



FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

17-18 FAC 10
April 24, 2018
Senate amended on 5/29/18

TO: The Executive Committee

FROM: James Murray, Chair, Faculty Affairs Committee

SUBJECT: 17-18 FAC 10: Revision of the Revision of RTP Procedures Semesters and for Electronic Submission of Dossiers

PURPOSE: Action by the Academic Senate

ACTION REQUESTED: That the Academic Senate approve the amended University RTP, effective upon signature of the President

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Faculty Affairs Committee received a referral from Semester Conversion Directors (SCD) [15-16 ASCD 8](#): FAC Policy or Procedures documents that require revisions for semester conversion that merit careful consideration. The referral was received in January 2016 but FAC postponed semester changes to AY17-18 to make time in 2016 to make time-critical changes to the bylaws regarding elections.

The Faculty Affairs Committee also received a referral from faculty making up the College of Science Council of Chairs “16-17 FUFM 1: Revision of the Revision of RTP Procedures for Electronic Submission of Dossiers”. The referral was received October 2016. In response, FAC has clarified when chairpersons can be granted access to electronic dossiers, and FAC extended the time that the dossier is available to the department committee, avoiding the need for preliminary reviews of an incomplete dossier. FAC has made it clear that the departmental committee will have access to the dossier after the “Last Date For Submission of Material To PAF and Dossier”, and now a 2-week deadline extension will still allow two weeks for departmental review. FAC also specifies that the dossier should be substantially complete upon the initial submission deadline.

FAC also received input from the Senate Sustainability Committee concerning how to adequately evaluate faculty that do interdisciplinary work across departmental boundaries. This committee also recommended that the RTP document acknowledge the importance of faculty efforts to address the university Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs).

The RTP process of the faculty of the University Libraries have previously governed by its own policy (13-14 FAC9) but they will now follow the same RTP process as other faculty, with a few differences in keeping with existing practice. I.e. there is no college committee, and the role of the university RTP committee will be served by a subcommittee of the university committee.

FAC also found other updates and changes that should be made as listed below.

On April 18, 2018 FAC reviewed the changes to the URTP and voted to approve the following changes. All additions noted by **bold red text**; deletions by ~~**bold black strikethrough**~~.

1. Table of Contents 3.3 and Section 3.3 changed typo of “personal” to “personnel”.
2. Changed quarter to semester language throughout.
3. Change "instructor" to "candidate" twice in the document for consistency.
4. Changed ‘department’, and ‘college’, and ‘university’ to capital when referring to a specific entity such as committee or chair, and to lower case where a more general reference is appropriate. Also changed “Dean of the College” and “Chair of the Department” to College Dean and Department Chair throughout.
5. Made some grammatical and spelling corrections.
6. Added language acknowledging electronic dossier option throughout.
7. 2.2—Added form for acknowledgement of RTP Policies and Procedures to appendix, and made explicit that the Department Chair shall forward it to be include in the PAF.
8. 2.2—Changed “at this time” to “in my second year” to modify first-year review of newly hired faculty because new faculty have not even completed one term of teaching by the deadline and thus have little to add to a dossier.
9. 2.4—Added section that reduces the duration and extent of retention review for first year faculty as they are due in November and therefore cannot address significant activities. We reduced the submission to a CV and syllabi, and reduced the review to department committee and chairperson. Reducing the first-year review will allow the new professors to focus on their work, and allow the committees to focus more on the dossiers of more experienced professors. This change was also incorporated into section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2.
10. 3.1—Added paragraph that summarizes sequence for retention that differs slightly from that of tenure and promotion.
11. 3.1—Added Special Note that the Library RTP process will now be covered by this policy (but there is no college committee, and the role of the university RTP committee will be served by a subcommittee of the university committee).
12. 3.1.4—Correctly replaced President with Provost as the Provost makes the initial decision (this was an oversight from previous policy change that added the Provost to the process). Added section stating that the President will notify the candidate of their decision.
13. Replaced reference to “final page of document” to more explicit reference to Section 18 in several places (e.g. 3.1.4 and 3.10.1).
14. 3.2.2.a—Added statement making clear that untenured department chairs may not participate in RTP decisions, but must provide a notice in the WPAF.

15. 3.3.1.b—Clarified language regarding purpose of Folder #1 and Folder #2. Added “and other documents” to contents of the WPAF to include any documents generated during the evaluation cycle.
16. 3.3.2.f.1—Generalized the language requiring that student course evaluations be done by university policy consistent with the CBA, but removing the requirement that all courses be evaluated because that is part of the CBA that may change.
17. 3.3.2.f.3&4—Clarified language for how the candidate can add selected student evaluations to their dossier, and not all student evaluations need to be replicated in the dossier.
18. 3.3.3—Fixed a typo and created section 3.3.3 from items in 3.3.2 as originally intended.
19. 3.3.3.b—Made explicit that a paper copy of the dossier index and C.V. must be submitted to the Provost Office to add to the PAF even when using an electronic dossier.
20. 3.3.3b—Added sentence that the dossier should be substantially complete at initial submission to facilitate constructive feedback from the chair before the final deadline.
21. 3.3.3.c—Created new section clarifying who is allowed access to the dossier at each step of the process.
22. 3.3.4.a.1—Clarified language that the dossier will be updated for each successive review.
23. 3.3.4.a.2—Clarified language about returning the dossier in the context of the electronic option.
24. 3.4.2—Replace specific dates with reference to dates in table of Section 18.
25. 3.4.3—Clarified a reference to the deadline to explicitly refer to the Last Date for Submission.
26. 3.4.3—Added clarification on existing process that the “Candidate must submit a hard copy of the evidence with a memo explaining significance of the evidence and why it was not available before the deadline.” A separate hard copy is required to avoid missing new material added to an electronic dossier.
27. 3.6.3.e—Changed September to August to reflect the beginning of a semester.
28. 3.6.5—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the department committee’s recommendation. Adding a PDF copy helps with prompt notification if the candidate is off campus.
29. 3.7—Student input during retention will be handled via ongoing consultation and access to the department chair. Formal consultation with the departmental tenure and promotion committees will occur during the tenure and promotion cycle only.
30. 3.7.1a—Revised notice to students to restrict input to those undergoing review for tenure, specifying that the faculty name be included in the notice, and adding November 1 deadline to the notice (making part 3.7.1.b. superfluous).
31. 3.8.3—Added sentence clarifying how rebuttals should be submitted.
32. 3.8.3 (and sections 10.2, 11.2, 12.2, 13.3)—added “or response” after rebuttal and added Provostal and Presidential levels to parallel language in the CBA contract section 15.5 which states “At all levels of review...the faculty unit employee may submit a rebuttal statement or response in writing and/or request a meeting”

33. 3.8.3—Added a sentence advising that upon receiving a rebuttal or response from a candidate, the review body should review the WPAF to help inform their eventual response.
34. 3.8.3a-g—Added subsections describing to whom rebuttals and responses are sent at each level, and how the candidate’s rebuttals and responses are forwarded and stored, and how the reviewers responses are forwarded and stored.
35. 3.8.3h—Added section that specifies existing practice that a rebuttal or response from a candidate must receive a written reply that states if the reviewing entity changed their decision.
36. 3.8.7—Added new right of the candidate that those engaged in interdisciplinary work have the right to be evaluated with a procedure in 9.2 that includes input from outside their main department.
37. 3.10.2.a—Added subsection clarifying that the chair must document their response to a request by the candidate of a deadline extension.
38. 3.10.2.b—Added subsection that the candidate may appeal a denial of deadline extension to the dean.
39. 3.10.3—Deleted advice that WPAFs shall be transferred to the next level of review as soon a review is complete, and specified they shall be sent on the deadline date. This facilitates review if the candidate submits a rebuttal on the deadline date.
40. 3.10.3—Specified that omissions by the reviewing entities must be supplemented in the WPAF. (In contrast, the procedure for how candidates submit information after the deadline is detailed in 3.4.3).
41. 4.2—Changed “may” to “shall” to describe how instructional achievement is demonstrated.
42. 4.2—Deleted from required documentation ‘peer review’ as it was unclear if this refers to outside letters or classroom observations, and documentation of classroom observations are not required by many departments.
43. 4.2—Clarified that evidence of instructional achievement must include student evaluations, and may include other evidence as listed.
44. 4.2—Added language encouraging peer classroom observation, and adding a footnote about where to receive help with classroom observation.
45. 4.2—Deleted “may” and clarified that instructional achievement “shall” include any of the listed categories of evidence.
46. 4.2.1—Added “community service projects” as another form of student-oriented instructional achievement.
47. 4.2.7—Added that contributions that facilitate achievement of our ILOs as another form of student-oriented instructional achievement.
48. 4.2.10—Included supervision of any academically-related internships to Instructional Achievement.
49. 4.3—Deleted “Uniform criteria for” and added “demonstrated by” to make first sentence grammatical.

50. 4.3—Changed “field” to “field(s)” and “discipline” to “discipline(s)” to recognize achievements can be in more than one field or discipline.
51. 4.3—Changed ‘competence’ to ‘expertise’ to reflect professional expectations.
52. 4.3.8—Clarified that professional achievement may be in multiple disciplines or fields.
53. 4.3.9—Added item to include professional achievement that addresses the ILOs.
54. 4.3.10—Added ‘and corporations’ to acknowledge that service as professional achievement.
55. 4.4.4—Added item to include university service that addresses the ILOs.
56. 4.4.4—Added item to include community service that addresses the ILOs.
57. 4.5—Deleted “local or state” to acknowledge service to agencies at other levels.
58. 5.1—Clarified language by adding ‘not only’.
59. 5.3.1—Corrected typo left over from previous revision.
60. 6.4.2—Clarified that a candidate that was denied early tenure would receive probationary appointment, not a terminal appointment.
61. 6.4.2—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the president’s recommendation.
62. 6.5.6—Added new section because there was confusion about a candidate gaining access to a dossier previously submitted for early tenure or promotion.
63. 10.1.4—Changed election of Department committee to May 1 to reflect earlier end of semesters.
64. 10.2.1a—Changed first meeting of Department committee to fourth week of Fall semester to ensure they are not convened before a late election in the first week of Fall semester.
65. 10.2.1.e— Changed ‘inform’ to ‘informing’ to parallel previous sections.
66. 10.2.5—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox and PDF copy of the department committee’s recommendation via email to ensure that faculty note the exact date of notification.
67. 10.2.6—Added stipulation that an untenured or lower-rank department chair cannot write letter for themselves as a candidate, or for a professor of a higher rank.
68. 10.2.6b—Revised ambiguous language to make clear than the 10-day deadline also applies to the submission of the rebuttal or response, not just the request for reconsideration.
69. 11.1.3—Election of College committee moved to May 7 to comport with semesters.
70. 11.2.4b—Added section to address appropriate evaluation of joint and administrative appointments outside of the home department.
71. 11.2.4c—Added to documentation to be examined by the college committee “Including the letters from the department committee and chair”, to make explicit that the college committee should not have access until after the department committee and chair have submitted their letters, as per recommended in FUFM1.
72. 11.2.7—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the college committee’s recommendation.

73. 11.2.7b—Referred to new sentence in 3.8.3 that clarifies rebuttal procedures.
74. 11.2.8—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the dean’s recommendation.
75. 11.2.8b—Referred to new sentence in 3.8.3 that clarifies rebuttal procedures.
76. 12.1.1—The library faculty largely use the same RTP process but without a college committee, and a subcommittee of the university RTP committee makes the recommendation directly to the provost. This new language refers to Appendix B that details how the library subcommittee is formed.
77. 12.1.2—Moved election of University Committee to April 15 to allow time to elect College and Department committees before the end of the semester.
78. 12.2.4b—Added section that University committee ensures that the College Committee observes procedures for joint appointments, administrative appointments, research grants, and/or assigned time for professional achievement as described in Section 9.
79. 12.2.10—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the University Committee’s recommendation (but leaving open who will send the notifications).
80. 12.2.10b—Referred to new sentence in 3.8.3 that clarifies rebuttal procedures.
81. 12.2.10c—Changed “president” to “provost” because now that the provost is part of the RTP review process, the provost should receive the rebuttal letter.
82. 13.3—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the Provost’s recommendation (but leaving open who will send the notifications).
83. 13.3.2—Referred to new sentence in 3.8.3 that clarifies rebuttal procedures.
84. 14—Added “by the Provost and the President” to make more explicit the purpose of section 14.
85. 14.1—Corrected “which” to “that”. Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the Provost’s recommendation (for or against Retention).
86. 14.2—Deleted the requirement that a candidate’s request for reconsideration by the provost be forwarded to the president as well.
87. 14.3—Added language specifying that the candidate shall receive a paper and PDF copy of the President’s recommendation (but leaving open who will send the notifications).
88. 14.4—Added “via hard copy” to emphasize importance of these final steps of the process.
89. 18—Created new column for 1st year retention schedule, to reflect changes in Section 2. We kept the dates the same as 2nd-year review for simplicity, but eliminated review by the dean as justified above.
90. 18—Changed submission date for Retention of 3rd, 4th, and 5th year faculty to Jan 22 from Jan 15, since Jan 15 is before classes start.
91. 18—Created new row specifying that review by the department committee can commence the day after the deadline for dossier submission.

