focus on discussing the Unions of Kendrick. I am also in contact with President Morishita point of contact. I wanted to do a Presidential episode. With both President Morishita and President Maxion. We have to figure out a good time to schedule the episode. Due to their conflicting schedules. The professor of class spoke to me yesterday. He is hoping that ASI can help them table in front of the union. They will need access to power in order to answer questions. I will be in contact with different people to get this arranged. Viewership has been going up. Every guest brings a handful of followers. Reminder that East Bay Live is on Sound Cloud and Apple Podcast. Just type in East Bay Live with no spaces. **D. Maxion** states that if R. Miller knows the dates for President Morishita episode. **R. Miller** states that he will be in contact with D. Maxion. **D. Maxion** states that for the episode with Kendrick. Is it going to be a Q & A or will he be giving out information. **R. Miller** states it’s more of a back and forth discussion. ASI board is more than welcome to attend. As well as, any students in order to further the discussion.
E. Information Item: AC Transit and Shuttle
The Executive Committee will be discussing the information items for the AC Transit and the campus shuttle.

D. Maxion states Senator of Commuter Student has provided insight on the pros and cons of the AC Transit versus the shuttle. B. Kuehnis states that they went over the list during the Senate meeting. Justin is still working on the resolution. We will be voting on this matter next week. S. Valecha states that Justin is talking to housing. He is getting in contact with Mark Alemda and Ashley to discuss parking. As for the pros and cons list, I believe the AC Transit will be free. It also takes one up to Richmond. B. Kuehnis states that the AC Transit will stay on its normal schedule, which can be seen as an issue. I know there are questions about accessibility with AC Transit. S. Valecha states that if there is only AC Transit, how long will the layover times be. B. Kuehnis states that Justin does not have an answer as to what they decided. We should have the answers by next week. S. Valecha states that the point of contact he was talking to about parking was out of office. Justin is just waiting for that person to come back. B. Kuehnis states that they have decided already. M. Castillo states that I thought the plan was to move forward with AC Transit. There has been resistant’s with moving forward to the plan. Yesterday, CFO took it up to the President that we should not go with AC Transit. At the moment, we don’t know which directions it’s going. I’m curious as to if anyone has heard why we shouldn’t proceed with AC Transit. B. Kuehnis states that the main thing she heard was the accessibility. O. Shakoor states during a meeting with Derrick. That everything was going good until someone in the President office didn’t’ want the AC Transit. Now they are going back to the drawing board. Currently, they are looking at two shuttle companies to replace the contract with AC Transit. D. Maxion states that would it be a good idea if ASI took a stance on the matter. What is the resolution looking at the moment. B. Kuehnis states that it’s an issue, since it was supposed to be decided on last week. I think Justin was trying to base it on their decision. Now, we face that they don’t have a set decision. Which effects how we will take a stance moving forward. M. Castillo states that this is important issue. Therefore, student’s voices should be the loudest. I am not sure what students want or don’t want. I don’t want to weigh in. We should come up with a sample resolution and pass it around our fellow constituents and see if they agree. O. Shakoor states if students even know about the issue. For instance, if we do take a stance. I don’t believe it’s fair to the students if we make a decision for them. This has been all
behind closed doors. I believe we are in the position to bring this to light and get an opinion of what they want. K. Dhillon states that he feels the same way. I feel like the students don’t know the entire specifics of the pros and cons list. If they were more educated on this issue, they would have a more educated guess as to what they want. D. Maxion states that this is the potential impact results from 2018 by students. B. Kuehnis states that this was conducted May 2018. D. Maxion states that this information is outdated. B. Kuehnis states that it gives more information as to what students will be using. O. Shakoor states that from a sustainability standpoint, it makes sense to go with AC Transit. Due to discussing that the AC Transit always follows the shuttle. It a little redundant to have two services going at the same time. B. Kuehnis states that a stance should definitely be taken. We also need more information as to the students wants. M. Castillo states that over the past few years. ASI has been trying to pass something like this. The problem is AC Transit wanted to charge a lot of money. From my understanding, they’ve decreased the amount as to what we pay for the shuttle. The reason students wanted the AC Transit, was for going to different places. Unlike the shuttle that just goes to the BART. Some people might say that no one is riding the AC Transit right now. That’s only since it’s not free. If we decide to go with AC Transit, then it would be free towards all campus community members. That’s why I’m confused why there isn’t a lot of talk about this. As well as, if this is something that students still want. In the past this required referendum, but this time it doesn’t. It’s not an additional fee, it will come from the parking fees already being paid. R. Miller states if there is an expected implementation date. M. Castillo states that the current shuttle contract ends this year. O. Shakoor states that they are scared, since there is only a few weeks remaining. People will be left without shuttle services or AC Transit won’t be a decision either. Last I heard they were meeting about it. However, they send me the PowerPoint that we are discussion. I think it’s back to discussing and not voting. A decision needs to be made soon. K. Disharoon states that we should try to use the iPads to survey the students. O. Shakoor states that he is more interested in surveying the students who are waiting for the shuttle. They are using it the most, so it would affect them the most. K. Disharoon states that he could do it during U-hours on Tuesdays and Thursday to get some data. M. Castillo states that there’s limited amount of time. I don’t want to put more pressure on everyone. We could also survey students who are driving. They might benefit if we use the AC Transit, since it might change their pattern. O. Shakoor states that if we chose AC Transit. We could email first year students not to bring their cars to campus. Due to having this service that allows you to be move freely around the Bay Area. We will definitely get started on the surveys. D. Maxion
states that there’s an executive meeting November 6th. By next week, we could set up a student outreach to get data. On November 13th Boards meeting, we will have a final stance on it. Whether we are tabling, surveys, or standing next to the shuttle it will be a great idea to start. Who will be able to head this initiative? At the moment, we have R. Miller, O. Shakoor, and J. Mercado. By the end of this week, there should be a sign up sheet for tabling and survey. O. Shakoor states how many IPads are available. K. Disharoon states five or six. D. Maxion states that they will be tabling at the RAW.

27:23

VIII. SPECIAL REPORTS:
No special reports.

27:27

IX. ROUND TABLE REMARKS
K. Dhillon states D. Maxion, O. Shakoor, Anjelica and a couple of other students had a lunch in with Larry Adamson. D. Maxion states that we are going to follow up with them about providing support for undocumented students. He also worked a lot of homeless communities in Los Angeles. For 17 years, he worked at a nonprofit and was the president. We were going to ask him if he had any outreach services around the Bay Area that we can partner with. O. Shakoor has talked about sustainability efforts and keeping the promise that CSU has made.

28:38

X. ADJOURNMENT at 12:36 PM
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