CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, HAYWARD

THE ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the Meeting of Tuesday, June 1, 2004

Approved as corrected


Members Absent:  Diana Balgas, Monique Berlanga, Alex Braun II, Bryant Estep, Denise Fleming, Don Gailey, Karina Garbesi, Jessica Goodkind, Michael Hedrick, Doug Highsmith, Kevin Horan, Jane Lopus, Edwin McLay, Michael Strait, Hollie Svedbeck, Vincenzo Traversa, Lenni Velez, Donna Wiley


1. Approval of the agenda

M/S/ (Stoper/Trumbo) with the provision to move the reports to the end.

2. Approval of the minutes of the meeting on May 4, 2004

M/S/P (Wort/Cassuto) approved.

3. Reports

A. Report of the Chair

Norton thanked everyone for attending the Budget Summit; she will be very happy to turn over the chairship next Tuesday, but did enjoy the year; reminder of the presentation at 4pm in UU311 with Phil Angelides, Arianna Huffington, and Randy Jackson; still soliciting contributions to the Connie Sexauer Memorial Fund.

B. Report of the President – deferred to her report given at the Budget Summit earlier.


D. Report of CFA - reiterated chair’s reminder to attend the 4pm presentation, and that John Travis, President of CFA, will also attend; it is a town hall event so all can provide input.

E. Report of Student Government – no report

4. Presentation of the Sue Schaefer Faculty Service Award

Norton introduced Sue Schaefer, emeritus faculty member, and together they presented Emily Stoper, Political Science, with this year’s award in recognition of her service to the university. Stoper remarked that her service to the university and faculty union is in support of three ideas: 1) self-governance is important; being active in the Senate gives us input into decisions regarding the conditions of employment and the direction of the institution; we are very lucky that we have a say in our workplace; 2) it’s been fun, especially the blue hat rally a few years back; 3) it’s been valuable also because it gave her the opportunity to meet others outside of her department.
5. **03-04 CIC 18** Proposed Discontinuance of the B.A. in Arts Administration, Department of Theatre and Dance and **03-04 CAPR 1**, Discontinuance of B.A. in Arts Administration

   M/S/P (Reichman/Langan).


   M/S/P (Wort/Langan).

7. **03-04 CAPR 3**, Special Major Program, Five-Year Review

   M/S/ (Caplan/Trumbo) to accept the review and approve the continuance of the program.

   Stoper remarked that the outside review by another campus is perfectly okay. An elaborate review is not needed.

   Motion passed.


   M/S/P (Suess/Reichman).


   M/S/P (Seitz/Egan).


    M/S/P (Langan/Caplan) with the friendly amendment to vote on 03-04 CIC 24 and 03-04 CIC 25 together.

11. **03-04 CIC 25**, Proposed New Cluster Course THEA 1013, Ancient World Theatre, (Replacement for ART 1014, Ancient Art) in the Humanities Ancient World Cluster

    See item #10.


    M/S/P (Stoper/Eagan).

M/S/ (Caplan/Schutz).

Bellone reported that we want to continue what we are doing now for one more year, rather than implementing something new. If a student transfers to us with their GE satisfied, it is a moot point. GS class would cost additional money. Next year we will take this up with GE and CIC to address the issue. Caplan asked whether or not, if we pass this, CSUH would be perceived as requiring more stringent GE than other campuses; Bellone replied that this is something else that can be addressed by GE next year.

Motion passed.

14. **03-04 CIC 28**, Change in the Name of the B.A. Degree in Mass Communication to the B.A. Degree in Communication; Discontinuance of the B.A. in Speech Communication; and Appending of the Options in Interpersonal Communication, Organizational Communication/Public Relations, and Public Communication to the New B.A. in Communication and **03-04 CAPR 5**, Discontinuance of B.A. in Speech Communication program

M/S (Stoper/Wort).

Mashaw asked about the justification of the name change; Stoper answered that the two departments merged several years ago and the degree name change encompasses both departments. Reichman remarked that the name of the department(s) have already been changed, the degree change is so that the eight options can be grouped under the one department. Langan remarked that the chair of the department had argued that there has been an integration of programs since the merger; Wort noted that the name change reflects the importance of the subject of communication and is a standard, and well understood, broader term. Mass Comm is narrow. Mashaw argued that it is confusing because where would the telecommunications degree be placed; Rees suggested that it might be reasonable to asterisk “telecommunications” in the catalog, to guide new students to the proper place in the catalog; most felt this was reasonable.

Motion passed, with one nay.

15. **03-04 CIC 29**, Four New Options in Biology: Cell and Molecular Biology, Ecology and Conservation Biology, Forensic Science, Physiology; and One New Option in Chemistry: Forensic Science

M/S/P (Warriner/Trumbo).


M/S/P (Warriner/Hedrick).
17. **03-04 CAPR 4**, Anthropology Five-Year Review

M/S/P (Andrews/Langan) to accept the review and approve the continuance of the program.

Andrews asked that the record show that the report stresses the request for additional tenure track faculty and administrative support.

