Minutes of the meeting of March 2, 2004

Members Present: Cal Caplan, Denise Fleming, Karina Garbesi, Liz Ginno, William Langan, Julia Norton (Chair), Norma Rees, Hank Reichman, Jeffery Seitz, Steve Ugbah, Alison Warriner, Donald Wort

Members Absent: none

Guests: Dee Andrews, Carl Bellone, Bob Brauer, John Charles, Stanley Clark, Susan Correia, Sonjia Redmond, Don Sawyer, Jodi Servatius

1. Approval of the agenda
   (M/S/P) Caplan/Warriner.

2. No minutes to approve.

3. Reports
   A. Report of the chair
      - Save the CSU meeting today: all sectors being represented, students, faculty, and administrators; pledge cards going around, please send to Julie or Hank;
      - Campus fee committee meeting: we demonstrate an inability to focus a sense of responsibility; some fees were approved, some were not – students felt that they would be better off buying their own art supplies; all votes were 3/4; Clark reported that there are 4 students, and representatives from Student Affairs, Pablo Arreola is the rep; Warriner asked if the Writing Skills portfolio fee was passed, and yes it was as was the modeling fee; Clark recommended that art department students be asked to attend future meetings to defend some of the art fees;
      - Community Colleges are holding a demonstration in Sacramento in March 15th; SF State asked if we wanted to join in; Reichman remarked that cc are covered by Prop 98 and the demonstration might not highlight the CSU; Caplan recommended that we find out the tenor of their demonstration to see if they actually will include the CSUs; Norton replied that they said last year, as this year, that they are there for all of higher education, but that their demonstration only focused on community colleges; Norton will inquire of SF State for more information;
      - CFA is meeting with local legislators in March: 19th and 26th; if you have the time, please consider joining other CFA members in visiting your local legislators and email Kim Geron if you are interested (kgeron@csuhayward.edu).

   B. Report of the President
      - met with Secretary Reardon on Thursday; he was the keynote speaker at Bus/Ed Summit and Rees co-chaired; he stated he was interested in learning how the CSUs prepare students for employment; met with him over lunch with the deans, Stan Clark, Carl Bellone, and Aline Soules; students are educated not trained for specific jobs was the message as well as our role in teacher preparation; he was also interested in student volunteerism and Rees informed him that we have a volunteer clearinghouse on campus and service learning is becoming a major requirement in terms of classroom learning.
      - forum yesterday she spoke on the propositions along with Kim Geron and David Baggins;
      - Saturday, March 6th, Alameda County Women’s Hall of Fame will be honoring Sonjia Redmond;
- Wort asked Rees if it would be helpful to send information on service learning activities. Rees replied yes.

C. Report of the Statewide Academic Senators
- Reichman noted that the Senate will meet next week; the agenda includes a report from David Spence, a budget update from Richard West; there will be a number of resolutions for a 2nd reading on the holocaust center, fee increase policy for teacher candidates, task force fee policies in general, a resolution allowing community colleges to confer bachelor’s degrees in some fields; the SB 1785, Scott/Albert/McPherson/Liu, would require the chancellor to establish BA transfer requirements, specifically the 45 undergraduate units – CSU will lose flexibility if passed; Rees asked if there is anything in the law that would require community colleges to communicate/enforce their end of the law and Reichman expressed that no, that it was just for us to specify the required transfer units to the community colleges; FAC will be discussing Executive Order 879 – which says that any campus that goes on YRO will not charge more than normal operating fees, some campuses have already passed resolutions asking the chancellor to rescind the order; textbook prices will be discussed; attended the COLD (Council of Library Directors) meeting at Palm Desert and his report to SAS will be shared with CSUH.

D. Report of the ASI Representative
- Ugbah met with them last week and they discussed the diploma issue and online class schedules, and he encouraged them to explore participating in the University Advancement efforts to raise funds and to contact Bob Burt.

4. Appointments
- Norton noted that Peter Wilson is appointing an ad hoc committee to develop a strategic plan for the Contra Costa Campus (CCC); Norton suggested that the CCAC (Contra Costa Advisory Committee) recommend the 2 faculty members to serve. Garbesi asked about the use of the CCC for community college courses; Rees replied that Los Medanos and DVC need extra space, but it’s also a benefit to bring resources together in terms of demand and need of students which waxes and wanes; two pieces of funding needed, planning money and program expansion money. Wort asked about the rest of the make-up of the committee and we ought to have someone from ExCom on the committee, and Norton will email Wilson/Phelps to suggest that. Reichman thought it would be useful to include in the Plan what majors/programs will be offered completely out there. Which majors are the highest priority/ most in demand?

5. Report from 03-04 Search Committee for the G.E. Coordinator for 2004-06
- Warriner, Fleming, and Bellone met and developed the draft of the letter and announcement, which was distributed to ExCom. Members will review and email any member of the committee their comments or corrections by the end of the following day. The call letter and job description will be sent out soon after.

6. 03-04 CIC 12, U.S. History and Government (State Code) Requirement
(M/S/P) Reichman/Ugbah to send to Senate.

Norton requested that we put it on the April 6th Academic Senate agenda. Andrews remarked the only rush was for it to apply to students graduating in June, which can happen even if approved on April 6th.

