

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY  
OFFICE OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE

Approved Minutes of the Academic Senate Meeting, May 20, 2014

Senators Present:

Eileen Barrett, Jeffra Bussmann, Luz Calvo, Mary Cardaras, Chris Chamberlain, Roger Doering, Patricia Drew, Hongwei Du, Jennifer Eagan, Dana Edwards, Denise Fleming, Kim Geron, Liz Ginno, Renee Granados, Susan Gubernat, Nina Haft, Margaret Harris, Erik Helgren, Thomas Hird, Charlton Hua, Patricia Jennings, Evelia Jimenez, Mark Karplus, Shubha Kashinath, Derek Kimball, Michelle Korb, Nancy Mangold, Diane Mukerjee, James Murray, Jeff Newcomb, Julia Olkin, Andrew Pasquinelli, Claudia Sandoval, Jeff Seitz, Tammie Simmons-Mosley, Mitch Watnik, Gaozong Yang

Guests Present:

Derek Aitken, Sarah Aubert, Endre Branstad, Linda Dalton, Linda Dobb, Jiansheng Guo, Linda Ivey, Sally Murphy, Sue Opp, Glen Perry, Sharon Radliff, Gretchen Reevy, Sophie Rollins, Kathleen Rountree, Dianne Rush Woods, John Wenzler, Doris Yates, Gale Young

The meeting was called to order at 2:06 p.m.

1. Approval of the agenda

M/S/P (Ginno/Doering) to approve, amended to give Item 6.Q a time certain of 3:20 and Item 6.S a time certain of 3:40.

2. Approval of the minutes of May 6, 2014

M/S/P (Ginno/Gubernat) to approve.

3. Reports:

A. Report of the Chair

Chair Watnik emailed his written report. Senator Ginno introduced new Associate Librarian Sharon Radcliff and Dean of Libraries John Wenzler.

i. Announcement of 2013-2014 Outstanding Professor Award winner

Members of the FAC Outstanding Professor/Awards Subcommittee announced the recipient of the 2013-2014 Outstanding Professor Award: English Professor Eileen Barrett.

B. Report of the President

The President was not in attendance.

### C. Report of the Provost

Associate Provost Linda Dobb announced that the libraries are undertaking a selective withdrawal of materials, mostly monographs. The Office of Faculty Development is hosting an Online Instruction workshop that carries a \$1500 stipend. Dobb provided handouts announcing May 22 as the Day of the Advisor and describing Academic Advising at East Bay.

#### i. Report of PFD

PEM VP Linda Dalton announced that the report of the Planning for Distinction Instructional Programs Task Group has been posted. There will be two forums: Thursday, May 29, 3:00-4:30, in VBT 124; and Friday, May 30, 11:00-12:30, in MB 1055.

### D. Report of the Statewide Academic Senators

Gubernat reported that the ASCSU elected Steve Filling from Stanislaus as Chair, Christine Miller from Sacramento as Vice Chair, Susan Gubernat from East Bay as Secretary, and Praveen Soni from Long Beach and Julie Chisholm from Maritime Academy as Executive Committee Members-at-large. At the May 15-16 plenary, the ASCSU approved resolutions on Unit Limit Exception Requests, Creation of Discipline Councils, Designation of Course Modalities, Changes to the Master's Degree, In Support of AB 2324 (Williams) Pertaining to CSU Faculty Trustee, and Eligibility for RSCA Awards. The last encourages campuses to make faculty of all ranks, including lecturers, eligible for such awards. The ASCSU waived the first reading and acted on resolutions on a Task Force to Review the Role of Student Success Fees and on Annual Progress Reports on Access to Excellence.

### E. Report of Student Government

ASI College of Science Director Gaozong Yang reported that ASI elections had 25 candidates. A runoff will be held May 27-28. There are three open positions: Director of Legislative Affairs, Senator of CEAS, and Director of the Concord Campus. An Interim will be appointed to direct the Diversity Center and they will report to Student Life. ASI has signed a new operating agreement with the University and approved its 2014-2015 budget.

#### 4. Information Items:

##### A. 13-14 FDEC 7: Request for Accommodation

M/S/P (Jennings/Ginno) to accept.

##### B. 13-14 CIC 36: Update on 13-14 CIC 6 regarding Modifying Online Schedule Links to Separate Extension and Stateside Courses

M/S/P (Murray/Ginno) to accept. CIC Chair Murray stated that the separate listing of extension courses will begin in Fall. Watnik stated that 13-14 CIC 6 has not been signed.