92. 18—Changed last dates for submission of dossier, dossier index, and PAF materials from Oct 23 to Oct 15 to provide the Departmental Chair and Committee with an additional week to review materials.
93. 18—Added to Departmental Committee “letter due” to make it more explicit.
94. 18—Added a row specifying the review by the chair starts the day after departmental committee review is submitted.
95. 18—Made separate row to clarify when Departmental Chair letter is due.
96. 18—Added separate row that the beginning of access by the college committee is the day after Departmental letter is submitted.
97. 18—Changed Department Chair letter to Dec 15 from December 10 to provide maximum time and flexibility to the chair, and to make the due dates consistent with promotion and retention letters.
98. 18—Created new row specifying that review by the college committee can commence the day after the deadline for dossier submission.
99. 18—Changed College Committee letter to February 17 from February 10 to provide maximum time and flexibility to the committee, and to make the due dates consistent with promotion letters.
100. 18—Changed College Dean letter to March 15 from March 8 to provide maximum time and flexibility to the dean, and to make the due dates consistent with promotion and retention letters.
101. 18—Split the Notice row of the table into Provost Notice and President Notice to make procedure more clear. Added N/A to President row for retention cases to make clear final decisions are made by the Provost.
102. Added Appendix A, a form to be printed and signed concerning “Acknowledgment of Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy and Procedures.”
103. Added Appendix B, “Formation of the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee” that is the alternative policy that replaces section 12.1 for library faculty.



Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy and Procedures

History: 75-76 BEC 42 / 74-75 CFA 7

Senate Approved May 11, 1976

President Approved May 17, 1976

*This revision incorporates all changes and amendments from the following documents 76-77 CFA 4, 76-77 CFA 7, 77-8 CFA 9, 78-79 CFA 2, 78-79 CFA 6. 79-80 CFA 12 (as amended). 80-81 FAC 5. 80-81 FAC 7. 81-82 FAC 4, 82-83 BEC 4, 82-83 BEC 6, 83-84 FAC 9 (as amended), 83-84 BEC 7, 83-84 FAC 7 (as amended), 84-85 FAC 4 (as amended), 85-86 FAC 6, 86-87 FAC 6 (as amended). 86-87 FAC 12, 87-88 FAC 3 (as amended), 89-90 FAC 3 (Revised), 90-91 FAC 8 (Revised), 91-92 FAC 3, 96-97 FAC 5, 97-98 FAC 3, 97-98 FAC 6, 99-00 FAC 5, 00-01 FAC 4, 01-02 FAC 4, FAC 9, 02-03 FAC 4, 03-04 FAC 2, 05-06 FAC 4 revised. 08-09 FAC 8 as modified by 08-09 BEC 13, 11-12 FAC 2rev, 12-13 FAC 2, 15-16 FAC 5, **17-18 FAC 10***

Contents

1. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	1
2. NOTIFICATION TO NEW FACULTY REGARDING RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES	1
2.1. <i>First Notification</i>	1
2.2. <i>Department Notification</i>	1
2.3. <i>Mentorship</i>	2
3. GENERAL PROVISIONS	2
3.1. <i>Sequence of Evaluation</i>	2
3.2. <i>Conflicts of Interest</i>	4
3.3. <i>Candidate's Personnel Action File (PAF), Working Personnel Action File (WPAF), Dossier, and Related Materials</i>	4
3.4. <i>Maintenance of a Uniform WPAF</i>	7
3.5. <i>Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committees</i>	8
3.6. <i>Committee Operations at All Levels</i>	8
3.7. <i>Consultation with Students for Tenure and Promotion Review</i>	10
3.8. <i>Rights of the Candidate</i>	10
3.9. <i>Coping with Bias</i>	12
3.10. <i>Deadlines</i>	12
4. DEFINITION OF UNIFORM CRITERIA	13

4.1.	<i>Degree</i>	13
4.2.	<i>Instructional Achievement</i>	14
4.3.	<i>Professional Achievement</i>	15
4.4.	<i>University Service</i>	16
4.5.	<i>Community Service</i>	17
5.	RETENTION	17
5.1.	<i>Expectations</i>	17
5.2.	<i>Criteria</i>	17
5.3.	<i>Procedures</i>	18
6.	TENURE	18
6.1.	<i>Expectations</i>	18
6.2.	<i>Eligibility</i>	18
6.3.	<i>Criteria</i>	19
6.4.	<i>Procedures</i>	19
6.5.	<i>Early Tenure</i>	20
7.	PROMOTION FROM ASSISTANT TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	20
7.1.	<i>Expectations</i>	20
7.2.	<i>Eligibility</i>	20
7.3.	<i>Criteria</i>	21
7.4.	<i>Procedures</i>	21
7.5.	<i>Early Promotion to Associate Professor</i>	21
8.	PROMOTION FROM ASSOCIATE TO FULL PROFESSOR	22
8.1.	<i>Expectations</i>	22
8.2.	<i>Eligibility</i>	22
8.3.	<i>Criteria</i>	22
8.4.	<i>Procedures</i>	22
8.5.	<i>Early Promotion to Full Professor</i>	22
9.	CANDIDATES WITH JOINT APPOINTMENTS, ADMINISTRATIVE APPOINTMENTS, RESEARCH GRANTS, AND/OR ASSIGNED TIME FOR PROFESSIONAL ACHIEVEMENT	23
9.1.	<i>Joint Appointments</i>	23
9.2.	<i>Administrative Assignments</i>	23
9.3.	<i>Candidates with Research Grants</i>	24
9.4.	<i>Candidates with Assigned Time for Professional Achievement</i>	24
10.	REVIEW BY THE DEPARTMENT	24

10.1.	<i>Formation of the Department Committee</i>	24
10.2.	<i>Functions of the Department Committee and the Department Chair</i>	25
11.	REVIEW BY THE COLLEGE	28
11.1.	<i>Formation of the College Committee</i>	28
11.2.	<i>Functions of the College Committee and the College Dean</i>	28
12.	REVIEW BY THE UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE	
12.1.	<i>Formation of the University Committee</i>	31
12.2.	<i>Functions of the University Committee</i>	32
13.	REVIEW BY THE PROVOST AND VICE PRESIDENT, ACADEMIC AFFAIRS	35
14.	NOTIFICATION TO THE CANDIDATE BY THE PROVOST AND PRESIDENT REGARDING THE PRESIDENT'S DECISION	35
15.	CONCLUSION OF UNIVERSITY REVIEW	376
16.	POST-TENURE REVIEW	37
17.	INTERPRETATION OF THIS POLICY DOCUMENT	387
18.	RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION TIMELINE	38
	APPENDIX A	39
	APPENDIX B	40

RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

1. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

The retention, tenure, and promotion policy of the University is designed to assure, within the policies of the Trustees of the California State University and the Collective Bargaining Agreement, that (1) excellence in the educational function will be recognized and rewarded, and that (2) the quality of the Faculty of the University will be maintained at the highest possible level.

Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are based on excellence; they are not automatic. The candidate must clearly satisfy the appropriate criteria. Achievement as it is demonstrated should be appropriately rewarded. Administrative and executive employees shall not acquire tenure or academic rank without prior consultation with the department concerned (see Title 5, Section 42701, Consultative Procedures).

A profile approach shall be used in the evaluation of a candidate for retention, tenure, or promotion. Exceptional ratings on one or more of the criteria may offset minor deficiencies with respect to other criteria. CSU East Bay is a teaching institution; hence instructional achievement will be the foremost criterion at all levels of review.

Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are separate, and the standards ~~which~~ **that** govern them, while similar, are not identical. A probationary faculty member shall normally be considered for promotion at the same time they are considered for tenure. Promotion prior to the attainment of tenure is to be considered only in special circumstances. In no case shall a probationary faculty member be promoted beyond the rank of Associate Professor except when tenure and promotion to Professor are granted simultaneously. When a candidate does not have tenure, a recommendation to promote does not entail an obligation to recommend for tenure; neither does the according of tenure entail an obligation later to recommend for promotion.

The policies, procedures, and criteria described herein, which conform to provisions of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Trustees of The California State University and the California Faculty Association (hereafter abbreviated as "CBA"), the CSU Statement of Collegiality, and to other operative provisions of Title V of the California Administrative Code, Education, shall apply to all recommendations relating to retention, tenure, and promotion. This document, including amendments recommended by the Academic Senate and approved by the President of the University, supersedes all previous University policies on retention, tenure, and promotion.

2. NOTIFICATION TO NEW FACULTY REGARDING RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

2.1. *First Notification*

The Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs (henceforth Provost) will notify faculty of deadline dates for submission of dossiers by the opening day of the Fall ~~Quarter~~ **Semester** each year.

2.2. *Department Notification*

In order to ensure that every new regular faculty member of the University is aware of their responsibility for maintaining a dossier for the use of the Department, College, and

University in decisions regarding their retention, tenure, and promotion, the Department Chair shall, within the first four weeks of the faculty member's initial appointment, bring to the attention of the new faculty member the then-current University documents describing the policies, procedures, and deadline dates governing retention, tenure, and promotion. The Department Chair shall inform the new faculty member of the need to maintain a dossier and the kinds of evidence to be included in it. The Department Chair shall also file **the signed and completed form in the faculty member's PAF (wording shown below and see Appendix A for the form). ~~a copy of the appropriate policy document in the faculty member's PAF, together with the following statement signed by the new faculty member:~~**

*I hereby affirm that my Department Chair has informed me about the Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy and Procedures of California State University, East Bay. I understand that I am required to create and maintain a dossier of evidence documenting my instructional and professional achievements and other contributions to the University. **In my second year, I am electing to submit an electronic / hard copy (choose one) dossier.** I understand further that it is my responsibility to know the provisions governing any instance of retention, tenure or promotion for which I may come under review, and to adhere to stipulated time-tables for such reviews. Lastly, I understand that this statement applies to all candidates, including those on unpaid professional leave, unless the President has issued a written exemption.*

The Department Chair shall forward the signed form to the Office of Academic Affairs for inclusion in the faculty member's PAF.

2.3. ***Mentorship***

The Department Chair or Chair Designee shall serve as formal advisor for all faculty eligible for retention, tenure, and/or promotion, discussing the candidate's progress no later than the end of each academic year. Probationary faculty are urged to seek out mentors within or outside the Department and the assistance of the Office of Faculty Development.

- 2.4. **Individuals in their first year will submit the signed affirmation on the last page of this document within the first 4 weeks of their appointment, and will submit a current C.V. and copies of syllabi developed for CSUEB, but not a complete dossier, according to the timeline in section 18. The candidate may elect to submit via the electronic dossier or in hard copy.**

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

3.1. ***Sequence of Evaluation***

Faculty considered for retention, tenure, and promotion shall be evaluated by their faculty colleagues. This judgment shall be rendered by elected Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committees at appropriate levels. The recommendations of these Committees, together with the separate recommendations of Department Chairs, College/**Library** Deans, and the Provost shall be forwarded to the President of the

University. The President of the University shall make the final decision in each case of tenure and promotion.

In cases of retention, the same process is followed; however, the process ends in the Office of the Provost, who renders the decisions regarding retention.

SPECIAL NOTE: Throughout this document where the words College or College Dean are mentioned, the same provisions apply to the Library and the Library Dean, except that the Library has no College Committee. Its retention process involves the Department Committee, the Chair, the Dean, and the Provost. Its tenure and promotion process involves the Department Committee, the Chair, the Library Dean, the Librarian Subcommittee of the University Committee, the Provost, and the President.

