

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY

OFFICE OF THE
ACADEMIC SENATE

AMENDED Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting, Tuesday, January 8, 2013

Members Present:

Jennifer Eagan, Liz Ginno, Susan Gubernat, James Houpis, Linda Ivey, Pat Jennings, James Murray,
Gretchen Reevy-Manning, Mitch Watnik

Guests:

Jerry Chang, Linda Dalton, Linda Dobb, Jiansheng Guo, Amber Machamer, Sophie Rollins, Donna Wiley

1. Agenda Ginno/Gubernat

Jennings/Ginno amend to add resolution by Jennings as #5g
Amendment passed, no objections.

2. Minutes

Minutes of 11-6-12 moved by Ginno/Murray.

Several amendments to minutes of 11-6-12 from Murray, mainly formatting and grammatical clarifications.

Passed, 2 abstentions

Minutes of 11-27-12 moved by Reevy/Murray. Amendments to
minutes 11-27-12

In section 3C Gubernat asks to change to “encouraged change by chancellor, not “that chancellor sponsored the
change”.

Reevy points out that she sent email correction to me check mail for corrections.

Passed, 1 abstention.

3a. Report of the Chair

-Tradition that ExCom donate to homecoming scholarship, check via
Sophie to CSUEB Foundation.

-Remind committee that communication to President needs to come through chair of senate.

-Email from Watnik about Planning for Distinction before break said that definition of program was
finalized but it is not yet finalized (will be corrected by Watnik in new email).

-Internal encouragement within instructional task group to get meeting notes out to web.

-Will put draft minutes up quickly.

-Linda Ivey is new member of Excom to replace Sweety Law for Winter 13

-Murray going on sabbatical in Spring 13 so needs replacement

3b. Report of the Provost

-Suggested that we avoid emails to avoid misinterpretation, better to meet in Excom

-Just posted IPSED (Integrated Post-Secondary Education Data) Report. It explains where we put our resources,
retention, graduation rates, we are encouraged to view this.

-A2E2 website is running. Info about Policies and Procedures and what was funded. A 2 E 2 Committee is
seated and will create P&P. Soon will get recommendations from college committees on IREE funding requests.

-SSAC (Student Success and Assessment Committee) subcommittee is making new RFP for new UAP
programs.

- CAPR 5-year reports, concerned about how few are being turned in on time.
- Qtr. to Semester conversion will require resources, and Provost has read recommendations from other schools and scholarly articles about estimated costs.
- PFD discussion is next, so turn over to Linda Dalton.
- Dalton has no new info, but Steering Committee meeting Mondays, task forces meeting on Friday before.
- Watnik says hard to arrange meeting of A2E2 committee and may need to use alternate student.
- Watnik suggests a set meeting time before populating committee.
- Houpis asks if terms could be 2 years long for continuity.
- Chang asks if student committee members could be appointed in Spring.
- Watnik says Jennings is chairing subcommittee of COBRA on conversion from Qtr to Semesters.
- Gubernat asks about travel reimbursement funds, is there a way to have university put money up front?
- Linda Dobb says there is a way to use a P-card that can be used and see Chantel Eberle for how to use card.
- Jennings asked if we could replicate the CalPoly student survey on the Q2S conversion
- Houpis not sure if Chancellor will approve funding for conversion.

3c. Report of the Statewide Senator, Gubernat.

- Sent email to all senators about issues coming up in plenary
- Issue about state university grants from grad students being moved to under grads.
- Smoke free university proposal, will probably pass.
- STEM transfer student pathways proposal, encouraging them to complete preliminary coursework before transferring.
- Faculty involvement in admission standards proposal.
- Resolution to consider characteristics of CSU students when new policies are suggested by CSU Chancellor. Poorly written blanket resolution, may not pass.
- Gubernat says faculty should be more action-oriented and not theoretical.
- Gubernat on Academic Affairs committee will look at Best Practices re: gap in graduation rates; hope to create white paper.
- San Jose state to offer MOOCs including introductory courses, separate from CSOnline.
- Press conference next week announcing deal with private firm Udacity to offer courses.
- Houpis comments that remedial math may not be ideal for instruction through MOOCs
- No knowledge of this from SJSU Senate, but some faculty signed NDAs (non-disclosure agreements.)
- Houpis asks how SJSU's agreement affects academic freedom and intellectual property.
- Gubernat also brings up union issues.
- Houpis says part of the SJSU agreement provides option for non-college credit, which is a good option for adult education.
- Gubernat expresses concern about transparency.

4. Old Business

- 4a. Qtr to Semester committee member from CIC should send name to Pat Jennings.
- 4b. Need to appoint for CAPR CLASS representative to Excom for 4 weeks before election.

5. New Business

- 5a. UARC document
- See edits in attachment, President wanted some changes.
- Entire UARC is now evaluating how to evaluate College deans.

- Watnik suggests stating that review committee must be tenured.
- Gubernat asks to put it on Consent Calendar, 2nd by Murray.
- Approved by voice, none opposed with amendment about adding "tenured".

