

Board of Directors Meeting Minutes April 10th, 2019

I. CALL TO ORDER at 12:07 PM.

II. ROLL CALL

Present: Myles Watkins, Ryan Finazzo, Mahdi Fugfugosh, Melissa Baron, Kabir Dhillon, Louis Ramos Casillas, Zachary Meade, Dessiree Cuevas, Mahad Fugfugosh, Oscar Rubio, Martin Castillo, Erik Pinlac, Michael Lee

Late: Karen Parada, Hoang Dao, Isaiah Avila-De La Cruz, Mark Almeida, Bill Irwin, Marguerite Hinrichs

Absent: Daisy Maxion, Daejona Walker, Andrew Yunker

III. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Agenda**

Motion to approve the agenda by **K. Dhillon**, second by **M. Baron**, motion **PASSED**.

IV. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Minutes of March 20th, 2019**

Motion to approve the minutes of March 20th, 2019 with the suggestions that are on the minutes right now **Z. Meade**, second by **K. Dhillon**, **PASSED**.

Motion on the table is to approve the minutes on the contingency that the suggestions on the document are added to it. **Z. Meade** adds that he edited the document. He said there were typos and mistakes with some confusing motions and suggests revisiting how they approve of minutes.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT – **Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the board on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay.**

Tameem Tutakhil, a student on campus said he was reflecting on the past week of the elections because they were all expecting the run-offs to happen, but they were postponed because of grievances. It made him feel like his vote did not matter since the slate or people he was supporting was removed from the elections and run-offs. He said he tried looking for the Election Committee Codes but he could not find them, but he is under the impression that there are some rules that needs to be followed and they were not followed. He asked to address the issues in the future. He said in his experience as part of the student council at City College, they let students vote again even though it was a hassle. He said it should happen again because students don't know what goes on in ASI in general.

Riley Miller said he was on the slate that did get disqualified. I believe the whole process of disqualification was not done in the proper way. He said it was personally attacked towards them. He agrees with Tameem in regard to reelection, since reelections are not happening even though he won by 55%. He said he did not know allegations against him specifically, yet he was disqualified.

Christopher said he is currently on the ASI committee and he was on one of the slates that got disqualified and he feels that it is unfair. He said it did not get any votes, it went straight to disqualifications. He said a majority of the people showed up, trying to show their opinions for some kind of re-run or reelection.


"Students working for Students!"

Daryl Williams said we should have reelections that the most logical route to take. He said if there was a disqualification was necessary, then that's not fair to the rest of the members on the slate. It's not fair for them to suffer, for the actions of others. He said he saw the ASI post the results and a lot of winners won by quite a bit of margins, and it's not right that they got disqualified because their voices are not heard.

Jacob Lopez agrees that there should be a reelection. He said it is not fair that some winner already didn't win by certain percentage because some the voices from the other slates are being silenced this way. He said it is not fair to every member.

Leann Lucas, the current VP of Greek Council for Finance. He feels that it is unfair that these people campaigned for maybe a month, and they did all the hard work, just to get disqualified. He said students at East Bay voiced their votes and it's not fair that they voted and wanted their voices heard, but they got disqualified, so he feels that a reelection would be a good idea.

Desiree, Director of Programming Council said Wellness Wednesday is happening right now and even though she should be at the meeting, she feels that the person that needs to be in charge of events should be out there.

Luan Montelongo (Lulu) said a lot of people on the slates that got disqualified were friends of hers, and it is not right that you disqualified them because of he said and she said drama when there is no concrete group. By disqualifying them, you are discrediting the votes.

R. Finazzo said they all are absolutely correct, but they are not the student body that makes these decisions, he wanted to make it clear for the public that they are not making those decisions. There is an Elections Committee that made those decisions, which is separate from ASI. **E. Pinlac** said the Elections Committee is a separate entity from ASI. They want to separate independence from ASI so that there is no under influence or things like that. He said they will share the feedbacks with the Elections Committee. **M. Watkins** said per the Elections Code, people need to appeal what happened at elections, they have to come to the Board of Directors and send emails. He said they should let all the students that are there to speak, and then give them a collective comment at the end. **Z. Meade** agrees that if members on the slate felt that they were treated unfairly, want to appeal, they should follow the process of appeal. He clarifies that there is a strict deadline.