- 3.1.1. Evaluation of faculty shall begin at the department level. The general sequence of recommendations shall be from the Department, to the College, to the University level. **In the first year, the materials are reviewed only by the department committee and the chair.** In the special case of faculty with appointments in programs outside of regular departments, the evaluation process shall begin with the College Committee.
- 3.1.2. *In retention cases **after the first year***, the Department Committee shall first make its recommendation, which will be transmitted to the Department Chair. The Chair shall forward the Committee's recommendation together with their own recommendation, to the College Dean. If the Department Committee and the Department Chair disagree on their retention recommendations, or if the College Dean requests it, the College Committee shall consider the recommendations of the Department Committee and the Department Chair and formulate its own recommendation, which will be transmitted to the College Dean. The College Dean shall separately evaluate the faculty member and forward their recommendation, together with the recommendations of the other reviewing bodies, to the Provost. The University Committee shall be consulted in retention cases only where bias is charged, according to the procedures specified in Sections 11.2.9 and 12.2.4(b).
- 3.1.3. *In cases of tenure and/or promotion*, the sequence of evaluations shall be identical at all levels for candidates and shall consist of the following stages: (1) separate evaluations first by the Department Committee and then by the Department Chair; their recommendations are to be forwarded by the Department Chair to the College Committee via the Office of the College Dean; (2) separate evaluations first by the College Committee and then by the College Dean; their recommendations are to be forwarded by the Dean to the University Committee; (3) evaluation by the University Committee, which shall make its recommendations to the Provost; 4) the Provost then makes recommendations to the President of the University.

- 3.1.4. Having received the documentation and recommendations from lower levels, the **President Provost** shall notify the candidate, in writing, of their decision. **The President shall notify the candidate in writing of tenure and promotion decisions.** The ~~President's~~ notifications shall be made in conformity with the appropriate deadline dates as specified ~~on the final page of this document in~~ **Section 18.**

3.2. *Conflicts of Interest*

- 3.2.1. Regarding candidates for promotion: The procedures for election of faculty Committees at each level are specified in Sections 10.1, 11.1, and 12.1 of this document. However, no faculty member who is a candidate for promotion shall serve in any capacity on any Tenure and Promotion Committee during the period between July 1 and June 30 when they are a candidate for promotion.
- 3.2.2. Regarding Department Chairs:
- a. **Only tenured Department Chairs may participate in any retention, tenure and promotion cases. If untenured, the Chair of the Department shall include a notice in the WPAF of each affected candidate accounting for the absence of a letter from the Department Chair, and shall forward the retention recommendation of the Department Committee to the College Dean. In tenure and promotion cases, the notice passes from the Department Committee to the College Committee.**
 - b. No Department Chair or College Dean who is currently a candidate for promotion shall write an official letter of evaluation ~~in~~ **on** their own behalf.
 - c. No Department Chair shall write a letter of evaluation for any candidate in the department seeking promotion to a higher rank than the Department Chair currently holds. The Chair of the Department Committee shall include a notice in the WPAF of each affected candidate accounting for the absence of a letter from the Department Chair, and shall forward the recommendation of the Department Committee for each such candidate to the College Committee via the Office of the College Dean.

3.3. *Candidate's Personnel Action File (PAF), Working Personnel Action File (WPAF), Dossier, and Related Materials*

- 3.3.1. Definitions of Terms
- a. Personnel Action File (~~hereafter abbreviated as "PAF"~~²) refers to the official personnel file containing employment information and other information relevant to personnel recommendations or actions regarding a faculty unit employee. These materials normally include the candidate's letter of appointment, ~~numerical scores of~~ teaching evaluations, and other materials relating specifically to the faculty member's employment at the University. The PAF remains at the Provost's Office during the cycle of evaluation and will be available to all levels of review. No material may be added to the PAF without the candidate's knowledge.

- b. “Folder # 1” (**the retention/tenure folder**) and “Folder #2” (**the promotion folder**) refers to the folders containing copies of **the same** materials from the PAF circulated to different levels of review, along with the candidate’s dossier, in a cycle of evaluation. ~~Folder # 1~~ **These folders** normally contains the candidate’s letter of appointment and ~~numerical~~ teaching evaluations from the start of the period of eligibility, as well as all materials generated during the evaluation cycle, such as the dossier index, curriculum vitae, and evaluations by the faculty Committees, the Department Chair, and the College Dean, **and other documents**. Other materials may be added during the cycle with the permission of the University Committee. ~~In cases of tenure and promotion, Folder # 1 refers to the tenure folder; and Folder # 2 refers to the promotion folder, which contains the same material as the tenure folder but in reference to promotion.~~
 - c. The "dossier" refers to the evidence provided by the candidate. **The dossier may be paper or be electronic and accessible to the reviewers online.**
 - d. The “dossier index” refers to the table of contents of the dossier, which forms the official record of the evidence in the dossier.
 - e. Working Personnel Action File (~~hereafter abbreviated as~~ “WPAF²”) refers to Folder # 1 (and Folder # 2 where relevant) and the dossier combined, and is circulated to the different levels of review in an evaluation cycle.
 - f. “Evidence” refers to all materials in the WPAF and PAF, including both folder # 1 and the dossier.
 - g. The “period of eligibility” is the time during which a candidate is being considered for retention, tenure, and/or promotion. For probationary faculty, this includes (1) each annual retention cycle from the candidate’s appointment to their period of eligibility for tenure and/or promotion; and (2) the year in which the candidate applies for tenure and/or promotion to Associate Professor. For faculty at the Associate rank, this is the period beginning in their fifth year following promotion to Associate Professor.
 - h. An “evaluation cycle” is the period of time during which a candidate is being considered for retention, tenure, and/or promotion. For probationary faculty, the cycles are equivalent to the periods of a candidate’s eligibility. For faculty at the Associate rank, an evaluation cycle is the year in which a candidate chooses to apply for promotion to Full Professor.
- 3.3.2. Building the Dossier
- a. In accordance with Section 2.0, it is the responsibility of the candidate to prepare and maintain a dossier containing evidence **which that** shall provide a basis for informed judgment on their qualifications. It is the responsibility of the Department Chair or the Chair’s designee to advise the candidate on the proper selection, organization, and presentation of material in the dossier according to the relevant criteria, and on the preparation of an adequate dossier index.
 - b. The candidate is also strongly urged to include an introductory narrative letter regarding the materials in their dossier and a narrative description at the start of

each section explaining the significance of their achievements and improvements since the previous cycle of review.

- c. The candidate should include evidence in the dossier that is strictly relevant to the five categories of the retention, tenure, and promotion process (see Section 4.0 below, definition of uniform criteria). Quality and clarity are highly valued; excessive quantity and repetition are not.
 - d. The same evidence may be discussed under more than one section of the dossier, but only one copy of the evidence should be included in the dossier.
 - e. A candidate may include a separate section containing representative evidence of achievement for which they received service credit at the time of appointment, but the preponderance of evidence must substantiate achievements at CSUEB.
 - f. *Regarding the inclusion of teaching evaluations:*
 - (1) The candidate's dossier must document teaching performance according to evaluation techniques appropriate to the candidate's discipline and using impartially administered student evaluation forms. **In accordance with the CBA and the University's current policy on student evaluations, all classes for each faculty unit employee shall have such student evaluations.**
 - (2) Any documentation must include for each class, or other instructional assignment evaluated, a statement which specifies the class, date, number of students in the class and number of respondents, and which summarizes the results of the evaluation. See the current University policy on student evaluations for details. The **candidate** may also include analyses of the data and evaluation forms of a different type and may include reports of classroom visits by other faculty members and other appropriate evidence.
 - (3) The candidate may choose **which to include selected** student evaluations ~~they places~~ in the dossier. **but The candidate** is encouraged to demonstrate teaching capacity across a variety of courses **and to include by including** copies or summaries of student comments from the ~~original~~ evaluations.
 - (4) ~~The complete numerical summaries~~ **All evaluations submitted by the candidate's Department to the PAF will be** included in Folder # 1 **and/or Folder #2**, and need not be replicated in the dossier.
- 3.3.3. Submission of Materials to the WPAF:
- a. *Significance of the dossier index:* In accordance with Section 15 of the CBA, materials for evaluation submitted by the candidate shall be deemed incorporated by reference in the PAF, but need not be physically placed in the file. Thus the dossier index represents the formal record of the dossier and will be permanently placed in the PAF and appropriately updated to reflect any material added to the file during the course of the evaluation cycle for the WPAF. Materials incorporated by reference in this manner shall be considered part of the WPAF.

- b. *Deadline for submission of dossier* ~~and dossier index~~. The candidate will submit their dossier to the department and **a paper copy of** their dossier index **and C.V.** to the Provost's Office according to the timelines ~~at the end of this document~~ **in Section 18. At the time of initial submission, the dossier should be substantially complete.**
 - c. ***Access to the dossier: After the initial submission and before the Last Date for Submission, access to the dossier (electronic or paper) shall be restricted to the candidate, and others specifically designated by the candidate. After the 'Last Date for Submission of Material to PAF and Dossier' access shall be restricted to the person or committee reviewing the dossier, as detailed in Section 18 (except under conditions met in Section 3.4.3).***
 - d. *Other potential materials for PAF:* The candidate may also wish to submit the curriculum vitae and introductory narrative letter from their dossier for inclusion in the WPAF.
- 3.3.4. Disposition of Materials in the WPAF
- a. *Regarding the dossiers:*
 - (1) It is expected that for **retention, and for tenure and promotion**, ~~and for each successive instance of retention and promotion~~ a candidate's PAF and dossier will be augmented by evidence of intervening achievement appropriate to the instance at hand, and that outdated or otherwise superfluous documentation will be removed.
 - (2) The dossier shall be returned to the **exclusive control of the** candidate at the end of each evaluation cycle.
 - b. *Regarding Folder # 1:*
 - (1) Prior to the award of tenure, letters of recommendation on retention shall remain in Folder # 1 of the WPAF.
 - (2) After the award of tenure, no letters of recommendation pertaining to earlier considerations for retention, tenure, or promotion shall be placed in the PAF unless the candidate chooses to do so.
 - (3) Department and ~~C~~college ~~O~~ffices shall not maintain a cumulative file of copies of past letters of recommendation; such copies of letters of recommendation as are kept by ~~D~~department and ~~C~~college ~~O~~ffices shall be destroyed by the Department Chair and College Dean respectively at the end of the academic year in which they were written.
 - (4) The original letters of recommendation in tenure and promotion actions shall be disposed of by the Provost's Office.

3.4. ***Maintenance of a Uniform WPAF***

In making recommendations, neither the faculty Committees, nor the Department Chair, nor the College Dean, nor the Provost, nor the President shall take into account evidence that has not been available to each of the reviewing bodies.

- 3.4.1. Ideally, a candidate's appeal at any level should be finished before the transmittal of the WPAF to the next level. In the event a deadline requires that the WPAF be

sent forward before an appeal is concluded, the written responses to the appeal shall follow the WPAF as soon as possible.

- 3.4.2. The deadlines for insertion of documentation into the PAF (for Folder #1) ~~and the dossier will be October 23 for candidates for promotion or tenure; November 15 for first and second year retention candidates; and February 1 for third, fourth, and fifth year retention candidates~~ **are detailed in Section 18, “Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Timeline”**. Such documentation may include information provided by the candidate, faculty unit employees, students, academic administrators, and the President. Faculty unit employees and academic administrators may submit statements and opinions about the qualifications and work of the candidate provided by other persons identified by name.
- 3.4.3. Any new evidence submitted after the **‘Last Date For Submission of Material To PAF and Dossier’-deadline** shall be limited to items that became accessible after the deadline and must have the approval of the University Committee for inclusion. **The candidate must submit a hard copy of the evidence with a memo explaining the significance of the evidence and why it was not available before the deadline.** This new evidence will be submitted in proper sequence to each reviewing body so that each body in turn may indicate the extent, if any, to which the new evidence has modified its previous recommendation. WPAFs will not be returned for such reviews.
- 3.4.4. The candidate will receive copies of all materials submitted to the WPAF by any other person.

3.5. *Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committees*

Throughout this document, the “Committees” shall be deemed to include: the Department Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committee; the College Tenure and Promotion Committee; and the University Tenure and Promotion Committee.