C. 13-14 GASG 1: Resolution on Academic Advising (ASI BOD 2013-10R)

M/S/P (Yang/Ginno) to accept. Watnik stated that as CIC chair next year, he will ensure there is followup on the resolution.

5. Consent Calendar:

A. 13-14 CIC 29: Prefix modification request for Online Teaching (first appearance)

B. 13-14 CIC 25: Approval of CHEM 1610 and 1615 for B1 Status (first appearance)

C. 13-14 CIC 27: Approval of CHEM 3080 for B6 Status (first appearance)

6. Action Items:

Time-certain Item 6.B was next.

A. 13-14 FDEC 2 amended: Diversity Rubric for CAPR Five-year Reviews (second reading)

M/S (Geron/Calvo) to approve. The document returned for second reading with no changes following referral to FDEC at first reading on March 11. FDEC Chair Geron stated that the committee discussed the concerns raised at first reading, including those from the College of Science. FDEC Member Gale Young spoke in support of the document. Seitz expressed concern about the difficulty of showing “multicultural learning throughout the program.” He also stated that the rubric was vague and that the five-year review is not the place for it. Gubernat stated that the faculty diversity criteria can be difficult to meet when departments have no new hires or lose faculty to administration or retirement. Barrett spoke in support of the document. Kimball spoke in support, noting that the rubric will lead to positive departmental discussion. Jennings expressed concerns about the rating and scoring and how the rubric would be used in the context of Planning for Distinction. Young stated that the rubric was piloted by FDEC. Fleming noted that a rubric serves as an evaluation tool, articulates criteria, and generates discussion. Hird stated that it was unclear who is intended to fill out the comments and ratings.

Associate Provost Dobb suggested changing “Rating” to “Self-Rating” on the rubric. Seitz stated that would mean a completely different document. M/S (Barrett/Eagan) to change “Rating” to “Self-Rating.” Barrett spoke in support of the amendment. Seitz stated the proposed amendment was not an appropriate motion, as it would mean the rubric would be part of the self-study. Watnik stated that he believed Seitz’s statement was correct, but he did not explicitly rule the motion out of order. Jennings stated that there would be operational difficulties with self-rating, including the need for clearer criteria. Gubernat stated that having self-rating would lead to conflict with the document’s Action Requested. M/S (Gubernat/Olkin) to refer back to FDEC. Ginno stated that the document could go to CAPR.

M/S/P (Gubernat/Olkin) a substitute motion, to refer to FDEC and CAPR. Geron stated that FDEC had worked with CAPR. Watnik noted the last meeting of CAPR is after the last Senate

meeting. Olkin spoke in support of the motion. Karplus asked what the status of the document would be when it came back. Watnik, after consulting with Gubernat and Fleming regarding ASCSU practice, stated that it would be at second reading. Calvo emphasized her understanding that FDEC would fill out the rubric. Eagan stated that her concerns with the document were over process. Gubernat reiterated that the motion is to refer to both FDEC and CAPR. Seitz spoke in favor of the motion and stated that the rubric needs fleshing out. Jennings stated that as a department chair writing for a five-year review, she wants to know what she is writing to. CAPR Chair Chamberlain noted that CAPR and FDEC worked closely on the document. Seitz questioned whether the document could return as a first-reading document. Watnik stated that it would be at second reading according to the Senate standing rules. Geron noted that following discussion at the first reading, Seitz sent detailed comments, but he was the only senator who did. Geron again invited feedback as FDEC will be meeting May 22. Young stated that the definitions were as specific as the committee felt they should be after pilot testing for a year and a half. Jennings asked whether there was a report from the pilot testing. Geron stated that there was not. The question was called. The substitute motion, to refer to FDEC and CAPR, passed.

Time-certain Item 6.Q was next.

B. 13-14 cFAI 1: Committee name change and Fairness document revision (second reading, 2:45 pm time certain, Dr. Doris Yates)

M/S/P (Barrett/Fleming) to approve.

Item 6.A was next.