Ivan said the election committee did a poor job in handling the situation. He felt that his vote did not count because the person he elected for, got disqualified, and based on no concrete evidence. He said there should be reelections because the elections committee did a poor job.

Deborah Soto said she'd like to backup what everyone has been saying that it's not fair to people that worked hard and did everything by the book. She said it's something she hasn't seen before, and she's a fourth year. On Instagram, some of the people talked about the issues and the changes they want to bring.

Eddie said he feels that it was unfair for the slates to be disqualified. He said he talked to them and voted for them and they won, but they got disqualified. He said all the other people that he didn't vote for, got the positions because of disqualifications.

Beruke N. said by looking at all these results, most of the people that were disqualified won by 10% or more, and he does not understand how all these grievances add up to 10% margin. He said even during reelection, these people are going to win, despite the disqualifications.

Giovanni Rodriguez said it is wrong to disqualifying people based on no actual evidence. He said he has talked to some of the people and their policies and knowing that his vote got disqualified makes him feel that his opinion does not matter.


"Students working for Students!"

Tatum Crosby said she was on the Transform East Bay slate that got disqualified. She said she was looking through the codes last night to appeal the decision. She read that they were all supposed to receive three strikes, and they all did not receive those strikes. She feels that as someone that ran and put her dedication in it, that her voice will not be heard as she has many ideas for the upcoming idea. She said our school is presumed to be pretty diverse and you are knocking down all the diversity out of the slate. She said the purpose of them running was to satisfy the needs of the diverse university. She feels that an appeal or reelection of any sort would be appreciated.

Siri Osborne said she was on the slate that was disqualified and she was not on any of the grievances, but she was still disqualified. She said personally, since she went out and talked to students and got to know them, and to get disqualified is not fair to the students. She said she told the students that she could be the voice for them, but she got disqualified.

21:00

VI. UNFINISHED ITEMS

A. ACTION ITEM – Agenda Policy [~5 minutes]

The BOD will take action on the potential additions and edits the policy.

Z. Meade asks if there is any speakers today that need to present. **R. Finazzo** replies that they do and they have a new dining vendor and a DISC representative.

Motion to move to suspend the rules that interferes taking items out of their proper order, to take up new business item A (Dining Transitions and Food Options Feedback) to the presence by **Z. Meade**, second by **K. Parada**, motion **PASSED**.

M. Castillo makes it aware that although they do not have an official signed contract at this point, the dining RIP process already went through, and the process has issued a notice to intent to award to Chartwells.

23:13

Motion to postpone the agenda policy to the next meeting by **K. Dhillon**, second by **L. Casillas**, motion **PASSED**.

55:15

B. ACTION ITEM – Funding for Travel for Director of Sustainability [~5 minutes]

The BOD will take action on this funding request to send the Director of Sustainability to “The This Way” to Sustainability Conference.

Z. Meade said the Office of Sustainability had a funding for him, so they don’t need to do anything with this.

Motion to postpone it indefinitely by **Z. Meade**, second by **L. Casillas**.

Z. Meade retracted the motion.

57:10

C. ACTION ITEM – Grade Appeal Committee Concern [~10 minutes]

The BOD will be taking action on sanctioning the ASI Representative for their absence with the Grade Appeal Committee.

R. Finazzo asks someone from Personnel why it was sent to the Board. **E. Pinlac** said the Grade Appeal Committee only meet once or twice a semester, but they booked some hearings.