- 3.5.1. The Department Committee may be a single Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committee; or a separate Retention Committee and a separate Tenure and Promotion Committee, depending on the availability of eligible faculty to serve.
- 3.5.2. The College Committee is a Tenure and Promotion Committee only, but may be asked to evaluate applications for retention under certain circumstances.
- 3.5.3. The University Committee is a Tenure and Promotion Committee only, but may be asked to evaluate applications for retention under certain conditions.

3.6. *Committee Operations at All Levels*

- 3.6.1. The Committees shall serve for a term of one year, from July 1 through June 30. Those eligible may not serve at more than one level simultaneously.

- 3.6.2. *Eligibility to vote:* Those eligible to *vote* for members of the Committees are the probationary and tenured Regular Faculty of ~~D~~departments and ~~C~~colleges as defined by the Constitution of the University Faculty. No person not a member of the faculty unit under provisions of the CBA shall be eligible to vote in elections for the Committees.
- 3.6.3. *Eligibility to serve:*
- a. Tenured Regular Faculty who hold the rank of Associate Professor are eligible to serve on their Department Retention Committees; and may serve on one other Retention Committee in a department other than their own.
 - b. Tenured Regular Faculty who hold the rank of Full Professor are eligible to serve on any Department Committee, and may serve on one other Committee in a department other than their own.
 - c. Department Chairs who are tenured Full Professors may serve on no more than two Committees in a department or departments other than their own.
 - d. Department Chairs, College Deans, and Associate Deans, although members of the Regular Faculty, are not eligible to serve on the College and University Committees.
 - e. Eligibility for service on Promotion and Tenure Committees will reflect the faculty member's status as of ~~September~~ **August** of the evaluation year.
- 3.6.4. Each member of a Committee shall vote on every case before the Committee. A majority of the total Committee membership must vote in favor of the candidate in order for the Committee's recommendation to be an affirmative one.
- 3.6.5. A Committee's recommendation shall be in written form, as a letter to be included in the WPAF. Every member of the Committee shall sign the letter. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email.**
- 3.6.6. A Committee's letter shall state the Committee's recommendation. Ordinarily, the letter will also summarize favorable and unfavorable views according to each of the criteria. For each category of criteria – instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service – the letter shall indicate whether the candidate “meets expectations,” “exceeds expectations,” or “does not meet expectations.” In the absence of a unanimous recommendation, the letter shall include two separate sections: one for the majority opinion and one or more for minority opinions. The Committee members shall sign only the single letter of the Committee as a whole, with no separate indications of which viewpoint any individual member favors.
- 3.6.7. Members of Committees and administrators at all levels shall maintain the confidentiality of all substantive business of the Committee. Inquiries from other faculty members about the work of the Committee should be directed to the Committee Chair.

3.7. Consultation with Students *for tenure and promotion review*

3.7.1. Notification to Students

- a. ~~Chairs of~~ Departments **Chairs** shall post the following notice on departmental bulletin boards:

It is the policy of California State University, East Bay that students may consult with Departmental Tenure, and Promotion Committee on the ~~retention,~~ tenure, and promotion of departmental faculty. If any student desires to meet with the Department of _____ Tenure and Promotion Committee to discuss the performance of ~~a faculty member~~ _____ **who is** being considered **for tenure and/or promotion** by the Committee, arrangements can be made with the Department Chair in Room _____ before _____ **November 1.**

- ~~b. The Department may adopt any procedure by which the names of faculty members being considered are made known to students, but in any case the names shall be available to students, upon request, from the Department Chair. Students desiring to testify shall make arrangements with the Department Chair.~~
- c. The Department Chair shall arrange in cooperation with the Chair of the Department Promotion, Tenure, and Retention Committee, the time and place of the meeting(s) of the Committee for the purpose of hearing students.

3.7.2. Consultation Procedures

- a. Committee meetings at which student consultation is to take place shall not include any faculty members not on the Committee and must include at least a quorum of the Committee.
- b. Whenever possible, each student requesting consultation shall be heard individually. The Committee, however, may make exceptions when circumstances warrant.
- c. The Committee shall keep a written summary of the comments of each student. Such summary shall specify the course(s) taken or the student's other involvement with the faculty member. In addition, an alphabetical list of the students who have testified before the Committee shall be kept. These summaries shall be retained in the ~~D~~department office until the expiration of the period during which a grievance could be filed. A candidate has the right to see the summaries related to their case.
- d. In its written recommendation on the faculty member, the Committee shall note whether or not student comments have been received and shall include a summary of comments received and the Committee's evaluation of such comments.

3.8. *Rights of the Candidate*

- 3.8.1. The candidate is entitled to be informed of and to have read all materials in their WPAF as it goes forward from one level to another.
- 3.8.2. The candidate shall be informed of the recommendation in their case at each stage of the reviewing process, and of the reasons for that recommendation. The candidate shall therefore receive copies of the letters of the Committees and of the Department Chair and the College Dean, regardless of whether the recommendation is positive or negative. The Department Chair and the College Dean have the responsibility for providing the candidate with such letters at the appropriate level in accordance with Sections 10.2.5, 10.2.6, 11.2.7, and 11.2.8 of this document.
- 3.8.3. The candidate shall have the right to respond to the decision at the Department, College, University, Provostal, **or Presidential** level and to add a letter of rebuttal **or response** to their WPAF in accordance with provisions of Section 10.2.5, 10.2.6, 11.2.7, 11.2.8, 12.2.10 and 13.3.2 of this document. **This letter of rebuttal or response must be submitted by the deadline (10 days following receipt of the recommendation), by PDF attachment or hard copy to the chair of the committee or the administrator at the level of review to whom the rebuttal or response applies. Those submitting electronically must also submit a signed hard copy for the WPAF as soon as possible. Each level of review receiving a copy of the rebuttal or response is encouraged to view the recommendation (in the WPAF) to which the rebuttal or response applies.**
- a. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the Department RTP committee shall be sent to the Department Chair who shall place a copy in the WPAF and send a copy to the chair of the Department RTP committee.**
 - b. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the Department Chair shall be sent to the Department Chair who shall place a copy in the WPAF and send a copy of the rebuttal/response to the chair of the Department RTP committee.**
 - c. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the College Committee (in tenure and promotion cases) shall be sent to the College Dean's Office, who shall place a copy in the WPAF and send a copy of the rebuttal/response to the Department Chair and Department RTP Committee.**
 - d. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the College Dean shall be sent to the College Dean's Office, who shall place a copy in the WPAF and send a copy of the rebuttal/response to the College Committee, the Department Chair and the Department RTP Committee.**
 - e. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the University Committee shall be sent to the Provost's Office, who shall place a copy in the WPAF and send a copy of the rebuttal/response to the College Dean, the College Committee, the Department Chair and the Department RTP Committee.**
 - f. **Responses or rebuttals to letters from the Provost shall be placed in the WPAF and a copy sent to the University Committee, the College Dean, the College Committee, the Department Chair, and the Department Committee.**

- g. **Responses and rebuttals to letters from the President shall be placed in the WPAF and a copy sent to all previous levels of review.**
 - h. **The recipient of the rebuttal or response (Department Committee Chair, Department Chair, College Committee Chair, Dean, Provost or President) shall indicate receipt of a response or rebuttal, and communicate whether or not their recommendation has changed.**
- 3.8.4. Any candidate for promotion to any rank may withdraw their candidacy at any stage of consideration by requesting this action in writing, of the Department Chair, who shall take immediate steps to stop all further consideration.
- 3.8.5. When all provisions of this document have been exhausted, a candidate for retention, tenure, or promotion who has received a negative decision from the Provost (in the case of retention) or President (in the case tenure or promotion) may then appeal their case in accordance with Article 10 of the CBA ("Grievance Procedures"). In retention cases, this shall not be interpreted to mean that the candidate must first have requested an investigation of bias under provisions of Section 11.2.9 of this document.
- 3.8.6. The candidate will have supervised access to their WPAF upon request at any point in the evaluation cycle.
- 3.8.7. **Candidates engaged in interdisciplinary work have the right to be evaluated by the procedure in 9.2.**

3.9. *Coping with Bias*

All reviewing bodies are charged with making their recommendations without bias. Nevertheless, it is recognized that personal prejudice may insert itself into personnel decisions. Section 12.2.4(b) of this document provides, with regard to tenure and promotion cases, that the University Committee be especially alert for this problem, and empowers it to undertake whatever special investigation may be necessary to evaluate the degree of bias at lower levels of review and to make appropriate recommendations to the Provost and the President. A candidate for retention, notwithstanding the fact that their case does not ordinarily go to the University Committee, may have similar recourse to that Committee on charges of bias, as specified in Section 11.2.9 of this document.

3.10 *Deadlines*

- 3.10.1. Deadline dates for retention, tenure, and promotion proceedings are **detailed in Section 18 "Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Timeline" listed on the final page of this document**. These deadlines are established not only for administrative convenience and legal requirements, but also to ensure equitable consideration of all cases.
- 3.10.2. The candidate must submit the completed dossier for retention, tenure, and/or promotion to the Department Chair and the dossier index to the Provost's Office by

the specified deadline date. Upon written request of the candidate, the Department Chair may extend the deadline, but only for the most compelling reasons, and for no more than two weeks.

3.10.2.a. The chair shall submit a letter to the WPAF documenting the denial or specifying the new deadline date.

3.10.2.b. If the request is denied, the candidate may appeal the Chair's decision to the College Dean.

3.10.2.c. If a candidate for retention or tenure fails to comply with the obligation to provide a dossier of materials within the established deadlines, then in accordance with Article 15.12 of the CBA, the evaluation will proceed on the basis of material deemed appropriate by the Department Chair after consultation with the College Dean.

3.10.2.d. A candidate who does not submit a dossier or request an extension shall not be considered for promotion that year.

3.10.3. WPAFs shall be transferred to the next level of review or administrator ~~as soon as possible, and in no case later than~~ **on** the deadline date. If a recommendation is unfinished by the deadline, the candidate shall be so notified of the delay, and a copy of the notification shall be attached to the WPAF. (See Article 15.44 of the CBA.) At any stage of the review process, if there are omissions of documentation, information, or recommendations **by reviewing entities, it may the WPAF will** be returned for supplementation, which will be provided in a timely manner.

4. DEFINITION OF UNIFORM CRITERIA

All faculty, whatever their rank, experience, discipline(s), or field(s), are engaged in a similar intellectual enterprise and perform essentially the same kinds of services for the University. Hence faculty will be evaluated according to uniform criteria for instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service.

One qualification is recommended:

Given the great variety of professional work among the faculty, departments are strongly encouraged to establish and maintain guidelines for professional achievement that are consistent with a) the department's discipline or disciplines; b) the uniform criteria for professional achievement outlined in section 4.3 below; and c) CSU professional criteria in general, as suitable to a teaching university. The guidelines will be developed by the departmental faculty and approved by the College Dean in consultation with their council of chairs. Such Guidelines, with a dated record of the department vote and the Dean's approval, shall be kept on file in the offices of the College Dean and the Provost.

4.1. Degree

This criterion is met by possession of the Doctorate, or the normal terminal degree (or in exceptional cases, the equivalent thereof). Each ~~D~~department shall maintain with the Office of the Provost an approved memorandum of understanding that will define the appropriate terminal degree for faculty serving in that ~~D~~department.

Each **D**department, by majority vote of the tenured faculty of that **D**department, shall also file with the Office of the Provost a memorandum of understanding that will define equivalence for each terminal degree which is appropriate for members of the **D**department. This memorandum must be approved by the Provost and by the Faculty Affairs Committee before it can become effective. Unless the appropriate memorandum is on file, no person shall be deemed to have such equivalence. Once a person receives an equivalent designation under this policy, no further designations of equivalency are required.

4.2. *Instructional Achievement*

Instructional achievement **shall may** be demonstrated by documentary evidence of the ability to select appropriate materials, to present course content effectively, and to make significant demands upon the intelligence and industry of students. Such documentary evidence shall include impartially-administered student evaluations, **peer evaluations and may include** course syllabi, and additional information, such as samples of student work evaluated by the candidate, examinations, and supplemental materials. (See Section 3.3.2f.)

