



COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PLANNING AND REVIEW

Thursday, April 05, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 PM., SF 329

Attendees: David Fencsik, Dawna Komorosky, Michelle Korb, Jason Smith, John Tan, Lana Wood, Fanny Yeung

Guests: Caron Inouye, Julie Stein

APPROVED MINUTES

1. Approval of the [agenda](#)
Motion to approve agenda: John Tan/2nd David Fencsik, approved
2. Approval of [3/1/18](#) draft minutes
Motion to approve minutes: Dawna Komorosky/2nd David Fencsik, approved
3. Reports:
 - a. Report of the Chair: The new forms for tenure-track hiring conflict with the annual review. Smith will meet with the Provost to discuss. The new form does allow the deans to weigh in on hiring within each college. However, it makes the annual report less worthwhile. It was suggested that there should be just one form regardless of which it is. The role of CAPR in evaluations and hire allocation was discussed.
 - b. Report of the Presidential appointee: The new data portal will be ready by SU 18. The data is Pioneer Data Warehouse is being cleaned up as well.
 - c. Report of APS: Not present
 - d. Report of Semester Conversion: Not present
4. Discussion:
 - a. GE assessment was discussed. GE is proposing a new committee to create/monitor a system for assessing GE courses. Discussed GE assessment aims and how they differ from ILO assessment. Are incentives for department and faculty participation necessary? Recommend a GE assessment committee to be discussed at a future meeting.
5. Business:
 - a. DRAFT [17-18 CAPR 12](#) Institutional Learning Outcome (ILO) Information Literacy Measurement Rubric (slight update). Requested approval with the slight change of an added description summarizing purpose of rubric. The rubric itself was already approved. John Tan/2nd David Fencsik, approved

- b. [Request](#) from Department of Sociology for Academic Unit Name Change. Lana Wood/2nd Dawna Komorosky, approved
- c. [DRAFT 17-18 CAPR 6](#): CAPR Summary of Program Annual Report Findings
The report has been reviewed by Smith and Scharberg. It includes takeaways from the individual reports and four action items. The committee discussed whether it should rank tenure-track requests, the role of CAPR, and possible future adjustments to the report template.

6. Adjournment