

California State University, East Bay
Committee on Academic Planning & Review
Approved Meeting Minutes
Thursday, June 6, 2013

Members Present: Chris Chamberlain (chair), Dana Edwards, John Eros (secretary), Caron Inouye, Saeid Motavalli, Xeno Rasmusson, Glen Taylor, Donna Wiley

Members Absent: Mavis Braxton, Sharon Green, Amber Machamer

Guests: Jiansheng Guo (Associate Dean, CLASS), Sophie Rollins (Academic Senate), Mitch Watnik (Chair, Academic Senate)

1. Approval of the Agenda (Wiley/Taylor)
2. Approval of the minutes of 5/30/13 (Edwards/Wiley)
 - a. Ammendments: Edit spelling of Bickley; mention of upcoming Blackboard training (Wiley)
3. Report of the Chair
 - a. Senate chair will be Mitch Watnik, Rollins went over the list of new people
4. Report of the Presidential Appointee
 - a. None
5. Report of APGS
 - a. Blackboard consultant was here for three days and met with a number of groups of people. Three pilot projects will be CBE, GE, and certain projects in CEAS. Consultant left very happy and congratulatory over the job we are doing. Taylor: I went to two sessions and was very impressed. Wiley: he was here for strategic consulting
 - b. Congratulations to Chris for serving admirably this year as CAPR chair.
6. Report of the ILO Subcommittee
 - a. Done meeting
7. New Business
 - a. 12-13 CAPR 23: Theater Arts Five-year Review
 - i. Last week, CAPR recommended continuation with modification. Wiley: I had always assumed that we had three choices but we have four: continue for a specified amount of time. Would this be a better choice than continue with modification? Chamberlain: There were certain elements that were flat-out missing and that's why we voted continue with modification. Motavalli: I think that is harsher than to continue with modifications. Rasmusson: would it ask for more than an annual report? Chamberlain: we are already asking for the inclusion of the annual report. Guo: does acceptance refer to CAPR's report? Chamberlain: They have presented their report

and we accepted it, and in this review we add recommendations. So it formalizes it for the senate and provides feedback from the committee as to what they can do to improve. Watnik: It seems like the typical language to me. I wonder about the facilities recommendation. I think perhaps there should be language urging COBRA to investigate whether the theater and dance modifications are needed. Chamberlain: facilities is part of our purview. Chamberlain: a change will be made: acceptance and continue with modification. Motion to accept report: passed unanimously.

- b. b. 12-13 CAPR 27: Five-Year Program Review for Health Care Administration
 - i. Motion to accept (Edwards/Rasmusson). Passed unanimously
- c. 12-13 CAPR 28: Five-Year Program Review for Ethnic Studies
 - i. Motion to accept: passed unanimously
- d. 12-13 CAPR: Five-Year Program Review for Philosophy
 - i. Taylor: They are doing well. The question was raised as to whether or not Philosophy intends to merge with another department. Watnik: when anthropology and geography presented the merger documents, Sue found the document that discussed it. Anthropology and Geography, as a case of merging, was discussed. Taylor: I have been confused about departments or programs – which are we talking about. Watnik: you approve programs, not departments. Guo: In CLASS, there are quite a few small departments, and the question of consolidating departments is raised at times. But there is a different issue: merging departments or merging degrees. There are pros and cons. In the college, we have a number of departments who are creating schools. Watnik: A merger, if it were to happen, should not disrupt the programs – that is the charge of this committee. Caron: Perhaps there should be a comment that there is a statement that they had all of the components that they are supposed to turn in (they submitted a matrix). And perhaps two more sentences at the end. Wiley: a sentence commending them for beginning their work on their assessment plan. Chamberlain: would you prefer to see it in the fall or do it now? Taylor: I can make the changes and route it around. Taylor will make the changes and we will vote by email. Guo: Is it actually 18-19 for the next? Chamberlain: Yes. Guo: Compliments to the committee for the new program for annual reviews. Watnik: CAPR’s work is difficult. However, its contributions are much appreciated.

ii.

8. Adjournment