Student evaluations will be considered as one element in the full evaluation of instructional achievement. **Documentation of peer classroom observation is highly encouraged¹**. Additional support of instructional achievement may include evidence of any of the following, or other appropriate activities:

1. creativity in coursework as demonstrated by innovative techniques, by adaptation of course content to reflect change and progress in the subject matter area, or by initiation of and participation in student-oriented seminars, colloquia, workshops, exhibitions, dramatic performances, debates, forums, recitals, **community service projects** and the like;
2. ability to develop and present new courses or activities as demonstrated by specimen course outlines, by preliminary investigations into the necessary library or equipment acquisitions, or by acceptance of the courses or activities by faculty and students;
3. ability to relate the discipline to other disciplines and fields of endeavor, as demonstrated by participation in interdisciplinary programs, seminars, and forums; and in freshmen learning communities;
4. advising and counseling effectiveness, especially for purposes of student success and retention;
5. student achievement and recognition as demonstrated by awards, fellowships, publications, exhibits, performances, vocational employment, or entry into professional training or graduate programs, when such recognition is an outgrowth of the **instructor's candidate's** guidance and instructional effectiveness;

¹ **The Office of Faculty Development is equipped to assist departments with formative and summative classroom evaluations and the development of internal departmental procedures.**

6. supervision of undergraduate independent study students as evidenced by program reports, and of graduate students, supported by abstracts of thesis or project reports;
7. **activities that support the university's distinct mission, as reflected in our commitment to the Institutional Learning Outcomes**
8. successful supervision of student teachers;
9. successful supervision of students in credentialing, clinical, and other counseling programs;
10. successful supervision of service-learning **or other academically-related** internships;
11. contributions to the development of hybrid and online learning;
12. instructionally-related administrative assignments;
13. ability to provide effective instruction to a multiethnic and culturally-diverse student population.

4.3. **Professional Achievement**

~~Uniform Criteria for~~ Professional achievement is demonstrated by material documenting meritorious contributions and recognition within the field(s) of the candidate's **competence expertise**. This may be shown by any of the following, and may be articulated in approved departmental guidelines for professional achievement:

1. publications, in the form of contributions to professional journals of national or international circulation, or in the form of works published by publishing houses of national or international repute, together with pertinent reviews of the published works;
2. critical contributions, in the form of criticism or reviews for national periodicals or magazines, national newspapers, or other communication media;
3. oral contributions at professional conferences, seminars, workshops, institutes, or special programs;
4. performances in the performing arts, together with pertinent reviews thereof;
5. exhibitions in the graphic arts, together with pertinent reviews thereof;
6. translations of works in foreign languages;

7. contributions to the scholarship of teaching;
8. an active program of scholarly or creative work in progress, appropriate to their discipline(s) or field(s);
9. **professional achievements that specifically support the University's mission, strategic commitments, and Institutional Learning Outcomes**
10. service on committees or boards of professional societies, **and** organizations, **and corporations**;
11. receipt of awards, prizes, fellowships, or grants;
12. professional consultancies, showing the nature of the consultancies, and the nature of the organizations requesting the consultant service;
13. In retention cases, evidence of substantial progress toward achievement of the Doctorate or other normal terminal degree may qualify also as evidence of professional achievement. In tenure cases the recent award of the Doctorate or other normal terminal degree may qualify as evidence of professional achievement.

4.4. *University Service*

Internal University contributions may be demonstrated by documentary material showing service to the University in such areas as:

1. faculty government;
2. committee service at the **D**epartment, **C**ollege, or **U**niversity levels;
3. activities that enhance the University's ability to serve the needs of a multiethnic and non-traditional student body;
4. **activities that support the university's distinct mission, as reflected in our commitment to the Institutional Learning Outcomes**
5. assistance in student activities;
6. University administrative assignments;
7. coordination of graduate programs;
8. coordination of single subject programs;
9. coordination of student-learning activities;

10. coordination of Academic Major assessments;
11. administrative assignments not involving instruction.

4.5. *Community Service*

Community service may be demonstrated by documentary material to show achievement and recognition in activities **which that** enhance community well-being and the relationship between the University and the community. The term "community" may be seen to be local, regional, state, national, or international in character. Evidence may also be included of achievement as a University representative in local, regional, state, national or international organizations. Community service may be demonstrated by documentary material in such areas as:

1. service on local or state government councils, boards, committees, task forces, etc.;
2. service on **local or state**, private or public agencies or civic organizations, councils, boards, task forces, etc.;
3. **service that supports the university's distinct mission, as reflected in our commitment to the Institutional Learning Outcomes**
4. presentations, classroom participation, and professional services in local schools;
5. supervision of student community service projects;
6. presentations to public and private civic organizations.

5. RETENTION

5.1. *Expectations*

Reappointment of an untenured faculty member is not routine; an untenured faculty member must demonstrate to the University that they are worthy of retention. A recommendation for retention carries no obligation for the future award of tenure. However, it assumes that the candidate **not only** meets **not only** criteria in Section 5.2, but also shows promise of satisfying the criteria for tenure and promotion as described in Sections 6.3, 7.3, and 8.3. There shall be greater evidence of achievement the closer the candidate is to being considered for tenure.

5.2. *Criteria*

The candidate's dossier shall contain documentary evidence to substantiate performance and promise under criteria 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3 below, and may also contain evidence substantiating performance under criteria 5.2.4 and 5.2.5. First and highest priority shall be accorded to 5.2.1. Next highest priority shall be accorded to 5.2.2, followed by 5.2.3. Lower priority shall be accorded to 5.2.4, and lowest priority to 5.2.5.

5.2.1. Degree (see 4.1)

5.2.2. Instructional Achievement (see Section 4.2)

5.2.3. Professional Achievement (see Section 4.3)

5.2.4. University Service (see Section 4.4)

5.2.5. Community Service (see Section 4.5)

5.3. *Procedures*

5.3.1. Each untenured probationary faculty member shall be evaluated for retention each year, in accordance with the procedures outlined in sections 3.0 ~~and 10.0~~ of this document.

5.3.2. A faculty member who is serving in a terminal "notice" year may request that the previous negative decision on reappointment be reconsidered. This individual should submit their new evidence by the retention deadline for the 3rd, 4th, and 5th year candidates. The Department Retention, Tenure and Promotion Committee shall evaluate the new evidence provided by the faculty member, and shall recommend that the request be granted or denied; it shall forward the WPAF, with the new evidence, to the Department Chair. The Department Chair shall recommend that the request be granted or denied; they shall then forward both the recommendations to the Provost via the appropriate Dean. If the Provost grants the request, reconsideration shall be accomplished on the same basis and according to the same criteria as if it were a regular consideration for reappointment.

6. **TENURE**

6.1. *Expectations*

Tenure constitutes more than recognition of past teaching performance and scholarly work. It is a judgment by the faculty that the candidate will continue to contribute into the future to the development of the University. Tenure is a commitment (into the future) in anticipation of contributions to the University in the areas of instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service, and should only be granted within this framework.

6.2. *Eligibility*

Eligibility for tenure is governed by the CBA, Article 13. The major provisions follow.

6.2.1. A probationary faculty member is subject to review according to these procedures for the purpose of the award of tenure.

6.2.2. The normal period of probation is six years of full-time probationary service and credited service, if any. For the purpose of calculating the probationary period, a year of service commences with the first fall term of appointment. At the time of initial appointment to probationary status, up to two years of credited service for probation may be granted by the President, upon recommendation by the affected department. Any deviation from the normal six-year probationary period shall be the decision of the President following their consideration of recommendations from the

Department or equivalent unit and appropriate administrator(s). The President may award tenure to a faculty member before the end of the normal six-year probationary period.

6.3. *Criteria*

The candidate's dossier shall contain documentary evidence to substantiate performance and promise under criteria 6.3.1, 6.3.2, 6.3.3, and 6.3.4, and may also contain evidence substantiating performance under criterion 6.3.5. First and highest priority shall be accorded to 6.3.1. Next highest priority shall be accorded to 6.3.2, followed by 6.3.3. Lower priority shall be accorded to 6.3.4, and lowest priority to 6.3.5.

6.3.1. Degree (see Section 4.1)

6.3.2. Instructional Achievement (see Section 4.2)

6.3.3. Professional Achievement (see Section 4.3)

6.3.4. University Service (see Section 4.4)

6.3.5. Community Service (see Section 4.5)

6.4. *Procedures*

6.4.1. Tenure determination procedures are those outlined in sections 3.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0 and 13.0 of this document.

6.4.2. The President shall officially notify the probationary faculty member of the final decision on the award or denial of tenure no later than June 1. The lack of official notice shall not result in the award of tenure. If tenure is denied, the President shall notify the faculty member by June 1 of a subsequent probationary appointment (**in the case of a request for early tenure**) or a terminal year appointment. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy and a PDF copy of the recommendation.** Terminal year appointments shall be limited to probationary faculty members who have served a minimum of three years.

6.4.3. A faculty member who is serving in a terminal "notice" year may request that the previous negative decision on tenure be reconsidered. The deadline for submission of new evidence for reconsideration is the same as the deadline for the submission of a dossier for tenure. The Departmental Committee on Tenure and Promotion shall evaluate new evidence provided by the faculty member, and shall recommend that the request be granted or denied; it shall forward the WPAF, with the new evidence, to the Department Chair. The Department Chair shall recommend that the request be granted or denied; the Department Chair shall then forward both of the recommendations to the President via the appropriate Dean and the Provost. If the President grants the request, reconsideration shall be accomplished on the same basis and according to the same criteria as if it were a regular consideration for tenure.

6.5. *Early Tenure*

- 6.5.1. The normal period of probation is six years. Any deviation from this standard is unusual and shall require such an unusually strong profile of performance in all aspects of tenure criteria or other factors as to make the case unambiguously compelling.
- 6.5.2. An Assistant Professor who has not completed the probationary period and wishes to be considered for early tenure must submit to the Department Chair a written letter titled "Request for Consideration for Early Tenure," which will be included in the candidate's WPAF.
- 6.5.3. To be considered for tenure under these circumstances, a candidate's dossier must contain evidence of extraordinary achievement or recognition beyond the normal expectations for tenure. The earlier a candidate applies, the harder it may be to meet this standard.
- 6.5.4. The Department Committee and the Department Chair, as well as the College Committee and the Dean, must each complete a thorough review of the candidate's WPAF and must state in their separate letters that the candidate's case is an unambiguously compelling example of extraordinary achievement beyond the expectations for normal tenure.
- 6.5.5. The calendar and sequence of evaluation for early tenure will be the same as those for normal tenure.
- 6.5.6. Any candidate for early tenure must also submit a letter requesting consideration for retention by the retention deadline referring reviewers to their dossier already under review.**

7. **PROMOTION FROM ASSISTANT TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR**

7.1. *Expectations*

The Assistant Professor in the early stages of their appointment is typically facing a full teaching load for the first time. It is necessary to combine teaching with the continuance of scholarly interest and contribution. Additional University responsibilities may include committee work and administrative assignments. An Assistant Professor should have completed the Doctorate, or the normal terminal degree, or, in exceptional cases, the equivalent thereof, to be eligible for promotion to Associate Professor. Effectiveness in teaching, professional contributions, university service, and community service should be the general criteria for promotion to Associate Professor rank.

7.2. *Eligibility*

- 7.2.1. An Assistant Professor must hold the Doctorate or the normal terminal degree, or, in exceptional cases, the equivalent thereof to be eligible for promotion. If this condition is met, an Assistant Professor is entitled to be considered for promotion to Associate Professor when they have served a minimum of either five (5) years as an

Assistant Professor, or met any other criteria established as sufficient by the current CBA.

7.2.2. However, before receiving tenure, a faculty member shall not normally be promoted to, and cannot be promoted beyond, the rank of Associate Professor.

7.3. Criteria

The candidate's dossier shall contain strong evidence documenting performance under 7.3.1, 7.3.2, and 7.3.3, and may also contain evidence substantiating performance under 7.3.4. Highest priority shall be accorded to criterion 7.3.1 followed by 7.3.2. Lower priority shall be accorded to 7.3.3, and lowest priority to 7.3.4.

7.3.1. Instructional Achievement (see Section 4.2)

7.3.2. Professional Achievement (see Section 4.3)

7.3.3. University Service (see Section 4.4)

7.3.4. Community Service (see Section 4.5)

7.4. Procedures

Evaluation for promotion at this level shall take place according to procedures specified in sections 3.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0, and 13.0 of this document.

7.5. Early Promotion to Associate Professor

7.5.1. Promotion to Associate Professor prior to attaining eligibility is exceptional and is reserved for those individuals who have demonstrated exceptional professional value to the University.

