Amended Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting, Tuesday, November 27, 2012

Members Present:
Jennifer Eagan, Liz Ginno, Susan Gubernat, James Houpis, Sweety Law, James Murray, Gretchen Reevy-Manning, Mitch Watnik

Guests:
Linda Dalton, Kim Geron, Jiamsheng Guo, Mark Karplus, Sally Murphy, Sue Opp, Glen Perry, Sophie Rollins, Donna Wiley

1) Approval of agenda
(M Ginno/ S Law) to approve agenda
(M Murray/ S Reevy) propose time certain for Senate Agenda review at 345p
Gubernat amend to 340p
Approved agenda, no objections

2) Approval of minutes
Watnik’s suggested changes to 11-6 minutes emailed to Excom
Murray will check audio for accuracy
(M Reevy/S Law) motion to postpone minutes; all in favor, no objections

3A) Report of chair
University Athletics Council meeting Dec 10, 4p, Watnik cannot attend, needs replacement
Liz Ginno is trying to get meeting for Concord Advisory ad hoc committee, and needs representatives from all 4 colleges
Houpis asks if reps needs to be appointed by deans by policy; Watnik says they should be elected
Houpis offers to help, but what is the mandate that formed it?; Watnik says it was formed in 1996 (ad hoc like former FDEC)
Watnik checked original and ExCom needs to appoint
Murray offers to send invitation to Dr. Carol Lauzon
Watnik suggests Keith Inman
Susan Gubernat suggests Robert Phelps
Watnik suggests Lynn VanHofwegen from Nursing
Watnik says Kim Geron asked to invite Terry Jones to Senate meeting for 11-12 FDEC report
Watnik asks for volunteer to be on Homecoming committee and for donations to scholarship
Watnik re: candidate faculty trustee to be on BoT
Gubernat offers to seek candidates e.g. Eileen Barrett
Gubernat notes that all recent winners have been Statewide Senators
Watnik states need for replacements for Mahoney’s At-large Senate seat and Schutz’s (CLASS) Lecturer seat

3B) Report of Provost

PfD, Planning for Distinction

asked Watnik to review Steering Committee, request to publicize meetings

VP Dalton states that they will publicize the public meetings; how to define a ‘program’;

Gubernat asks if CAPR has already defined program

Watnik clarifies problem is for ‘non-instructional’ programs

Houpis says initial list had over 500 and should group them logically

Watnik says now there is a web form for feedback on PfD groups

-Houpis hosted forums for each college, and a PEMSA forum is to come….tried and failed to stream to Concord, will try again

-Houpis reports receiving good feedback, may schedule meeting in January for Excom and department chairs for input

-FAQ answers to be posted soon (how group them?)

-VP Dalton adds that they will seeking input proactively

-Watnik wonders if department staff felt welcome at forum (only faculty invited?), may invite academic staff specifically?

-Eagan says CLASS did have some staff, and early, noon, or late times might be better

-Houpis acknowledges that some depts. might be able to close office to come and others might not
Murray asks about definition of distinction (to be different, or high quality)

Houpis says committees should define meaning of ‘distinction’

Ginno suggests we should consult ILOs, vision, mission, 8 shared commitments

30 searches in progress, 6 have completed interviews

wants offers by end of January (except library with diff cycle schedule)

top 3 get invited, and if don’t get one of those 3, then try again next year

Houpis says must keep up with retirements

Murray asks how many applicants

Watnik says some 130, Eagan says some small pool

Houpis: A2E2 committee with students has been seated

EIRA was funded this year at 550K, funded all with rollover up to 653K

College committee requests due in January

$250K requested for ‘advising centers’, hires might be permanent

Peer Mentoring receiving $10 per hour and will hire a permanent Director

also funding library hours, service learning, SCAA tutoring,

VP Dalton smooth transition program got $50K to help transfer students

3C) Report of Statewide senator

Cal State Online, see handout from Gubernat

senate chair suggested change to language

was concern that CSO might offer curricula from outside CSU faculty

new language would preclude curricula from outside

Title 5 changes to the baccalaureate degree

old proposal to eliminate UDGE by Board Of Trustees was stopped
also plan to reduce units in most degrees to 180 qtr units

Gubernat states concern over campus autonomy

Gubernat questions need to reduce units to make space for other students

-vote by BOT in January

Gubernat asks who will decide which programs are allowed to exceed 180 and CO said some faculty will be hired

Gubernat says CO justifies their control via Title 5

Gubernat states from CO says UC can keep engineering under 120, so CSU should keep it under 180

Eagan asks for evidence about how high unit students are preventing entry of other students

