AMENDED Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting, February 19, 2019

Members Present: Andrew Carlos, Paul Carpenter, Karina Garbesi, Julie Glass, Edward Inch, Mark Karplus, Michael Lee, Jeff Newcomb, Ian Pollock, Stephanie Seitz, Jason Smith, Meiling Wu

Guests: Denise Johnson, Gretchen Reevy, Gwyn Rhabyt, Mark Robinson, Maureen Scharberg, Julie Stein, Mitch Watnik

Members Absent: Leroy Morishita

Approval of the agenda

M/S Smith/Carlos

Motion to amend

M/S (Garbesi/Pollock)

New item: documentation for approval of university requirements (item 6c)

No discussion

/P (1 abstention)

Motion to amend

M/S (Pollock/Garbesi)

New item: discussion on ad hoc committee on housing (item 6d)

/P (unanimous)

Item 4.a.ii can be struck from agenda - no nominee

/P Approved amended agenda

Approval of 2/5/19 minutes

M/S: Garbesi/Newcomb

Amend (Karplus)

Reword line 33 to be bylaw comments for FAC completed on Feb. 2

Reword line 41 change from “no lecturers are aware” to “many lecturers are unaware” of the bylaws discussion

Typos/spellings forwarded to Robinson to fix.

/P as amended (3 abstentions)
Report of the Chair:
President is at Long Beach for board of trustees meeting. Statewide academic chairs meeting last week - discussed issue of presidential reviews. GE taskforce report - encourage all to read. Letter circulating from Cal Poly SLO about changes to Title IX policies - many current investigations paused. On our campus, are no student cases but any reported will be subject to fact-finding, etc. but no rulings will take place until chancellor’s office has clarified position on federal legislation.

Report of the President:
No report

Report of the Provost:
Senates across system should come to agreement about broad principles for GE. Away last week at academic affairs council meeting - GE taskforce; libraries (provost representative to COLD - electronic collection CSU-wide, money set aside has not moved since 2008, price of resources has gone up so erosion of money value, have had to cull collection, some campuses have budget to supplement which leads to inequity. Asked for more money for parity. More money = better electronic access. Librarians have sent around resolution.); GI2025 came in at $45mil, space to try to get it to $75m, Asking system to be clear about reporting requirements and expectations; 12 provost searches in next year, concern about leadership pipeline and continuity/expertise, below 3 years as average lifespan for provost. Enrollment is still down, but improving - unit load is going up, should get back to target next year. Headcount is down, troubling because affects fees and tuition - this year was stable, next year should be same. Searches - 8 completed, 9 underway. 30 searches next year, 1 dean search underway. Workload pilot - how much of budget to put toward workload pilot.

Report of the Statewide Academic Senators:
No report

Appointments/Approvals:
GEOC
Spring 2019 CLASS replacement for Sarah Nielsen
- CIC approved Eileen Barrett
- M/S Karplus/Wu
- /P (1 abstention)
- Robinson to inform Prof. Barrett and CIC

Spring 2019 CEAS replacement for Talya Kemper - struck from agenda - CIC needs replacement and GEOC replacement as Prof. Kemper served as both. CIC has contacted CEAS and Robinson will reach out again from Senate Office to help spur nominations.

Business:
18-19 FAC 8: Proposed solution to Monday only courses in Fall 2018 having only 12 class meeting days
M/S: Carlos/Garbesi
Discussion: what if it’s a GE class for hybrid approval
To be brought up at senate - choose from options, FAC are not proposing single solution, as with past quarter system, - are A to F - not clear if depts. have to choose from A-F or can choose other option.
Needs link to academic calendars document that was passed at senate
Are all options permissible?
Can FAC request action from CIC?
Some colleges may have deadline of March 1 for their course offerings - need to know ASAP if/how to offer Monday only
Should say it has to be in the schedule, not just notification
Watnick was not at FAC when this happened

Substitute motion to send back to FAC to address feedback discussed at excomm
Garbesi/Seitz
/P
Lee said would attend FAC and communicate the discussion to the Chair and committee. Would arrange for Watnick invite. Other Excomm members welcome.

18-19 BEC 6: Resolution Regarding the Agora Stage
M/S: Pollock/Wu
Discussion on changing 2 resolutions
Motion to amend to say:
“RESOLVED that the Academic Senate endorse acknowledge the CFA and ASI resolutions; and be it further
RESOLVED that the Academic Senate recommend the preservation that plans for the relocation of the Agora Stage be developed in consultation with the Academic Senate; and be it further”
(Karplus/Garbesi)
/P (unanimous)

Revision to 17-18 CIC 35: Timeline for Curricular Changes
M/S: Garbesi/Pollock
Discussion about being specific about when it is effective, should be 18-19 as title - will be fixed by senate office
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CIC 4: Post-Licensure BSN concentration move to Hybrid
M/S: Garbesi/Wu
No discussion
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CIC 12: Addition of Social Justice Overlay to ART 323
M/S: Garbesi/Wu
No discussion
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CIC 13: Addition of Diversity Overlay to History 474
M/S: Wu/Seitz
Discussion about tracking/reporting will come up
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CIC 14 & 18-19 CAPR 9: Religious Studies Minor
M/S: Wu/Smith
Substitute motion to postpone until paired document from CAPR is prepared (CAPR meet Thursday) - next meeting (Karplus/Garbesi)
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CAPR 6: Request to Temporarily Increase ILO Subcommittee Membership for 2019-2020 Academic Year
M/S: Smith/Seitz
Discussion that line 36 should say fall 2019, no mention of how members will be appointed - will be appointed in same way as other members
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CAPR 7: Request for University Adoption of Institutional Learning Outcome (ILO) Quantitative Reasoning Measurement Rubric
M/S: Smith/Newcomb
Discussion about what does highlighting in document mean, document may need to be cleaned up
/P (unanimous)

18-19 CAPR 8: Request for University Adoption of Institutional Learning Outcome (ILO) Oral Communication Measurement Rubric
M/S: Newcomb/Carlos
No discussion
/P (unanimous)

Discussion:
Honors Program Director call
M/S: Glass/Carlos
Discussion: will we receive info on each candidate, what is the process for review Will have closed ballot vote at excomm meeting Should have expectation of workload in call - or do the number of units indicate what the workload is What are the outcomes and how to assess program and/or director; should there be a review process?
Edits to non-gendered pronouns; spelling of Mukherjea; subscript for footnote
Could look at issue of small candidate pools, why aren’t people applying, is it a question of expectations?
/P (1 against)

Motion that excomm review the university honors program (UHP) (Garbesi/Pollock)
Should put on agenda in future meeting to discuss scope of review, should include UHP director and APS
Excomm has responsibility of oversight of program, APS is responsible for administering program, so Excomm should assess with the assistance of APS
Last time program was re-envisioned was 03-04
Needs more discussion to figure out parameters of review
Substitute motion to add as future agenda item to discuss possible review of UHP program (Karplus/Smith)
4-4-2 Substitute motion fails

Motion to extend 5 minutes
/P 1 against, 1 abstention

Original motion excomm review at next meeting
/P

Motion to adjourn Seitz/Carios
/P

Adjournment at 4:03