7.5.2. An Assistant Professor who has not completed the probationary period but has completed at least one year of full-time service to the University and wishes to be considered for early promotion must submit to the Department Chair a written letter titled "Request for Consideration for Early Promotion," which will be included in the candidate's WPAF.

7.5.3. To be considered for promotion under these circumstances, a candidate's dossier must contain evidence of extraordinary achievement or recognition under either the instructional or professional criteria while also satisfying the other criteria appropriate to that promotional level. The earlier a candidate applies, the harder it may be to meet this standard.

7.5.4. The calendar and sequence of evaluation for early promotion to Associate Professor will be the same as those for normal promotion to Associate Professor.

7.5.5. The Department Committee and the Department Chair, as well as the College Committee and the Dean, must each complete a thorough review of the candidate's

WPAF and must state in their separate letters that the candidate has demonstrated exceptional professional value to the University.

8. PROMOTION FROM ASSOCIATE TO FULL PROFESSOR

8.1. *Expectations*

The Associate Professor must have completed the Doctorate, or the normal terminal degree, or, in exceptional cases, the equivalent thereof, to be eligible for promotion to Professor. The Associate Professor will typically be a faculty member who has already demonstrated at this University or at another college or university a consistent record of excellent teaching, the ability to make sustained scholarly contributions, a commitment to university service, and a commitment to community service. Evaluation will be based primarily on a candidate's achievement since their last promotion. The general criterion for this promotional step is, therefore, whether the accomplishments of the Associate Professor are meritorious. Promotion to Full Professor is not automatic.

8.2. *Eligibility*

An Associate Professor must hold the Doctorate or the normal terminal degree, or, in exceptional cases, the equivalent thereof, to be eligible for promotion to Professor. Tenure must have been awarded either previously or simultaneously before promotion to Professor is possible. If these conditions are met, a person may be considered for promotion to Professor when they have served four (4) years as an Associate Professor or met any other criteria established as sufficient under the current CBA.

8.3. *Criteria*

The candidate's dossier shall contain evidence documenting performance under criteria 8.3.1, 8.3.2, and 8.3.3, and may also contain evidence to substantiate performance under criterion 8.3.4. Highest priority shall be accorded to criterion 8.3.1, followed by 8.3.2. Lower priority shall be accorded to 8.3.3, and lowest priority to 8.3.4.

8.3.1. Instructional Achievement (see Section 4.2). The evidence must demonstrate sustained and superior performance in instruction.

8.3.2. Professional Achievement (see Section 4.3). The evidence must demonstrate a record of sustained professional scholarship or creative achievement within the candidate's field, based primarily on efforts since their last promotion.

8.3.3. University Service (see Section 4.4).

8.3.4. Community Service (see Section 4.5).

8.4. *Procedures*

Evaluation for promotion at this level shall take place according to procedures specified in Sections 3.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0, and 13.0 of this document.

8.5. *Early Promotion to Full Professor*

- 8.5.1. Promotion to Professor prior to attaining eligibility is exceptional and is reserved for those individuals who have demonstrated exceptional professional value to the University.
- 8.5.2. An Associate Professor who wishes to be considered for early promotion must submit to the Department Chair a written letter titled "Request for Consideration for Early Promotion," which will be included in the candidate's WPAF.
- 8.5.3. To be considered for promotion under these circumstances, a candidate's dossier must contain evidence of extraordinary achievement or recognition under either the instructional or professional criteria while also satisfying the other criteria appropriate to that promotional level. The earlier a candidate applies, the harder it may be to meet this standard.
- 8.5.4. The calendar and sequence of evaluation for early promotion to Full Professor will be the same as those for normal promotion to Full Professor.
- 8.5.5. The Department Committee and the Department Chair, as well as the College Committee and the Dean, must each complete a thorough review of the candidate's WPAF and must state in their separate letters that the candidate has demonstrated exceptional professional value to the University.

9. CANDIDATES WITH JOINT APPOINTMENTS, ADMINISTRATIVE APPOINTMENTS, RESEARCH GRANTS, AND/OR ASSIGNED TIME FOR PROFESSIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

9.1. Joint Appointments

9.1.1. Criteria

A candidate holding a joint appointment in any rank will be evaluated according to the uniform criteria in section 4.0 of this document.

9.1.2. Eligibility

The candidate will meet the same eligibility requirements as all other faculty.

9.1.3. Procedures

Procedures for candidates with joint appointment are the same as for all other faculty, with the following considerations:

9.1.3.a. The candidate will be evaluated in their designated home department;

9.1.3.b. The candidate may request an evaluation by their other department or departments;

9.1.3.c. The home Department Committee or Department Chair may request an evaluation of the candidate by their other department or departments.

9.2. Administrative Assignments

- 9.2.1. A candidate who is a regular member of a department faculty and who serves wholly or partially in an administrative assignment shall be judged for tenure and promotion upon their performance in both administrative and faculty positions.
- 9.2.2. Candidates with administrative appointments will not be penalized for having fewer opportunities to demonstrate instructional achievement and for potentially providing fewer course evaluations in their WPAFs than other applicants for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- 9.2.3. The candidate's service in their administrative assignments shall carry weight in the judgment of the Committees, Department Chair, and Dean.
- 9.2.4. Procedures for a candidate with an administrative assignment are the same as for all other faculty, except that the candidate should include evidence in their dossier of work completed under the administrative assignment.

9.3. Candidates with Research Grants

- 9.3.1. Candidates with research grants that fund assigned time will not be penalized for having fewer opportunities to demonstrate instructional achievement and for potentially providing fewer course evaluations in their WPAFs than other applicants for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- 9.3.2. Such candidates will be held to high standards of professional achievement.

9.4. Candidates with Assigned Time for Professional Achievement

- 9.4.1. Candidates with assigned time for professional achievement will not be penalized for having fewer opportunities to demonstrate instructional achievement and for potentially providing fewer course evaluations in their WPAFs than other applicants for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- 9.4.2. Such candidates will be held to high standards of professional achievement.

10. REVIEW BY THE DEPARTMENT

10.1. Formation of the Department Committee

The Department Committees shall consist of three faculty members or more, at the option of the **D**department, who satisfy the eligibility requirements in section 3.6.3, and who are Regular Members of that **D**department, as defined by the Constitution of the University Faculty. In addition, such faculty members must be assigned 1/2 time or more in teaching or in research and administration.

- 10.1.1. If only three members of the **D**department satisfy these requirements, then, whenever possible, the Department Retention and/or Tenure and Promotion Committee shall consist of these members and no others. Committees may make

recommendations only on retention, tenure, and promotion, and shall perform no other functions.

- 10.1.2. In a department where fewer than three members are eligible for service on the Committees, the membership shall be brought up to three in the following manner:
 - 10.1.2.a. The Department Chair shall obtain from the College Dean a list of faculty assigned at least 2/3 time in teaching and/or research within the College who satisfy the eligibility requirements of Section 3.6.3;
 - 10.1.2.b. Nominations from this list shall be made by the department members. At least two nominations from the College list are required for each remaining vacancy on the Department Promotion and Tenure Committee;
 - 10.1.2.c. Elections shall be conducted according to provisions of Section 10.1.3 below.
- 10.1.3. When the number of eligible faculty in a **D**department exceeds three, the Department Committee shall be elected by secret written ballot of the **D**department **F**faculty. The **F**faculty eligible to vote are those eligible under provisions of Section 3.6.2 and who are assigned 1/2 time or more in teaching and/or research.
- 10.1.4. The election of the **Department** Committee or Committees shall occur after the election of **D**department representatives to the College Promotion and Tenure Committee, between **May** ~~June~~ 1 and the end of Spring **Semester** ~~quarter~~. If the academic calendar leaves too little time for adequate election arrangements before the end of Spring **Semester** ~~Quarter~~, the Department Committee or Committees may be elected in the first week of the Fall **Semester** ~~Quarter~~. The term of office shall be July 1 through June 30 of the subsequent year. Members may be elected for consecutive terms.
- 10.1.5. Members of the Committee or Committees on leave of absence may be replaced for the duration of the leave for the term of service by an election of an eligible replacement.

10.2. *Functions of the Department Committee and the Department Chair*

- 10.2.1. The Department Chair shall have the responsibility for:
 - 10.2.1.a. convening the first meeting of the Department Committee or Committees not later than the **first fourth** week of the Fall **Semester** ~~Quarter~~ at which time the members of the Committee shall elect one of their number as Chair;
 - 10.2.1.b. providing the Committee with a list of candidates eligible for retention, tenure, and promotion from within the **D**department;
 - 10.2.1.c. collecting the WPAF in support of each candidacy;
 - 10.2.1.d. reviewing with the Committee the procedure, criteria and eligibility requirements appropriate to the cases before the Committee;
 - 10.2.1.e. **informing** the Committee that they may review the candidate's PAF and teaching evaluations on file at the Office of Academic Affairs as part of their deliberations.

- 10.2.2. The Department Committee shall carefully examine all the documentation supporting each candidacy, and may seek additional relevant evidence and consult with other department faculty. Any additional evidence, with the exception of original student evaluations on file at the Department Office, must be approved by the University Committee for inclusion in the WPAF, in accordance with section 3.4 of this document.
- 10.2.3. The Committee shall make a recommendation, which shall be expressed in the form of a vote. The voting option shall be "yes" or "no." The Committee's recommendation will be deemed favorable only if a majority of "yes" votes is obtained. The total membership of the Committee, rather than those present, is to form the basis for determining a majority. All members of the Committee must vote on each candidate. The Department Committee's decision shall be guided by the uniform criteria in this document, and the department's approved guidelines for professional achievement.
- 10.2.4. The Committee shall thereafter return each WPAF to the Department Chair. A single letter from the Committee shall be included in the WPAF, stating the recommendation and summarizing both favorable and unfavorable views relative to the recommendation. For each category of criteria – instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service – the letter shall indicate whether the candidate “meets expectations,” “exceeds expectations,” or “does not meet expectations.” In the absence of a unanimous vote, majority and minority opinions must be provided separately in the letter (see Section 3.6.6). The letter as a whole must be signed by each member of the Committee. These signatures shall be obtained only after completion of the procedures described in Sections 10.2.1 and 10.2.2 above.
- 10.2.5. The Committee shall notify the faculty member of its recommendation and provide the faculty member with a copy of the Committee's letter of recommendation no later than the deadline date for transmittal of WPAFs to Department Chairs. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be defined as the deadline date, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email. At that time, the committee shall also send a PDF copy of its recommendation to the candidate.**
- 10.2.5.a. The candidate may provide a letter and / or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the committee within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.
- 10.2.5.b. The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a rebuttal **or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the Committee to discuss the recommendation. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal **or response** letter and/or request for a meeting with the Committee to discuss the recommendation must be made within ten (10) calendar days after the date of receipt of the letter of recommendation.
- 10.2.5.c. If a meeting is requested, the meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ten (10) calendar days after the Committee has received

the request from the candidate. A rebuttal **or response** letter shall be read by the members of the Department Committee. If a meeting has been held and/or a rebuttal **or response** letter submitted, the Committee shall send, as soon as possible, a memorandum containing its subsequent recommendations to the Department Chair. This memorandum shall be forwarded to be placed in the candidate's WPAF, with a copy to the candidate.

10.2.6. **Unless the Department Chair is the candidate, is of a lower rank than the candidate (see 3.2.2), or is untenured,** the Department Chair shall make a separate recommendation in which for each category of criteria – instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service they indicate whether the candidate “meets expectations,” “exceeds expectations,” or “does not meet expectations.” The Department Chair will provide the faculty member with a copy of the letter no later than the deadline date for transmittal of WPAFs to the college office. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be defined as the deadline date, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the Chair shall also send a PDF copy of its recommendation to the candidate. At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email.**

10.2.6.a. The candidate may provide a letter and / or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the Department Chair within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.

10.2.6.b. The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a rebuttal **or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the Chair to discuss the recommendation **The request for reconsideration, the submission of a rebuttal letter and/or a request for a meeting with the Chair to discuss the recommendation must all be made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal letter and/or request for a meeting with the Chair to discuss the recommendation must be made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.**

10.2.6.c. If a meeting is requested, the meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ten (10) calendar days after the Chair has received the request from the candidate. A rebuttal **or response** letter shall be read by the Chair. A copy of the rebuttal **or response** letter shall be provided by the Chair to the Department Committee. If there has been a meeting and/or submission of a rebuttal **or response** letter, the Chair shall write a subsequent recommendation to be forwarded as soon as possible to the college level, with copies to the Department Committee and the candidate.