7. 03-04 CIC 13, Learning Outcomes for the Lifelong Understanding General Education Requirement
(M/S/P) Caplan/Ginno to send to Senate.
Seitz remarked that item #5 which satisfy area E, and the skills listed are area C skills. Stoper replied that this was discussed in CIC and that the compromise was that they took out the word ‘advanced.’ Seitz also asked for clarification on the wording under item #1, Area D “to address a particular topic in which humans are seen as integrated mental and physical beings.” Stoper agreed that this was yet again a compromise. Caplan recommended that these are arguments of content not form and should be addressed at the Senate floor. Norton replied that we can clarify the substance. Reichman agreed and asked what the old capstone requirement relationship is with lifelong understanding requirement. Stoper concurred that there is both lifelong understanding and the capstone requirement. She remarked that there is no longer an Area E but a Lifelong Understanding Overlay and more discussion will be needed on the Senate floor.

8. 03-04 FAC 4, Resolution on Implementation of ACR 73
   (M/S/) Wort/Langan to send to Senate. Motion is null.

Discussion on this document maintained that it was not acceptable for several reasons including that the language was flawed, there was no clear distinction that the Plan and ACR 73 are not the same thing, and that efforts have already been made to implement the plan. It was mentioned that the Senate already passed a resolution in favor of ACR 73 in 2002 or thereabouts, and therefore this is redundant. Several other details were mentioned as being in need of correction.

(M/S/P) Wort/Caplan to refer back to FAC for clarification.

9. 03-04 FAC 5, Definition and Clarification of the Role of the Presidential Appointee in the Faculty Senate Committees & Subcommittees

Norton noted that one member of FAC (Faculty Affairs Committee) sent an email with his take on the issue and that email was distributed. Fleming asked for clarification on what the policy would affect. Norton replied that it would require a change the Academic Senate bylaws, and would affect all standing committee policies.

(M/S/P) Caplan/Langan to refer document back to FAC.

The consensus of ExCom was that the document needs to be reviewed because:
- no citation of bylaws and it should appear as such, so the form is inappropriate;
- further investigation needs to be done to see how the other standing committees feel about the proposed change(s);
- clarification is needed (for example, current practice is that the presidential appointees do not serve as chairs of committees already), and that this document violates the idea of shared governance;
- and what do the references to CFA mean in application of this?

The FAC needs to clarify exactly what this document is meant to do and it should be written in terms of bylaws changes. It should also be expressed that members of ExCom were not won over by the wisdom of this approach. It was remarked that the document is based on the (false) premise that presidential appointees are always administrators and many faculty are asked by the President on committees; there are no references to specific bylaws language. It was added that this is just bad policy and counterproductive to good dialog/conversation.

10. 03-04 FAC 6, Changes to the Appointment and Review Document
    (M/S/P) Reichman/Warriner to place on Senate Agenda.
11. **Discussion of Faculty/Staff HousingPrioritizations**

Metz gave a progress report, Valeo is the project management company, on faculty housing. Site: 4-4.5 acres; just to left of Carlos Bee Blvd, (between Carlos Bee and Hayward Blvds). The lot at the back end of the old football stadium, would be increased to replace the lost parking spaces. approx. 98 units are planned (about 44 units for sale and 48 units to rent). The development would include rental and under-market purchase units. If this project is successful, we could expand the service and there are three prospects for that; 1) potential of using old highway land; 2) we own a very attractive piece of land above Pioneer Heights of about 20 acres; 3) land to the south of kite flyer’s hill. We are working with CalTRANs to have them deed to us the land thought to be used for a highway to campus. The proposal is progressing, and our consultant is the one who was also used by the UC Irvine faculty village property, one of the finest faculty housing projects. Wort voiced concern over selling units with no time limits. Metz responded that we can restrict the contract so that the persons who buy units must sell within 5 years. We are in phase 2 of a 3 phase plan and we will have to bring it back to the Board of Trustees for financing the project, or we will investigate our own debt-affordability rating, or we may need to go to the Foundation for financing. Caplan asked about the CalTRANs property and Metz replied that we are asking about the unoccupied land because of issues of owner occupied homes. The timeline for construction is that it would begin one year from next quarter. Garbesi asked about faculty input into design issues; Metz replied that Norton is part of the review process. Garbesi also remarked that there has been a lot of work showing that attempts to provide lower than market housing sales [return to market price] if you do not control the resale price and Metz replied that we are using the CSU Channel Islands plan so that resale prices will not go up to market value. Rees noted that her concern is that we have housing that is affordable to new faculty for a period of time and that they do not use the time up, the only reason the sale units were included was to bring down the price of the rentals. The Campus Physical Planning Committee is the body providing review/approval of this project. Wort mentioned that Metz and his staff are doing a great job and it’s a wonderful project, and at some point, since this is so important to our hiring of new faculty, that we may need to be involved in terms of the hierarchy of the sale/resale, etc.

12. **Supplementary Funding Options for University Advancement**

Burt requested that this be forwarded to our next meeting on March 9th. Good news we passed the $9.5M mark with a gift from Dick and Annette Warren.

13. **Adjournment**

(M/S/P) Warriner/Garbesi 3:41pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Liz Ginno, Secretary