He said the hearings are important because when students appeal their grades, they go through a process. He said they had a member who said they would make the meeting, did not make the meeting. The Chair in charge of that group contacted me (E. Pinlac) throughout the day trying to figure out what was going on. He said the person is the Senator of CEAS, and he talked to him already and told him he would bring it to personnel because it sheds a bad light on ASI and it's a violation of him not doing his duties. **R. Finazzo** said since it is an action item, beyond that description, they do need a motion to continue. **Z. Meade** asked if there was a motion in the Personnel committee that is being recommended or was there no motion. **R. Finazzo** said it seems there was no motion on the table, it was just sent to us. **E. Pinlac** said there was no motion on it because they saw the conflict of interest. **Z. Meade** asked what was sent to us. **R. Finazzo** said what was sent to us was to take action for sanction for the Senator of CEAS, but there was no recommended decision that they have. E. Pinlac said since the committee had a conflict, whatever they would've recommended would've been a conflict either way. He said the only reason he brought it up was to recommend the sanction.

Motion to take action on this by sanctioning Senator of CEAS by **M. Watkins**, second by **O. Rubio**, motion **PASSED**.

M. Watkins said I don't think we could make this more complicated. He said you didn't go to the meeting and it matters to the students. He said first sanction is a written warning, so it's only fair to give them the sanction since they didn't go to the meeting. **H. Dao** said this was Thursday, March 21st, and he had class from 1:15pm – 2:30pm. Right after class, he attended his instructor's office hours, and it led to it being over timed for the subcommittee meeting from 2:45pm - 4:45pm. He said as an ASI representative, it is very important for him to confirm his attendance to this meeting. He said he feels that he should face some sort of consequence. **Z. Meade** asked clarification on what the specific provision in the code of conduct is that they would be taking action on. **E. Pinlac** said section 1.6 – Responsibilities and Expectations.

53:25

D. ACTION ITEM – Support of AB930 Letter [~5 minutes]

The BOD will be taking action on the support letter for AB930.

Motion to approve the letter of support for Assembly Bill 930, the CSU Executive Compensation Bill, by **L. Casillas**, second by **K. Dhillon**, motion **PASSED**.

L. Casillas said he brought this up with his Legislature Committee. It is for Assembly Bill 930, CSU Executive Compensation Bill, authored by Todd Gloria, who represents the 78th district, which isn't their district but it has CSU in it. He said this Bill promotes college affordability by prohibiting the CSU Board of Trustees from increasing the Executive Compensation in years in which there is a student tuition increase. He said he feels that this bill makes sense and the Legislature Committee felt that they should write a letter of support. He said he sent it to Todd Gloria's office so that he (Todd Gloria) could let it trickle down through the CSU Board of Trustees or whoever he may need to send it to for support. He said he has the actual letter of support that himself and the Legislature Committee came up with. However, he thought it would be more powerful if they sent this up to the Board of Directors to approve it and sign it, before they sent it to Todd Gloria's office. **Z. Meade** asked if this is just going to Todd Gloria and not the State Legislature. **L. Casillas** said they are going to send it to Todd Gloria's office



and then he is going to forward it to whichever Body's he sees fit. **E. Pinlac** said he is not sure how far along they are in the Legislature process, but the committee has to see it first.

Motion to adopt this letter of support for AB930 by **R. Finazzo**, motion **PASSED**.

1:00:06

VII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS:

A. DISCUSSION ITEM – Dining Transitions and Food Options Feedback [~10 minutes]

The new dining vendor will come in and gather some feedback on potential changes with our food options.