Gubernat says she has asked for data but BoT and CO has not produced it

Gubernat suggests that BoT/CO should expand access in other ways

Gubernat admits that CSU is unique in requiring UDGE

Gubernat said that they claimed that 18,000 slots could be opened up

Houpis: super-seniors can be dealt with case by case

Houpis says some majors like architecture were given exemption, so there is precedent, says higher units offer high quality

Houpis points out that programs must be exactly 180 units

Gubernat says Chancellor Reed encouraged this change and is leaving

Houpis agrees that one policy is not necessary for this

Gubernat says there will be exceptions to 180 units via CO determination

4) New Business

a) replacements

i)-Sweety Law going on sabbatical in Winter

Watnik suggests Senate election

Excom should nominate candidates

Watnik informs Tammy Simmons-Mosely and Linda Ivey have self-nominated
- Murray will be on sabbatical in Spring
- Watnik will call election at next senate meeting
- Karplus asks is there is another way to nominate, Watnik yes

  ii) Mike Schutz is retiring at the end of fall quarter. Watnik says ExCom can appoint replacement, but can defer to electorate
  - need nominees, Academic Affairs has access to lecturers only list
  - for Winter and Spring
  - need to recognize what college Schutz comes from (CLASS or CBE)
  - nominees needed in Jan

b) faculty development search
  - need to find ones willing to serve in our colleges

c) CAPR2/CIC5 moved by Ginno/Murray
  - Watnik suggests putting it on consent calendar
  - makers of motion agree
  - vote none opposed; PASSED

d) CIC4 moved by Murray/Gubernat
  - vote in favor, none opposed PASSED
  - Gubernat suggest consent calendar
  - vote in favor, no objections PASSED

e) CIC6
  - Murray/Eagan Moved
  - Eagan points out that there is a lot of work, and Chairs must ask Lecturers to do additional work
  - Watnik agrees and suggests bringing it up at Senate
Murphy agrees and suggests they can focus on regular faculty.

Murphy asks that we waive first reading.

-vote in favor, none opposed or abstain PASSED

f) CAPR5

-Ginno/Law moved

-Eagan points out that new procedure requires additional work, and asks where this came from (why justified?)

-Opp says that not only Dept Chair should do this annual work

-Opp says that chairs are doing this assessment anyway

-Eagan suggests that the procedures are new and different and more complex than previous

-Donna Wiley says this has always been required, and should be doing already

-Houpis states that assessment is important, and CAPR documents should make it easier, some depts. submit 140 pages, and he hopes that templates can keep things shorter

-Gubernat asks about language ‘closing the loop’; should be clarified

-Gubernat used to be concerned about Resources and now about Review

-Karplus asks about new language and is it related to PfD, see FAQ15

-Opp says answer is ‘no’, not related to PfD, and also not related to WASC

-Ginno points out that CAPR reports are not being utilized

-discussion of should we put on agenda with comments

-vote in favor, none opposed, abstain PASSED

g) CAPR6

-approve nominees from CAPR Ginno/Gubernat

-Opp nominates Murphy

- Eagan/Murray moved amendment to change SSAC to SCAA; PASSED

h) CAPR7

-moved Ginno/Law

-Watnik states that changes to bylaws within require faculty vote
- Gubernat corrects typo
- Karplus sent email to Watnik with suggestions, asks if 1st change in doc in fact changes bylaws
- Karplus asks why they are making this change, related to CAPR5?
- Wiley says annual reports are new
- Opp comments that bylaws were never changed to indicate annual reports are required
- Watnik points to new changes that should be red color
- Ginno typo correction
- vote in favor, none opposed PASSED

l) senate agenda, time certain 340p, started 344p
- moved Reevy/Law
- Watnik suggests adding PfD at 3f
- Houpis says keep it under 3c
- will invite Terry Jones for DELO report
- friendly amendment to put CIC3 (nursing) and CIC6 (GE) first after required items
- add replacement election for Law
- approved, none opposed, abstentions PASSED

i) VP advancement job ad
- Gubernat asks about ‘excellence in applied education’; part of boilerplate?
- Watnik suggests changing campus to Concord
- Houpis suggest we make common boilerplate across divisions
- Watnik asks for comments sent to excom list

- Watnik excuses himself; Eagan serving as chair 355p

j) Self-support subcommittee membership
- Ginno/Murray move to add Watnik as Science representative; PASSED

k) FDEC priorities
- Geron comes with plans
- has met 3 times this year
- have 2 student members
- avoiding religious holidays on important school days, add to academic calendar, copy from other CSUs
- FAC is in charge of the calendar
- need to get input on major holidays
- Law/Murray move to refer this to FAC
- discussing Campus Climate Survey
- student input on Diversity Day

move to adjourn 406p