C. 13-14 CAH 4 revised: Formation of a Learning Management Subcommittee (second reading)

M/S (Murray/Edwards) to approve. Watnik yielded the chair to Barrett for this item. Fleming stated that this should be a University-wide task force, not a subcommittee of an ad hoc committee. M/S (Watnik/Eagan) to amend the Action Requested to read: "That the Executive Committee via the Academic Senate approve the creation of a task force for the purposes of studying learning management software packages and advising the faculty and administration on the selection of a package when the current agreement expires. The Task Force shall go out of existence at the end of AY 2015-6." Eagan cited the Committee on Research task force on research resources as a model. Olkin questioned how the task force would be populated. Gubernat stated that the population needs to be articulated before it is voted on. M/S/NP (Fleming/Gubernat) to table the document. Fleming spoke in favor of the motion. Watnik spoke against. The question was called. The motion to table did not pass. M/S/NP (Olkin/Hird) to amend the second paragraph of the Background Information, replacing "one representative from each college (including the Library)" with "all faculty who volunteer to serve." Murray spoke against the motion, stating it would be unworkable. Kimball spoke against the motion, stating that it would be unwieldy.

Time certain Item 6.S was next. Discussion resumed following 6.S.

Olkin spoke in favor of the motion. The question was called on the (Olkin/Hird) amendment. The motion did not pass. M/S/P (Gubernat/Doering) to amend the proposed (Watnik/Eagan) amendment, adding “and population” after “creation.” Hird suggested deleting the last paragraph of the Background.

Time certain Item 7 was next. Eagan agreed to defer. Discussion on Item 6.C continued.

The question was called on the (Gubernat/Doering) amendment. The motion passed. M/S/P (Gubernat/Murray) to delete the final paragraph from the Background Information. A vote was taken on the (Watnik/Eagan) amendment, as amended by the (Gubernat/Doering) amendment. The motion passed.

The question was called on the main motion, to approve CAH 4 revised, as amended, deleting the final paragraph of the Background Information and amending the Action Requested to read: “That the Executive Committee via the Academic Senate approve the creation and population of a task force for the purposes of studying learning management software packages and advising the faculty and administration on the selection of a package when the current agreement expires. The Task Force shall go out of existence at the end of AY 2015-6.” The main motion, to approve CAH 4 revised, as amended, passed.

Item 7 was next.

D. 13-14 COBRA 4: Consultation of Faculty on Building Development and Use (second reading)

E. 13-14 COBRA 5: Addressing Large Classroom Issues (second reading)

F. 13-14 COBRA 6: Recommend University Extension to provide MOUs and financial reports of University Extension programs to College Deans, Department Chairs, and Program Directors (second reading)

G. 13-14 CAPR 11: Permanent Implementation of the Interpretation in 12-13 CAPR 4 (second reading)

H. 13-14 CAPR 12: Philosophy Five-year Review Report (second reading)

I. 13-14 CAPR 18: English Five-year Review Report (second reading)

J. 13-14 CAPR 19: Health Sciences Five-year Review Report (second reading)

K. 13-14 CAPR 20: Sociology Five-year Review Report (second reading)

L. 13-14 CAPR 21: Human Development Five-year Review Report (second reading)

M. 13-14 CAPR 22: Information Literacy Five-year Review Report (second reading)

N. 13-14 CAPR 10: Academic Program Review Procedures proposed changes (first reading)

O. 13-14 CAPR 13 revised: Formation, Dissolution, Merger, or Name change of Academic Units (revision of 05-06 CAPR 8 revised) (first reading)

i. 13-14 CIC 31: Endorsement of 13-14 CAPR 13 (first reading)

P. 13-14 FDEC 5: Resolution on location of Senior Investigator Position (formerly EEO Officer) (first reading)

Q. 13-14 CIC 19: Prerequisite Enforcement (replaces 12-13 CIC 8) (first reading) (given a time certain of 3:20 during approval of the agenda)

M/S (Murray/Ginno) to approve. Jennings asked whether the list of students would include students in their first quarter. CIC Chair Murray responded that it would if their record has been evaluated.

Item 6.C was next.

R. 13-14 CIC 35: Infrequently Offered Courses Not Taught for Three Years memo from APGS (first reading)

S. 13-14 CIC 30: GE Subcommittee cluster approvals 2014-2017 (first reading) (given a time certain of 3:40 during approval of the agenda)

M/S/P (Murray/Kimball) following M/S/P (Eagan/Jennings) to waive the first reading.

Item 6.C resumed following Item 6.S.

T. 13-14 FAC 7: Review Schedule and MPP Acting/Interim Appointments (first reading)

U. 13-14 CIC 15: Request for Curriculum tracking software (first reading)

7. Report of the CFA (time certain, no later than 3:50pm)

CFA East Bay Chapter Jennifer Eagan encouraged faculty to attend the Planning for Distinction forums and volunteer to precinct walk for Tim Sbranti's Assembly District 16 campaign.

8. Adjournment

M/S/P (Ginno/Gubernat) to adjourn. The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  
Mark Karplus, Secretary