Revision notes

Constitution, Article VIII (revisited)
- Section 2: This is indeed consistent with Bylaws XVI

Bylaws III
- Section 1
  - What happens with a no confidence vote? Should the be a clause that handles whom would lead the meeting in this case?

Bylaws IV
- Section 4
  - Consider adding: “For any item in the Senate for which the both the Chair and the Vice-Chair wish to speak, the Senate shall elect a pro tempore”
    - Rationale: There are times when both the Chair and the Vice-Chair want to speak to an issue (because the Chair is handed over to the Vice-Chair when the Chair wants to speak to an issue)
- Section 5
  - Is it the feeling of the faculty that the “Immediate Past Chair” should be a filled seat via election or the actual Immediate Past Chair?
    - Case: Mike Mahoney is on leave for 6 mos. in AY 12-13. Is he still the Immediate Past Chair? Is this position filled?
    - If an election of an Immediate Past Chair is desired, who are the eligible candidates?
  - Line 33: “…prepare the agenda for its meetings...

Bylaws V
- Title: Should it be College Faculties instead of University Faculties? And, should this be the case throughout the section?
- Section 1: Somewhere, the generally accepted rules of parlimentary procedure must be defined.
- Section 3
  - C: Line 31: Who determines a substantive objection? The Chair?
- Section 4
  - Line 2: What does Other faculties mean in this context? Does it advise the reach of the bylaws to other faculty committees
    - Is it “Other faculties of the university should provide for the keeping of meeting minutes”
- Section 5: Is the intent to exclude emeritus, lecturer, student, student services representatives, and the Provost from counting towards a quorum?
- Section 6
  - Line 12: “...Senate may shall appoint...” This is recommended by the task force for consideration
- Section 7: Standing rules allow for the Chair to recognize non-senators to speak
- Section 8: See also Article XVI, Section 8

Bylaws VI
• Section 1
  ○ Perhaps the dates should be relative to quarters as opposed to absolute dates? For example, Line 32: *on or before April 25* be replaced by *on or before the last day of Winter Quarter*?
  ○ Line 32: “...than three *academic* days”
  ○ Line 35: “..shall begin on or before *June 15*.” Should this be changed to the day after the end of the Spring quarter?

• Section 2
  ○ B: Who is *specifically* considered to be an *Academic Senator*? This has bearings on Bylaws IV, Section 7.

• Section 3
  ○ Line 17: “...(via email *electronic means* is acceptable)

• Section 4
  ○ Line 35: “...as *so* long as”
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