

FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Monday, April 30, 2018

APPROVED Minutes

In Attendance

Linda Dobb, Presidential Appointee

Eric Engdahl, TED (17-19)

Zinovy Radovilsky, Management (16-18)

Jiannan Wang, LIB (17-19)

Kimberly Kim, Nursing & Health Sci, (16-18)

Peter Marsh, Music (17-18)

Sarah Taylor, Social Work (17-19)

Grant Kien, Communication (16-18) (Minutes)

Kathy Hann, Mathematics (17-19)

1. Agenda

Approval of the agenda

Moved Murray

Seconded Marsh

Amendments

2. Approval of 4/18/18 draft minutes

Moved Murray

Seconded Marsh

3. Reports:

a. FAC Chair

- 16-17 FAC 10 & 11 not discussed in senate 5/1/2018, will ask for time certain next senate meeting
- Items g, h, i, j from senate agenda also were not discussed
- Disruptive behavior committee call will be sent soon
 - Specific date for close: 1 week from call? (Taylor's suggestion)

b. Presidential Appointee

- Several awards applications, committee will meet soon
- Gave away faculty awards last week, over 100 attendance
- The calendar is the biggest issue at this point in conversion, all contracts are affected by it
- New faculty in on Aug. 8, will allow them to receive benefits in Sept.
- Courses begin Aug. 20
- Back to the Bay will feature a speaker from the Gates foundation this year

c. Semester Conversion Steering Committee (SCSC) report

- No meeting, nothing to report, May 4 last meeting

4. Business and Discussion:

a. 17-18 FAC 7

What are the effects of moving the grades due date?

- Students living on financial aid will be affected
- Students will not know how to deal with what happens in Jan.
 - To straighten out issues with grades
- International students will have a tough time sorting out visa info etc.
- Students residents won't know whether they are continuing to live on campus or not
- Taylor: Move the 3 duty days to beginning of Jan?
- Kim: Keep it how it is so make up tests etc can be done then?
 - Hann, Zinovy, support keeping it the way it is
 - Federal holiday in Jan would interject in beginning of schedule
- Consensus to keep the calendar the way it is currently proposed, will provide clarifying information arguing for its current form
- Student representative
 - Want grades asap for enrollment, planning, financial issues
 - Currently states online that grades are released Jan. 14
 - Prefer the grades released on the 24th
- Keeping same summer turnaround is important for all the same reasons, plus we are not yet certain about what will happen in the summer session
- Will have a vote by email if necessary before next senate meeting
- Time certain: 3:05
 - Student Financial Aid rep & Registrar Schneider:
 - Delays in grading will adversely impact students' financial aid processes
 - Pushing the date further will both make their aid packages late, not accommodate appeals, prevent students from getting refunds
 - Difficult if not impossible to reduce grade processing time, involves other offices, would need agreement from all parties involved, other departments review student records etc. and their needs dictate their turnaround
 - We are already the fastest turnaround on grades in the CSU
 - Other advantages
 - Need grades for verifications: employment, reimbursements, degree completion, ability to give degrees sooner, transcript requests
 - Assoc. Dean Watnik
 - If someone fails a prerequisite course but is already enrolled in a course, less time works against their favor in repeating a course, sorting out issues takes time for the system to work
 - ASI President has been encouraged to have representation in the debate

- Dean Scharberg: Suggests there are many good reasons to release grades before the break, some positive (ex. good driver insurance rewards), some negative (ex. If a student needs to move out of housing)

b. 17-18 CIC 43: Course classification guide (referred back by CIC and to FAC by Excom on 4/24/18)

- Feedback on potential workload implications
 - Clarity on C1 and C2 wtu implications
 - Capstone definitions and specifications

c. Updating week of Scholarship letter

i. Draft

- Revise draft per discussion, add submission criteria from above, and move due date to top
- Moved: Murray
- Seconded: Engdahl

d. Revision of post-tenure review policy

- Item 3.5: needs revision
 - Put chair on peer review committee?
- Need more detail in 4.1 & 4.2?
 - 4.1
 - Five academic years? Calendar years?
 - 4.2
 - Define the documentation needed?
- Murray: Send it to RTP subcommittee

e. 16-17 FAC 9: Revisions to POLICIES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING FACULTY PARTICIPATION IN APPOINTMENT AND REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS document

i. Organizational chart from January 2018

- Chart has been revised
- President did not sign the document, possibly due to Diversity Officer included in Office of the President
 - Dobb: Can bring it up to the president in the next Academic year
 - President wanted 2 appointees on some committees, which may have held this up
 - Was passed in Senate as is, did not want such heavy presidential representation

5. Adjournment: 3:50pm