CIC Meeting APPROVED Minutes
4/2/2018

Present
Committee:    Eileen Barrett (Acting Chair), Arnab Mukherjea(Acting Secretary), Talya Kemper, Jaski Kohli, Patrick Fleming, Meiling Wu, Hongwei Du, Marlin Halim

Guests:            Sandra Clafin, Balvinder Kumar, Maureen Scharberg

Absent: Mitch Watnik, Gwyan Rhabyt, Brian Cook, Stephanie Alexander

1. Approval of the agenda (Meiling Wu(MW)/Talya Kemper(TK)/approved)

2. Approval of 3/5/18 draft minutes (MW/TK/approved)

3. Reports
   a. Report of the Chair

   Eileen Barrett(EB) inquired about what the process is of approving ECON 101 or STAT 401 for GEs. Unclear of whether it is information item or if action by CIC is needed

   Patrick Fleming(PF) needs to be replaced on GE subcommittee due to time conflict; EB will contact Danika LeDuc (Associate Chair; College of Science) to explore replacement.

   b. Report of the Presidential Appointee – NONE
   c. Report of Semester Conversion - NONE

4. Information and Discussion items
   a. GE:
      i. ECON 101
      ii. STAT 401

   Moved to table until next meeting (EK/TK/approved)

   b. Semester Finals draft schedule

   Recommendation from APS. CIC has questions and concerns remaining regarding rationale for alternating by term. Motion to table pending presence of APS representative to discuss and input from College Deans & Department Chairs (ML/MH/approved)
EB inquired about University impaction status. Maureen Scharberg (MS) responded that there are two
types: campus-wide (CSU East Bay is currently; local area guarantee for included jurisdictions in service
area, along with ADT holders) and program-specific. Program impaction looks at specific majors and
sets criteria and cutoffs for subset of CSU-eligible students (e.g., GPA, supplemental course
requirements).

Nursing data was presented to show how impaction works for program-specific impactions.

EB asked what the benefit was impaction; MS replied that it was put into place to protect University as it
becomes more appealing and competitive, resulting in future demand for enrollment.

MS presented data about senior students (135+ units). 5,517 have 135 units; 60% have passed UWSR.
Of these students: 1,393 who have 190+ units; 72% passed USWR. 232 who have 235+ units; 70%
passed USWR.

WST has 40% no-shows.

MS walked through Presidential Directive (UED #18-01). The whole idea behind principles is progress to
degree. It still allows flexibility to explore. EB asked for who the Directive is written. MS replied that this
is for students who are not making progress to degree. EB suggested the language be framed as more
positive (encouragement of intellectual exploration and identification of career development resources)
than punitive. MS corrected herself that this document is for Academic Advisors.

MW asked what happens if students disagree. MS replied that there is an Appeals process.

MS also clarified that only 70 units of transfer credit within Directive.

Discussion of Guidelines

  1.0 – Makes Golden 4 GE requirements (A1, A2, A3, B4) consistent between native and transfer
        students.
  2.0 – Would address Undeclared – Interest in Nursing group; also, forces students to find a
        major in a short period of time so they don’t “float around”.
  3.0 – Denials are done in concert with Department Chair and/or College Dean.
  4.0 – Auto-graduation pursuant to Title V.
  5.0 – Auto-filing of graduation application
  6.0 – Administrative Academic Probation with conditions of making progress toward degree;
        Health Sciences Department as a model.
  7.0 – Graduate students
  8.0 – Appeals process currently being formulated; panel of stakeholders will decide.
Appendix A – Documents, relevant Senate policies, Title V provisions.

EB asked if any elements of Presidential Directive are in conflict with existing policies; MS replied in the negative.

MS asked for any suggestions of language changes.

MW asked if final document will be date stamped. MS replied that date of publication and superseding dates will be posted.

MS indicated that this is a “living document” and she expects to come back to CIC next year to review and revise as appropriate.

5. Business items
   a. Letter regarding GE units for semesters vs. quarters

Unclear if this requires action by CIC. As formatted, seems like a Semester Conversion document. CIC has no objections. Motion to recommend to APS that this be discussed at Semester Conversion Steering Committee and presented to Academic Senate (MW/PF/approved).

6. Adjournment

Moved for adjournment at 3:23 PM (Arnab Mukherjea(AM)/Hongwei Du(HD)/approved)