1. Approval of the agenda

M/S/P (Horne/Murray) to approve the agenda, as amended
M/S/P (Komorosky/Rippy) to give 51 a time certain of 3:00

2. Approval of 3/6/18 draft meeting minutes

M/S/P (Garbesi/Komorosky) to approve

3. Reports

A. Report of the Chair

Chair Karplus welcomed all to the last quarter of the year and of our quarter system.

He announced that there has been a change to the starting date, from 8/15 to 8/17 for the Fall 18 semester. The Chancellor’s Office states that Fall cannot begin earlier than 8/17.

B. Report of the President
President Morishita also welcomed all to the last spring quarter.

He reported that his recent evaluation went well. He has now been here nearly seven years.

Three weeks ago, the President went to Sacramento to meet with the Governor and members of the Legislature to advocate for increased funding for the CSU. He was joined in the effort by Trustees and faculty leadership.

Morishita’s sense is that legislators are supportive of the CSU and want us to do well. That said, the Governor’s proposed allocation to the CSU of $92M is quite short of our $263M ask. In fact, we need at least $171M to meet enrollment, grad initiatives, bonds, and facility expenses. There is a possibility that, should the Legislature recommend additional funding to the CSU, the governor will not blue line it, especially given there may be $2-3B more in revenue than was previously anticipated.

The President acknowledged that the Executive Orders created a difficult situation and adds to the challenges of the semester conversion process.

C. Report of the Provost

Provost Inch welcomed all to our Spring 18 quarter and reported on the following:

- He met with Chair Karplus and Vice Chair Garbesi yesterday and engaged in a rich conversation about shared governance. Inch remains committed to the process and said the challenge is when external entities exert pressures.
- Interviews for the VP student affairs are taking place
- Faculty Searches: 11 completed, 9 in process, and 5 failed (to be rolled over)
- AY 18-19 calendar changes include a revised start date of 8/17, with Back to the Bay on 8/15.
- Students are stressed as semester conversion approaches; faculty have been helpful in calming and assisting them
- Having Fall grades due in January is problematic and has implications for international scholars
- Room scheduling continues, with 66 sections still having no rooms. There are violations of scheduling patterns and we need to run an optimizer to fit in all courses.
- About 16 programs have not submitted their DAR, impacting about 2500 students.
- Fund raising is at an all-time high. Lab facilities will be upgraded to state of the art
- The CO says we are out of compliance on area C, so C3 is problematic.

D. Report of the Statewide Academic Senators

Senator Fleming referred Senators to the Plenary report she emailed yesterday. She spent the remainder of her report discussing shared governance issues and the ASCSU response to the CA-AAUP and AAUP (National) expressions of concern regarding promulgation and implementation of the EOs.
E. Report of Student Government

No report

F. Report of Semester Conversion

Semester Conversion Director Hedrick stated that there are 138 days until semester conversion. System analyst, Wendy Chen, reported to him that all departments have responded to the DAR request. Hedrick encourages faculty to take advantage of one or more of the five workshops that will be presented by the Faculty Development sub-committee on semester conversion this month.

G. Report of the CFA

No report

4. Election

A. At-large representative to the Executive Committee for Spring 2018

M/S/P (Moreman/Seitz) to approve by acclaim the election of Gretchen Reevy to serve out the SP18 term on the Executive Committee

5. Action Items

A. 17-18 CAPR 7: Proposed Assessment Framework

Passed on second reading

B. 17-18 CAPR 8: Institutional Learning Outcome (ILO) Long-Term Assessment Plan

Passed on second reading

C. 17-18 CAPR 10: Request for discontinuance of six Math options

Passed on second reading

D. 17-18 CAPR 11: Request for discontinuance of three Environmental Science options

Passed on second reading

E. 17-18 CIC 29: HON prefix for University Honors Program

Passed on second reading
Item 5F was not concluded before adjournment

F. 17-18 CIC 38: Temporary continuation of GE B5 designation (second reading)

M/S (Coskran/Lee) to amend: “Students that are on that are on the quarter GE catalog may satisfy their B5 requirement with an approved B1, B2 or B6 course”

There was discussion as to whether the proposed amendment constituted a substitute motion and, as it would change the substance of the original, if it would also be out of order. Chair Karplus ruled that it would be treated as an amendment and that it was in order.

G. 17-18 CAPR 4: Semester updates for the Temporary Suspension of Academic Programs Policies and Procedures document (second reading)

H. 17-18 CAPR 5: Proposed changes to the Academic Programs Policies and Procedures document regarding discontinuances (first reading)

I. 17-18 CIC 45: SLHS prefix for Speech Language and Hearing Science (first reading)

M/S/P (Komorosky/Ramanathan) to approve
M/S/P (Komorosky/Ramanathan) to waive the first reading

6. Discussion Items

A. East Bay Resolution Regarding Executive Orders 1100 (revised) and 1110 Implementation Actions for EO 1100 (revised) and EO 1110

During the discussion of items 6A & 6B, the following were raised:

We can see that the system and our campus administration have been implementing the EOs and most, if not, all needed infrastructure is in place. Is it realistic to or even possible to try to halt or reverse what appears to be a fait accompli?

How do we reconcile implementation of the EOs given the strong objections to the EOs in content and process, as articulated by nearly all campuses (including our own) in the system?

Should we write another resolution? If so, won’t it be ignored and, therefore, useless, just as the previous ones seem to have been? In response to these questions, Senator Gubernat was helpful in explaining that the way faculty “speak” or weigh in on issues is via the resolution process. We issue resolutions and they may have no effect; however, the resolution informs multiple audiences of the sense of the faculty on topics. In this case, it would be to say that what is moving forward does not have our blessing.

Fleming stressed that a big problem is that, while outside attacks on shared governance have increased over the last decade, institutional memory within the academy has precipitously declined. This leaves less experienced faculty without some of the resources that would otherwise protect their interests and assert on their behalf. In response, some senators expressed a
desire to better understand shared governance concepts, how governance/consultation should work, and what is at stake for faculty when there are breaches. More specifically, some senators wanted more information on the implications for the current issue (EOs).

7. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 4:01 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Denise Fleming, Secretary