18. **03-04 FAC 7**, Changes to the Bylaws of the University Faculty, and **03-04 BEC-10**, Proposed alternate method for obtaining Staff Representation on the Academic Senate

M/S/ (Wort/Trumbo).

M/S/ (Cassuto/Andrews) to separate BEC 10 from 03-04 FAC 7 and discuss BEC 10 first.

Caplan remarked that he will vote for this, but he has a hesitation about the Senate electing the staff representative, rather than the electorate. Stoper agreed and raised the question as to whether or not we ought to have a staff representative at all if the staff cannot select their representative themselves; the numerous types of staff categories makes it difficult to elect one representative; the Senate usually invites the pertinent staff to any meeting discussing issues of importance to that group. Wort replied that this has been an issue in FAC for several years. While some campuses have none, his work with Susan and Connie made it apparent to him that it is useful to have a staff representative on Senate, and if this is the only way to do it right now, then it is what we need to do. It can be modified later. Trumbo agreed that this issue has been on the FAC agenda for a long time, and they tried to develop a method of identifying staff representation and structuring a voting method to avoid having the largest group always the ones who were voted in. It was judged too complicated. He doesn’t mind having staff representation but he doesn’t like the amendment; Schutz supported Stoper’s view, to not do this without having a mechanism for staff to vote for their own representative. Norton replied that from the survey of the campuses, we are not alone on this issue; to Stoper’s question about whether or not staff replied to the email inquiry on this topic, Norton informed that a number of staff replied that representation was important to them and they would be interested in serving.

The vote was taken: 15 for, 14 nays, 5 abstentions. Motion carried.

In terms of a friendly amendment, Trumbo remarked that changes need to be made on FAC 7, due to the amendment: some renumbering shouldn’t happen. It was suggested that the Senate staff fix whatever he missed in his amendment.

03-04 FAC 7 passed, as revised by 03-04 BEC 10. [These changes will be placed before the faculty for approval as part of a University-wide election in 04-05.]


Dr. Michael Lee, CSUH Representative to the CSU Academic Council on International Programs (ACIP) 2000/2001-2005/2006 (Department of Geography and Environmental Studies), reported that we have a new campus CSU IP Coordinator, Wagaye Johannes, who has done a marvelous job. We had 14 applicants to the CSU IP Study Abroad Program for 2003/2004, including one applicant to Australia and New Zealand (which constitutes a separate and later process). This is
equal to the applications of the previous year. Nine out of the 14 applicants have been accepted into the program (they are still waiting word on the 9th, but are hopeful that the student will succeed). More than half of the applicants are from minority households. 638 CSU students, out of a total of 916 applicants, will be going abroad this next year. In 2004/2005, Hayward maintained consistent applicant and participant numbers relative to many other campuses, thus rising to 13th in applicants by number and 12th in participants by number. 16 faculty participated this year in the 10 hour-long interviews, with Wagaye Johannes and Dr. Lee present in each. We have no faculty from Hayward participating in this year’s Faculty Partnership Seminar in Japan and all future Partnership Seminar plans (Canada 2005, and Chile or China 2006) have been shelved due to budget considerations; he announced that Dr. Mei Ling Woo received a $10,000 Wang fellowship to work with the National Taiwan University.

As part of his duties on the ACIP Academic and Fiscal Affairs Committee (AFAC), he helped review the United Kingdom program and prepared a 14-page follow-up report to CSU IP for an overhaul of the study abroad program five-year review process; he was elected chair of Academic Fiscal Review; hopes to be elected chair of ACIP the year that their meeting will be held at CSUH; the last meeting was at Humboldt. IP – budget situation is sad, while enrollment has climbed, average outlay per student averaged $5820; anticipating 8-10% reduction in budget, with perhaps a $400,000 shortfall (out of the $4M budget). To address this, ACIP is trying to emphasize cheaper programs versus the expensive ones; Ghana, Natal, Port Elizabeth are possible replacements for the 2 suspended African programs. He encouraged all in Academic Senate and all faculty to make known to students the education abroad program. His full report has been sent to the Senate office if you would like a copy.

20. Reports-
Lowenthal asked Norton about the post-tenure review question; Clark replied that prior and current practices on this campus are such that all prior reviews are placed in the faculty member’s PAF. He added that, according to Article 11 in the CBA, if they have a need (relevance), they have a right to look at the PAF. Reichman remarked that the current practice is that the committee gets the previous review at the beginning of the new review. After tenure, the file is removed.

Lowenthal then asked about the Golden Handshake and the 25% rule; if a given department had more than 25% of its faculty requesting the Golden Handshake, the President has the right to limit; Clark noted that he thinks he remembers a reference to seniority and the President has the right to waive for any unit.

M/S/P (Andrews/Ginno) to commend the Chair on her excellent chairmanship in a very difficult year. Enthusiastic applause ensued. Wort included the Senate staff in the kudos to the well run year, with another reminder to donate toward the Sexauer Memorial.

21. Adjournment
M/S/P (Langan/Cassuto).
Respectfully submitted by,
Liz Ginno, secretary