Revision notes
Constitution, Article VIII
● Section 1
  ○ Line 19: “...Senate (See Article V, Section 2, † J)
● Section 2
  ○ Line 24: “…representatives shall be selected in accordance with Article XVI…”
    ■ Use of the word “selected” in this line isn’t consistent with Article XVI Section 3 (that part talks about the election of officers)
    ■ Broader question: Is this portion of the Constitution and matching Bylaw actually being followed through consistently?
Constitution, Article IX
● Section 2
  ○ When does the adoption of the Bylaws actually take place? Immediately? Does it need to be explicit?
  ○ This is seen as a Section that is a one-shot deal, enacted once the Bylaws are adopted. Without a mechanism for revoking the Bylaws completely, this seems to be the case.
● Section 3
  ○ There is no explicit mention of the mechanisms for bringing Bylaw amendments forward (e.g Article X, respective of the Constitution)
  ○ Note to Committee, especially Mitch and Denise: Was there not an amendment to Article IX, Section 3 that was approved by faculty in AY 11-12 that was passed along with the reflected amendment in Article X, Section I?
Constitution, Article X
● Section 4
  ○ Given Mitch’s question about FDEC and the timeline for its voting, does there need to be clearer and more explicit guidance about the function of Summer in faculty governance (i.e. Summer quarter isn’t counted in the timeline)?
● Section 5
  ○ Recommended: Add a phrase that requires periodic review of the Constitution and Bylaws [This might be better served in another Article]
Constitution, Article XI
● No revisions or comments

--------
Bylaws, Article I
● Section I.C
  ○ Line 11: The qualifier departmental here could possibly covered faculty such as Sally
    ■ Committee: Revisit this topic when committee is in full attendance
● Section 2
  ○ Lines 16 & 17: “At the beginning of each quarter, this committee shall...”
    ■ Is the roster updated every quarter?
  ○ Lines 20 & 21: Concept of the potential disenfranchisement of faculty no longer deemed as regular members
    ■ Committee: Revisit this topic when committee is in full attendance
● Section 4
Should the bylaws state more generally that determination of emeritus/a is determined by policies created by the Senate and authorized by the President.

- Section 4 and 5
  - Given the duplication of section titles, consider consolidation of these sections.

Bylaws, Article II
- Section 1
  - Flip B and C so that the “chair” mentioned in B applies logically to C, not to A (as it would appear now).
  - Lines 15 & 16: First phrase of D. is misleading. Recommend dropping this phrase.

Bylaws, Article III
- Section 1
  - Mitch’s comment [MW 17] was discussed at length. Question: Has there ever been a meeting like this called?

Next meeting: look over Constitution again to take into consideration the comments in Mitch’s document that may not have been brought up previously; Bring other members up to speed on ground covered.
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