10.2.7. The candidate who has received *an unfavorable recommendation* on promotion may request in writing to the Department Chair that their case be withdrawn from consideration and that the dossier not be forwarded; on receipt of such a request the Chair shall take the necessary action to withdraw the candidate's case from further consideration during that academic year.

10.2.8. In retention cases where the Department Committee and the Department Chair disagree in their recommendations, the case shall be forwarded to the College Committee for its separate recommendation, in accordance with provisions of Section 3.1.2.

11. REVIEW BY THE COLLEGE

11.1. *Formation of the College Committee*

11.1.1. The College Committee shall consist of one tenured Professor elected by each department with a candidate applying for tenure and/or promotion, and shall have a minimum of five (5) members.

11.1.1.a. In ~~C~~colleges having fewer than five departments, each department shall elect one person to the College Committee. The remaining number required to bring the Committee membership to five shall be elected at large from among the eligible ~~C~~college ~~F~~faculty.

11.1.1.b. A department may, by majority vote of its regular faculty members, choose not to elect a representative to the College Committee, provided that in that event the College Committee would still have a minimum of five members elected by other departments.

11.1.2. The term of service shall be one year and members may be elected to not more than two consecutive terms. Members of the Committee shall hold office from July 1 through June 30 of the following year.

11.1.3. Department elections for members of the College Committee shall take place not later than ~~May~~ ~~June~~ 7, and may not precede the election of the College representative(s) to the University Committee. Those eligible to vote are the same as those eligible to vote for members of the Department Committee. All elections shall be by secret ballot.

11.1.4. Eligibility for service on the College Committee is defined in Section 3.6.3. A faculty member not teaching Fall, ~~Winter~~, or Spring ~~Semesters~~ ~~Quarters~~ of the year of service is eligible for service on the College Committee, provided that they are available and willing to serve during the ~~semesters~~ ~~quarters~~ in which they do not teach. A department without sufficient eligible faculty of its own may elect a representative on the College Committee from among the eligible and willing faculty of other departments in the ~~C~~college. The College Dean shall make available to each department as necessary a list of faculty eligible for service on the College Committee.

11.1.5. The College Dean shall serve as the convener of the College Committee and shall transmit the WPAFs to its Chair. They shall inform the Committee of its responsibilities as herein detailed.

11.2. *Functions of the College Committee and the College Dean*

- 11.2.1. At its first meeting, the Committee shall elect one of its members to serve as Chair and another to serve as Secretary.
- 11.2.2. The Secretary shall keep such internal records as the Committee may require and shall record the vote on each candidate.
- 11.2.3. The responsibilities of the Chair of the Committee shall be to:
- 11.2.3.a. review with the Committee the criteria for tenure and for each promotional step, including the approved department guidelines for professional achievement;
 - 11.2.3.b. receive the WPAFs accompanying each candidacy and to arrange, where possible, for their grouping and consideration by types of candidacy;
 - 11.2.3.c. inform the Committee that they may review the candidate's WPAF and teaching evaluations on file at the department as part of their deliberations;
 - 11.2.3.d. examine, together with the Committee, all of the documentation in the candidate's WPAF and, should the Committee so desire, seek additional oral or written statements from the candidate or other members of the faculty, and make all materials available to the Committee;
 - 11.2.3.e. encourage full and frank discussion, in Committee session, of the strengths and weaknesses of each case before voting takes place on that case;
 - 11.2.3.f. return each WPAF to the College Dean with the Committee's final recommendation.
- 11.2.4. The primary functions of the Committee shall be to:
- 11.2.4.a. ensure consistency and appropriateness in the application of uniform criteria in this document and approved department guidelines for professional achievement; as well as procedures within and among departments;
 - 11.2.4.b. **ensure that the Department Committee observes the procedures for joint appointments and administrative appointments, as described in Section 9.**
 - 11.2.4.c. carefully examine all documentation supporting each candidacy, **including the letters from the department committee and chair** and make a recommendation in the form of a vote.
- 11.2.5. Each member of the Committee, including the Chair, shall vote. The voting option shall be "yes" or "no." The Committee's recommendation will be read as a favorable one only if a majority of the total membership of the Committee votes "yes." All members of the Committee shall vote on each candidacy.
- 11.2.6. A single letter from the Committee shall be included in the WPAF, stating the recommendation and summarizing both favorable and unfavorable views relative to the recommendation. For each category of criteria – instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service – the letter shall indicate whether the candidate “meets expectations,” “exceeds expectations,” or “does not meet expectations.” In the absence of a unanimous vote, majority and minority opinions must be provided separately in the letter (see Section 3.6.6). The letter as a whole must be signed by each member of the Committee. These

signatures shall be obtained only after completion of the procedures described in Sections 11.1 and 11.2 above.

- 11.2.7. The College Committee shall notify the faculty member of its recommendation and provide the faculty member with a copy of the Committee's letter of recommendation no later than the deadline date for transmittal of WPAFs to College Deans. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email. At that time, the committee shall also send a PDF copy of its recommendation to the candidate**
- 11.2.7.a. The candidate may provide a letter and /or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the College Committee within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.
- 11.2.7.b. The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a rebuttal **or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the College Committee to discuss the recommendation. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal **or response** letter and/or request for a meeting with the Committee to discuss the recommendation must be made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date, as established in Section 18.
- 11.2.7.c. If a meeting is requested, the meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ten (10) calendar days after the Committee has received the request from the candidate. A rebuttal **or response** letter shall be read by members of the College Committee. Copies of the rebuttal **or response** letter shall be sent by the Chair of the Committee to the previous levels of review. If a meeting has been held and/or a rebuttal **or response** letter submitted, the Committee shall send, as soon as possible, a memorandum containing its subsequent recommendation to the College Dean, with copies to the candidate, the Department Committee, and the Department Chair.
- 11.2.8. The College Dean shall make a separate recommendation, in which for each category of criteria – instructional achievement, professional achievement, university service, and community service – they indicate whether the candidate “meets expectations,” “exceeds expectations,” or “does not meet expectations.” The College Dean will provide the faculty member with a copy of the letter no later than the deadline date for transmittal of WPAFs to the University level. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be defined as the deadline date, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email. At that time, the Dean shall also send a PDF copy of their recommendation to the candidate.**
- 11.2.8.a. The candidate may provide a letter and/or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the College Dean within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.
- 11.2.8.b. The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a **rebuttal or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the Dean. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal **or response** letter and/or request for meeting with the Dean to discuss the recommendation must be

made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.

11.2.8.c. If a meeting is requested, the meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ten (10) calendar days after the Dean has received the request from the candidate. A rebuttal or response letter shall be read by the Dean. Copies of the **rebuttal or response** letter shall be sent by the Dean to the previous levels of review. If there has been a meeting and/or submission of a rebuttal **or response** letter, the Dean shall write a subsequent recommendation to be forwarded as soon as possible to the University level, with copies to the candidate, the College Committee, the Department Chair, and the Department Committee.

11.2.9. A candidate for retention who has received a negative recommendation from the College Dean and who believes that the decision has been influenced by bias on the part of any of the reviewing bodies, may request the University Committee to review the case and make its own recommendations to the President via the Provost, according to the procedures specified in Section 12.2.4(b). The request for review by the University Committee must be in writing, must specify in detail the evidence supporting a charge of bias, and must be made within five (5) calendar days of receipt of the College Dean's negative recommendations. Copies of the request must be provided to all persons against whom charges of bias are brought.

11.2.10. The candidate who has received an unfavorable recommendation on promotion at the College level may request in writing to the Department Chair that their case be withdrawn from consideration and that the dossier not be forwarded; on receipt of such a request the Chair shall take the necessary action to withdraw the candidate's case from further consideration during that academic year.

12. REVIEW BY THE UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE

12.1 Formation of the University Committee

12.1.1 The University Committee shall consist of five members elected from the Colleges in the Spring preceding the year of service. Representation shall be proportional to the number of members of the College Faculties (as defined in the Constitution of the University Faculty), provided that no College shall elect fewer than one nor more than two members. In the **Spring Semester** ~~Winter quarter~~ of each year the Provost shall consult with the Faculty Affairs Committee to determine the number of members of the University Committee to be elected from each College for service in the following academic year. **For the promotion and tenure processes of library faculty, the guidelines to constitute the URTP, Librarian Subcommittee are set forth in the *Library Subcommittee Formation Procedures (Appendix B)*.**

12.1.2 The College Dean shall arrange for the nomination and election of the member(s) of the University Committee from the ~~C~~college. The number of nominees shall be at least twice the number of members to be elected. Balloting shall be secret and

conducted over a period of at least five calendar days. This election shall be held no later than **April 15** ~~May 1~~. The College Dean shall exercise proper security measures over the ballots.

12.1.3 Those eligible to vote shall be the Regular Members of the ~~C~~college ~~F~~faculty, in accordance with provisions of Section 3.6.2.

12.1.4 Those eligible to serve shall be the Regular Members of the College Faculty subject to the provisions of Section 3.6.3, and of Section 12.1.5 below.

12.1.5 The following are not ~~be~~ eligible to serve on the University Committee:

- a. those faculty members who have served two previous terms on the University Committee during the preceding five years, including the year in which the election is being held;
- b. those faculty members who have served on the University Committee in the previous term;
- c. those faculty members who will not be teaching Fall, ~~Winter~~, or Spring ~~semesters~~ ~~quarters~~ of the year of the term of service, unless a faculty member is available and willing to serve during the ~~semester~~ ~~quarter~~ or ~~semesters~~ ~~quarters~~ in which they do not teach.

12.1.6 Should a vacancy occur on the University Committee, the affected ~~C~~college will elect an alternate, after all other elections for College and Department Committees have been completed, provided that the election of an alternate shall not be later than the fourth week of the Fall ~~Semester~~ ~~quarter~~. The names of the member(s) and the alternate elected by each ~~C~~college shall be reported to the Office of the President via the Provost and to the Office of the Academic Senate.

12.1.7 An alternate shall serve on the University Committee only if the respective ~~C~~college member becomes ineligible or is unable to serve by reason of illness, death, or resignation.

12.1.8 The President or the President's designee shall act as convener of the Committee and shall convene its first meeting no later than November 1. The President or the President's designee shall at that time review with the Committee its responsibilities as herein detailed.

12.1.9 Acting on behalf of the President, the Provost:

- a. shall transmit the WPAFs to the Committee;
- b. shall not serve as a member of the Committee nor participate in its deliberations.

12.2. Functions of the University Committee

12.2.1 At its first meeting, the Committee shall elect one of its members to serve as Chair and another to serve as Secretary.

12.2.2 The Secretary shall keep such internal records as the Committee may require and shall record the vote on each candidate.

12.2.3 The responsibilities of the Chair of the Committee shall be to:

- a. review, together with the Committee, the criteria for tenure and each promotional step;
- b. receive the WPAFs accompanying each candidacy and arrange, so far as possible, for their grouping and consideration by types of candidacy;
- c. inform the Committee that they may review the candidate's PAF and teaching evaluations on file in the Office of Academic Affairs as part of their deliberations;
- d. examine, together with the Committee, all of the documentation in the candidate's WPAF and, should the Committee so desire, seek additional oral or written statements from the candidate or other members of the Faculty and make all materials available to the Committee;
- e. encourage full and frank discussion, in Committee session, of the strengths and weaknesses of each candidacy before voting takes place on that candidacy;
- f. transmit to the Provost the final recommendation on each candidacy; no recommendations will be transmitted until all the WPAF's have been evaluated or until the established deadline date for recommendation to the Provost;
- g. accept candidacy dossiers after the deadline, but only with a letter of explanation for such delay from the candidate's Department Chair and/or College Dean on approval by the University Committee.

12.2.4 The primary functions of the Committee shall be to:

- a. ensure consistency and appropriateness in the application of criteria and procedures within and among departments and/or Colleges;
- b. ensure that the College Committee observes the procedures for joint appointments, administrative appointments, research grants, and/or assigned time for professional achievement as described in Section 9.**
- c. determine whether professional or personal bias has played a part in the making of recommendations at lower levels;
- d. carefully examine all documentation supporting each candidacy and make a recommendation in the form of a vote.