- FAC2 moved Ginno/Jennings.
- Ginno says Items FAC2, FAC3, FAC4 all have same changes
- Watnik suggests amendment to give effective date
- Approved, no opposed
- FAC5, moved by Ginno/Gubernat.
- Watnik explains origin of changes, help from Donna Wiley and FAC, and Assoc Provost.
- Gubernat asks to test validity of the responses online to on ground courses.
- Wiley says participation rates are lower for online so far, but new software coming.
- Wiley points out that results of evals may differ between paper and online.
- Gubernat can we reconsider if bad validity?
- Eagan recommends that faculty that are under least scrutiny (e.g. full prof) should go first.
- Ginno says study says that faculty explaining importance in class can help.
- The Student Eval subcomm is looking at literature for best practices.
- Jennings asks for space for written comments, and to calculate participation rates for both parts.
- Jennings points out that incentive has also bias results.
- Jennings asks about mechanism if pilot study does not go well
- Linda Dobb asks if any faculty teach some course in back to back to detect changes in method.
- Gubernat asks if there is a way to assess the effectiveness of new system.
- Guo says that paper evaluations have not been determined as valid, so why compare to online validity?
- Gubernat says she really meant to ask about 'reliability', not 'validity'.
- Watnik says the FAC could change their minds if not successful.
- But Gubernat says how do we exactly assess 'success'?
- Wiley says new head of Fac Devel? could help with this.
- Ginno says we are now using a flawed instrument, and their committee is trying to improve questions themselves, not just method of evaluation.
- Ivey comments that this is a big change, and might get more participation
- Watnik asks if any faculty could opt-out in spring 13?
- Jennings asks that after pilot results, come back to Senate with results for approval.
- Watnik points out that could be hard to go fast, so pilot 2 quarters and try for fall?
- Gubernat restates that we should not adopt something permanently if not tested and evidence sent for vote.
- Ginno asks Wiley and Dobb if we could offer more options to faculty.
- Dobb asks Jerry Chang how to increase participation.
- Gretchen Reevy asks if any ground classes are now evaluated online.
- Dobb encourages us to ramp up to online evaluation.
- Watnik suggests doing evals are beginning of class gets more participation, and comments.
- Ivey points out cost of using paper.
- Gubernat points out now we are evaluating all courses now based on latest contract, but hope we don't commit to new online process without good results

-FAC5 approved, 2 abstentions

-FAC6, moved by Ginno / Jennings

-Approved, no objections

5c, Appoint replacement for Schutz

-Four candidates

-The college not represented now are CLASS and CBE.

-Written ballot

-Several comments on each candidate.

-Newcomb wins majority in first round.

5d. Jessica Weiss was 1-year interim and need to seat committee for search for Fac Development Director.

-Seek candidates for committee.

-Might wish to avoid Watnik since was involved last year

-Draft call coming soon from Assoc Prov.

5e. Election of DELO

-Geron is serving last year of previous term

-Will be election by Senate in Winter qtr.

-After elect DELO, then committee will be elected.

-Seeking candidates...

-DELO will be member of FDEC, but not necessarily Chair

5f. WOST and CSO

-Watnik asks to postpone until after approval of Senate agenda.

-Houpis asks if rep from WOST is here to address issues we raise.

-Eagan says not about WOST program, but about changing tracks from state support to self-support.

5g. Agenda for 1-15-13, FUFM2

-Jennings/Eagan move to send to senate.

-FUFM2 is coming from Faculty member.

-Gubernat asks if Excomm should discuss it at all.

-Watnik says we eval if it is ready and appropriate.

-Houpis asks who sets agenda; Watnik says Excom.

-Watnik says question is if we should put on agenda.

-Jennings says will make minor amendment to specify who will receive the resolution.

-Approved, none object

5h. Draft agenda

-Moved by Ginno/Reevy

-Has been amended to add to consent calendar, and FAC docs added, and add FUFM2.

-Approved, none opposed

5f. Back to WOST and CSO

-Watnik: BEC5 document is in revision by CIC, should be sent up early Feb.

- Gubernat: asks if CSO programs should be offered on campus first.
- Watnik: was approved state-support on ground, but never taught, then BEC doc got attached, and Excom asked for it to go back to senate approval. Couple years later got approved by CIC as state-support online. In the end the CO was requested to approve WOST online as self-support. If offered, it will only be offered as self-support, and is not considered 'supplanting'. So can be taught self-support if not also taught stateside. But since never offered stateside, it is not being 'supplanted'.
- Eagan asks if this program 'existed' or not.
- Houpis states that it was never approved as 'state-support' by the CO. Suggests that offering WOST through CSO might not become active for a while.
- Watnik: WOST says they had qualified faculty, and was approved, but if taught online, might not be same faculty when taught via DCIE.
- Reevy: clarifies that courses have been taught.
- Houpis says that if approved on ground or online, or state-support or self-support, CIC should have the same standards.
- Gubernat: CSO did not exist to teach new programs.
- Houpis and Wiley disagree, citing CSUN and CSUMB.
- Houpis: WOST was concerned about demand for program and CSO could offer more students, but costs becoming problematic.
- Watnik says CIC will clarify rules this quarter and send back to Excom.
- Watnik: Can self-support classes count towards the 9 classes per year?
- Houpis: Is it CIC's responsibility to determine how should be taught?
- Houpis states that however it was approved, CIC should only be concerned with program and not whether it is taught self-support or state-support.
- Watnik cites issue of faculty qualification to teach classes in a new program.
- Watnik says that our procedure requires program to go again to CIC.
- Houpis suggests changing the procedure.
- Watnik says CIC is changing the procedure.
- Ivey says CIC approved curriculum and not faculty.
- Houpis says could cause unnecessary work to re-approve it.
- Reevy notes concern about quality of faculty.
- Wiley says was approved for online, but state-support, not CSO.
- Jennings says it is changed from 10 to 8 weeks.
- Wiley says there are other programs that are only 5 weeks.
- Watnik says CIC will cite same number of units, not time.
- Houpis says at CSUChico faculty have choice of 5 or 10 wks summer sessions. It is credit hours that matter.
- 409p move to adjourn Gubernat/Reevy