CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY  
FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE  

Minutes of November 1, 2006  

Members present: Dee Andrews (Acting Chair), Mayling Chu, Jennifer Laherty, Tony Lima,  
Ann McPartland, Fund-Shine Pan, Xeno Rasmussen, David Stronck, Arthurlene Towner  
(Presidential Appointee)  
Members absent: Carol Lauzon (Chair)  
Guests: Henry Reichman  

Meeting convened at 2:45pm.  

1. Approval of the agenda  
M/S/P to approve the agenda AS AMENDED? (if we drop New Business and 5a.)  

2. Approval of the minutes of October 18, 2006  
M/S/P to approve the minutes as corrected.  

3. Report of the Chair  
No report. Prof. Lima reported that the Copyright Committee, comprised of himself, Aline  
Soules, and Nicole Howard, will meeting next Wednesday, Nov. 8.  

No report.  

5. NEW BUSINESS: SUSAN: IF THERE IS NO NEW BUSINESS, SHOULD THIS BE ON THE AGENDA?  

6. Old Business  
a. Academic Senate Membership Referral; SUSAN: I THINK THIS WAS SETTLED AT THE LAST MEETING? BUT CAROL CAN CLARIFY.  
b. Academic Senate Membership Referral  
Re: lecturer representation: Prof. Lima reported that he has sent questionnaires to  
CSU Senate Chairs. From the replies thus far he has determined that the average  
percentage of lecturers on CSU Academic Senates is 5.76. At East Bay, the  
percentage appears to be 3.5 (2 out of 57 members). He will report on the  
outstanding replies from CSU Senate Chairs at FAC’s next meeting.  
Re: other constituencies represented on the Senate: Prof. Lauzon will report next  
time.  

c. Appointment and Review Document Referral  
Librarian Laherty distributed her table-version summary of the appointment and  
review committees as described in the document.
Prof. Andrews reported for Prof. Laherty that the phrase “Staff Connections” in the document appears to refer to a listserv method of electing staff representatives.

Chair Reichman summarized some of the issues relating to revising and updating this document, especially apparent in this year’s constitution of various search committees, a number for positions that have not been searched for 10 or more years. In particular: some new MPP positions are not included in the document; some committees may be overrepresented with faculty as opposed to staff; distinctions might be more carefully made between election of faculty representatives by colleges and election of faculty representatives university-wide, with limits on college representations; the process for creating position descriptions should be clarified.

FAC discussed the general principles they planned to follow for this review:
The members agreed with Chair Reichman’s assessment of the main concerns for the document. In addition, equity in position titles may be considered by the committee as an additional recommendation.

It was also agreed the committee could not proceed without a full organization chart of the University’s divisions. Associate Provost Towner will provide these to the members.

It was lastly agreed that FAC would appoint two ad hoc subcommittees to do work on revisions to present to FAC: one to propose changes, the other to incorporate these into the final document.

The first subcommittee was then chosen: Andrews, Laherty, McPartland. The second subcommittee will be selected later.

7. Adjournment: 4:05pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Dee Andrews
Secretary