Sean A. introduces himself and **Henry Howard** from the Marketing Department of Chartwells. He said he wanted to format this discussion based on the vision plan and committee. He said one thing they have done when they began in the food and services industry was that they came onto the campus for the past 15 months and really got to know the community. He said they had surveys, focus groups and a number of different discussions with the student body. He wanted to start off with retail. He said that he understands that this is a heavily commuted campus. Between the new union and the old union, they wanted to focus in expansion opportunities and bringing a sense of vitality to the space. He provides a schematic of the old union. In terms of expansion, he starts with Taco Bell. He said just important in value, is the authenticity. He said Two Taco will bring will really bring the authenticity. He said they are good street tacos, and really has the zest to it, not like the Doritos Locos Tacos. He said they match the authenticity to the value. He said the price will still be around \$5-\$6 or lower for a combo meal. He said they are not looking at anything that is going to increase the rate of the authenticity. He said they will do tastings. He said Greens-To-Go will be transformed over the summer to Urban Revolution. He said they have good sandwiches, ribs and aspects of the Southern cuisine. He said the important thing with the visions is that he wants to ensure that their partnership goes through 10 years that they are not focusing on everything upfront. As every year goes by, their partnership will evolve in the dining program. He said he heard Mediterranean and the different Indian cuisines are highly favored on this campus. So, Sitara will be welcomed in the old union, towards the back corner, increasing more variety within that space as well. He said they are really big on socialization and students engaging with one another, so the seating will get completely revamped. They are going to add in bookable boxes, which is a really fun way for students in these incubators and do work together. He said the old union will be called Food Haul 57, tentatively. He added that everything is suggestions. **R. Finazzo** said that part of the contract was that the new vendor keeps the current franchises for one year because they want to use next year to do a campus survey to get feedback on these options and some of the other options that they should be considering. He said some of the proposals in their contract are not 100% sure; it'll be dependent on the feedback they receive from the surveys. **Sean A.** reminds that these are all proposed visions. He said they wanted to focus on the dining commons, especially for the first year and second year students, it is an important place. He said the foundation is really nice, but they will change the within the savory area. He said they have a concept called Student Choice. He said students could come into the Pioneer Kitchen, see one of the stations or multiple stations, and text in, in real time, what kind of concepts they would


"Students working for Students!"

like to see next week. He adds that the menu is always evolving, so they are not confined. He said Student Choice is something they can embody in fall 2019. He adds that it is a great program and the chef's work with the focus group forums and committees to build that ballot to vote on. He said when students text in their code, it is 100% free and you can watch your tally go up in real time on the digital screen. He said the area with the TV and soft seating will be turned into what is called the Nook. It is like a pantry area, and they are going to expand near the coffee space as well. He said towards the back will be a teaching kitchen. He said one thing they want to embody into the dining common is the pioneer kitchen experience is having the culinary engaging in conversations with the students. He said a lot of the associates are behind the counter, so they want to bring them forward and showcase their personality, so students can get a sense of the origin of the menu and where the ingredients comes from. Next thing he wanted to showcase was the meal plans. He said for year one, they are going to honor the current meal plans as they are. However, they will add access to the late night access to the Pioneer Kitchen for a swipe and additional flex dollars will be equipped with the meal plan as well. He said he learned from the surveys that students wanted more portability with their meal plan; they did not want to be confined to certain places. **R. Finazzo** adds that there is no meal equivalency right now. **Sean A.** adds that with the meal exchange, it's the same functionality as the meal swipe as the dining commons. The same action, students can take the same meal swipe and use it downstairs. He adds that in year two, there will be minimal price increase from year one to year two; 1.5%. He said they honored the students concerns about the rates through this. He said for commuter students, they learned that they (commuter students) do not want to buy it because of the value and because it does not suit their lifestyle since they are constantly on the go. He said they will build three different tiers of a budget for the commuter students. He said it could be \$300 or \$500, we can determine that together. He said the brand new dining website will be launched this summer, and on it, you can actually build your own meal plan, based on your lifestyle. He said it will be defined by Greek, by ASI, by social. He adds that for the POD, it will stay situated as its own market still, but they are going to do refurbishments in terms of aesthetics, bring in new product mix, but they are not going to make a sound decision on it yet. He adds that they will do a comparative analysis so that the prices are fair and true. **Z. Meade** adds that an organization came and gave a presentation on how they influence campuses on how to donate swipes to homeless populations or students who do not have meal plans. **Sean A.** said that is called food insecurity. He said they do have integration with the food insecurity with all the meal plans, so students will have easy access to donate their swipes for charity and students in need for meals. He said this will be built into the Dino Campus Platform, which will be the new dining website. He said students can go in and anonymously select food insecure if they are. He said these students can answer the certain criteria, and meet those criteria to a degree. Any student that is a meal plan holder can go onto Dino Campus and donate those. **M. Baron** asked how diverse would the options be in terms of dietary restrictions. **Sean A.** answered that all the concepts they are proposing, will be anchored with a number of different options that will avoid gluten, vegan, and vegetarian. He said the brand new pioneer kitchen will have an oasis called the G-8, which will be an allergen friendly zone. He adds that they will also have a program called Balanced-U, which is an iconology which has little icon throughout the retail concepts and pioneer kitchen, that students can find on the menu board that will say