12.2.5 *In cases of special review for bias:*

- a. In order to make such a determination, the Committee may undertake a special review of the case to obtain such additional information as it deems necessary.
- b. If the Committee finds bias it may so note in making its own substantive recommendation to the Provost. Alternatively, the Committee may recommend to the Provost that the case be reconsidered at lower levels in such a way as to eliminate the source of bias, provided such reconsideration is possible under applicable deadlines or the candidate is informed there is a delay in the review process.

- c. If the Committee determines, following receipt of a request for investigation under Section 11.2.9, that there is not sufficient evidence of bias to justify an investigation, it shall so inform the candidate, the College Dean, and the Provost.
- 12.2.6 *Regarding professional criteria:* In making its recommendation, unless bias is at issue, the Committee shall decline to pass judgment on the specialized professional qualifications of the candidate but, rather, shall accept the judgment of those in the discipline of the candidate or in kindred disciplines regarding specialized professional qualifications. Members of the University Committee shall act as representatives of the entire University, rather than of a department or a College.
- 12.2.7 Each member of the Committee, including the Chair, shall vote. The voting option shall be "yes" or "no." The Committee's recommendation will be read as a favorable one only if a majority of the total membership of the Committee votes "yes." All members of the Committee shall vote on each candidacy.
- 12.2.8 The Committee may return to any dossier and reopen discussion and voting up to the deadline date when final recommendations must reach the Provost.
- 12.2.9 A single letter from the Committee shall be included in the WPAF, stating the recommendation and summarizing both favorable and unfavorable views. In the absence of a unanimous vote, majority and minority opinions must be provided separately in the letter (see section 3.6.6). The letter as a whole must be signed by each member at the conclusion of the deliberations of the Committee, and submitted to the Provost.
- 12.2.10 The University Committee shall notify the faculty member of its recommendation and provide the faculty member with a copy of the Committee's letter of recommendation no later than the deadline date for transmittal of WPAFs to the Provost. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be defined as the deadline date, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email. ~~At that time, the committee shall also send a PDF copy of its recommendation to the candidate.~~**
- a. The candidate may provide a letter and/or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the committee within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.
 - b. The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a rebuttal **or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the Committee to discuss the recommendation. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal **or response** letter and/or request for a meeting with the Committee to discuss the recommendation must be made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.
 - c. The meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ten (10) calendar days after the Committee has received the request from the candidate. A rebuttal **or response** letter shall be read by members of

the University Committee. Copies of the rebuttal **or response** letter shall be sent by the Chair of the Committee to the previous levels of review. If a meeting has been held and/or a rebuttal **or response** letter submitted, the Committee shall send, as soon as possible, a memorandum containing its subsequent recommendation to the **Provost President** with copies to the candidate, the College Committee, the College Dean, the Department Committee, and the Department Chair.

13. REVIEW BY THE PROVOST AND VICE PRESIDENT, ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

13.1 The Provost shall receive the work of all earlier levels of review and make separate recommendations to the President regarding both tenure and promotion.

13.2 The Provost shall base their recommendations solely on the evidence in the PAF and the WPAF, including the dossier and the earlier letters of review. The recommendations should address explicitly the Criteria defined in Section 4 and detailed in sections 6, 7 and/or 8.

13.3 The Provost shall notify the faculty member of their recommendation. Official date of receipt of the letter shall be defined as the deadline date, as established in Section 18. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email. At that time, the Provost shall also send a PDF copy of their recommendation to the candidate.**

13.3.1 The candidate may provide a letter and/or request a meeting in response to any recommendation from the Provost within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.

13.3.2 The candidate may request reconsideration, may submit a rebuttal **or response** letter **as per 3.8.3**, and is entitled to meet with the Provost to discuss the recommendation. The request for reconsideration and submission of the rebuttal **or response** letter and/or request for a meeting with the Provost to discuss the recommendation must be made within ten (10) calendar days after the deadline date as established in Section 18.

13.3.3 The meeting will be held as soon as possible, but normally not later than ~~ten~~ ten (10) calendar days after the Provost has received the request from the candidate. If a meeting has been held and/or a rebuttal or response letter submitted, the Provost and Vice President, Academic Affairs shall send, as soon as possible, a memorandum containing their subsequent recommendation to the President, with copies to the candidate.

14. NOTIFICATION TO THE CANDIDATE BY THE PROVOST AND PRESIDENT (See timeline in Section 18)

- 14.1 The Provost shall notify each candidate for retention of their decision in the case. Such notification shall be in writing and shall include the reasons for the decision. A copy of the Provost's letter shall be provided to the College Dean, the Department Chair, and the Chairs of Retention Committees ~~that which~~ made the recommendation in the case. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy in their campus mailbox, and a PDF copy of the recommendation via email.**
- 14.2 Within fifteen (15) calendar days after notification by the Provost of a negative retention decision, a candidate denied reappointment as a probationary faculty member, may request, in writing, that the Provost reconsider their decision. In retention cases, a copy shall be sent to the College Dean. In the event that the Provost declines to reconsider, or after reconsideration reaffirms their original negative decision, the candidate should refer to section 5.3.2.
- 14.3. The President shall notify each candidate for tenure, and/or promotion of their decision in the case. Such notification shall be in writing and shall include the reasons for the decision. A copy of the President's letter shall be provided to the Provost, the College Dean, the Department Chair, and the Chairs of each of the Tenure and Promotion Committees that made the recommendation in the case. **At that time, the candidate shall receive a paper copy and a PDF copy of the recommendation. ~~At that time, the President shall also send a PDF copy of their recommendation to the candidate.~~**
- 14.4 Within fifteen (15) calendar days after notification by the President of a negative decision regarding tenure or promotion, the candidate may request, ~~in writing via hard copy~~, that the President reconsider their decision. A copy of the request for reconsideration shall also be forwarded to the Provost. In the event that the President declines to reconsider, or after reconsideration reaffirms their original negative decision, the candidate may then consult section 6.4.3.

15. CONCLUSION OF UNIVERSITY REVIEW

- 15.1. After the completion of action at the University Committee, Provostal, and Presidential levels, the Committee shall, at its last meeting before dissolution, gather together the records of its work, including vote tallies, drafts of summary letters, and similar working materials. The Committee shall transmit these materials to the Provost for safekeeping.
- 15.2. For three years, the University Committee documents shall not be made available to anyone for any subsequent personnel decision except to a grievant to whom the documents apply. After three years, the Provost shall destroy these materials, together with all original letters of recommendation received from all reviewing bodies.
- 15.3. Records accumulated by individual members of the Committee shall be destroyed immediately after the Committee concludes its work.

15.4. After the President's decision and final action on the candidacy, the WPAFs shall be returned to the Provost's Office, where their contents will be dealt with in a manner consistent with the provisions of Section 3.3.3.

16. POST-TENURE REVIEW

Post-Tenure Review is governed by the current CBA and the University's "Procedures for Evaluation of Tenured Faculty."

17. INTERPRETATION OF THIS POLICY DOCUMENT

Because no policy statement can cover all possible contingencies and all possible circumstances of individual cases, questions will inevitably arise about the meaning or applicability of provisions of this Policy and Procedures document. Faculty Committees and administrators involved in retention, tenure, and promotion decisions shall refer all such questions of interpretation or clarification of the document to the Faculty Affairs Committee.

18. RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION TIMELINE**

	TENURE	PROMOTION	RETENTION		
			1 st year	2 nd year	3 rd , 4 th and 5 th year
Submission of candidate's dossier	October 1	October 1	November 10	November 10	January 15 22
Last date for submission of material to PAF and dossier	October 23 15	October 23 15	November 15	November 15	February 1
Last date for submission of dossier index & C.V. to Provost Office	October 23 15	October 23 15	November 15	November 15	February 1
Beginning of review by Department Committee	October 16	October 16	November 16	November 16	February 2
Department Committee letter due	November 15	November 15	December 1	December 1	March 1
Beginning of review by Department Chair	November 16	November 16	December 2	December 2	March 2
Department Chair letter due	December 10 15	December 15	December 15	December 15	March 15
Beginning of review by College committee	December 16	December 16	December 16	December 16	March 16
College Committee letter due	February 17 10	February 17	n/a	n/a	n/a
College Dean letter due	March 15 8	March 15	n/a	February 5	May 10
University committee letter due	April 15	May 1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Provostal notice due	May 8	May 15	February 15	February 15	June 1
Presidential notice due	June 1	June 15	February 15 n/a	February 15 n/a	June 1 n/a
Type of notification from Provost or President	Granted or Denied by President	Granted or Denied by President	Reappointed to another academic year or terminated at the end of the current academic year by Provost	Reappointed to another academic year or terminated at the end of the current academic year by Provost	Reappointed to an additional probationary year or terminated at end of subsequent college academic year by Provost

**** Candidates are responsible for adhering to these deadlines unless they have a specific exemption granted by the President as described in Section 2.2, or extended by the Department Chair in Section 3.10.2.**

APPENDIX A

Acknowledgment of Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy and Procedures

I hereby affirm that my Department Chair has informed me about the Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy and Procedures of California State University, East Bay. I understand that I am required to create and maintain a dossier of evidence documenting my instructional and professional achievements and other contributions to the University. At this time, I am electing to submit an electronic / hard copy (choose one) dossier. I understand further that it is my responsibility to know the provisions governing any instance of retention, tenure or promotion for which I may come under review, and to adhere to stipulated time-tables for such reviews. Lastly, I understand that this statement applies to all candidates, including those on unpaid professional leave, unless the President has issued a written exemption.

PLEASE CHOOSE ONE:

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

ELECTRONIC

HARDCOPY

CANDIDATE SIGNATURE

DATE

Print, sign, and bring to Office of Academic affairs before deadline for insertion into PAF.

APPENDIX B

Formation of the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee

- 1. The University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee shall be a regular subcommittee when required. The Chair of the University Committee shall appoint two of the three regular faculty members of the Subcommittee, at least one of whom shall be an elected member of the University Committee. The third member of the Subcommittee shall be elected by the Library Faculty. All three shall be tenured faculty members.**
- 2. The Library Faculty Chair shall arrange for the nomination and election of the third member of the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee. There shall be at least two nominees. Balloting shall be secret and conducted over a period of at least five (5) academic calendar days. This election shall be held no later than May 1. The Library Faculty Chair shall exercise proper security measures over the ballots.**
 - a. If only one library faculty member is eligible to serve on the University Promotion and Tenure Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee in accordance with the provisions of sections 10.1.4 and 10.1.5, that library faculty member shall serve as the third member of the Subcommittee.**
 - b. If no library faculty member is eligible to serve on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee, the election of an eligible faculty member shall be conducted in the following manner:**
 - i. The Library Faculty Chair shall obtain from the University Librarian a list of faculty assigned at least 2/3 time in teaching and/or research within the other Colleges who satisfy the eligibility requirements of Section 3.6.3.**
 - ii. Nominations from this list shall be made by the Library faculty members. At least two nominations from the list are required for the vacancy on the Subcommittee;**
 - c. Elections shall be conducted according to the provisions of 12.1.3.**
- 3. Those eligible to vote shall be the Regular Members of the Library Faculty, in accordance with provisions of Section 3.6.3.**
- 4. Those eligible to serve shall be Regular Members of the Library Faculty, in accordance with provisions of Section 3.5.3 and Section 12.1.5 below.**
- 5. The following shall not be eligible to serve on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee.**
 - a. those library faculty members who have served two previous terms on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee during the preceding five years, including the year in which the election is being held.**
 - b. those library faculty members who have served on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee in the previous term.**

- c. those faculty members who will not be active during Fall or Spring of the year of the term of service, unless a faculty member is available and willing to serve during the semester or semesters in which he or she is not active.
- 6. Should a vacancy occur on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee, the Library Faculty shall elect an alternate, after the election for the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee has been completed, provided that the election of an alternate shall not be later than the fourth week of Fall Semester. The names of the member and alternate elected by the Library Faculty shall be reported to the Office of the President and to the Office of the Academic Senate.

If no library faculty member is eligible to serve as alternate, the alternate nominations shall be made in accordance with Section 12.1.2.
- 7. An alternate shall serve on the University Tenure and Promotion Committee, University Libraries Subcommittee only if the respective Library member becomes ineligible or is unable to serve by reason of illness, death, or resignation.
- 8. The Chair of the University Tenure and Promotion Committee or his/her designee shall act as convener of the Subcommittee and shall convene its first meeting no later than November 1 if there are candidates for tenure or promotion. The Chair or his/her designee shall at that time review with the Subcommittee its responsibilities as herein detailed.