"Students working for
Students!"

whether an item is vegan or has glutens in it. He adds starting July, they will have nutritional guides, which will be a map that will help find the options. He said he does not have the renderings for the new union. He adds that Panda and Subway for the immediate year will stay put. He said they really wanted to get a feel of what the student's preferences are and they felt that the old union deserved their attention first and foremost. E. Pinlac asked about the food options at the Concord campus. **Sean A.** said he can get back to him on, but he does know that there are conversations happening relating to it. **Z. Meade** asks if they have any sustainability aspects in the transition. Sean A. said that Chartwells Higher Education is part of a larger entity called Compass Group, the world's largest service provider. However, they still have the small town mentality, especially here in California. He said they have programs that they will leverage between the Pioneer Kitchen, catering and retail. He said the concept of perfectly delicious produce addresses produce in California, where it is grown and when it is extracted, it looks like ugly produce. He said a lot of those produce gets tossed out, so Chartwell and Compass Group has leveraged this relation between this programs to ensure not a lot of food gets wasted. He said another thing they are going to do is go straw-less. He said they will provide reusable straws or paper straws, whatever's more sustainable. He said the last program is the Food Recovery Network. He said that if they ever have a catering function that is really massive for the entire community and they have a surplus of food to donate, they would work with the Food Recovery Network, that would have a chapter on campus owned by students, to find different departments and organization on and off campus to donate that food to, when it is safe and sanitary. He also addressed reusable cups and containers which will be part of the meal plan. **M. Watkins** said when he was part of the committee, they went to San Jose State and he ate at Locos Tacos and it was delicious. **R. Finazzo** said he would like to thank Myles publicly because he spent a lot of time on the entire process for the committee.

46:05

R. Finazzo said they are going to move back to the start of the agenda. He reminded that they are on a time crunch, they have gone through one item and they have a lot to do. He said they were going to Unfinished Item A, which is on the agenda. **Z. Meade** said he would like somebody else to go first, so if somebody has an item that they would take up first that would be preferable. **R. Finazzo** said they suspended the rules so if they'd like to bring an item.

Motion to suspend the rules that interfere with us taking up items out of their proper order to Unfinished Item C, Grade Appeal Committee Concerns, by **Z. Meade**, second by **Louis Ramos Casillas**, motion **PASSED**.

47:20

B. DISCUSSION ITEM – D.I.S.C. Funding [~10 minutes]

A presentation on the funding for the DISC will be given by Kim Baker Flowers, Chief Diversity Officer.

R. Finazzo said there is no representative from the D.I.S.C. to give a funding request. **E. Pinlac** said there was a miscommunication for today, but we'll have they ready for the next Board Meeting.

1:00:48



C. DISCUSSION ITEM – ASI BOD Member Attendance [~10 minutes]

The ASI Senator of Business will lead a conversation on why this is so important, it's potential on students, and what we can do to help.

R. Finazzo said Dessiree sent this. **K. Dhillon** said Dessiree's concerns were that in the events for Safety Week, on Board and Senate attendance and it's pathetic. He said her point is that she wants to see more attendance. He said that she (Dessiree) and Mahad are putting in a lot of work in putting these events together and if we don't show up then it looks bad. He said they have Wellness Wednesday happening right now too. **K. Parada** said most of them are commuter students so they can't physically attend, so she would recommend emailing Dessiree or whoever is the lead of the event and ask is there any other way you could help. She said this should encourage them to reach out and support in any other way. **E. Pinlac** said if there is this many not attending then it seems that it isn't a requirement under the Code of Conduct. He said if the Board wants to do something about it, he would suggest looking at the policies. **M. Watkins** said one of the ways we can support is by getting volunteers. He said if they can get two students to show up in their place then they have doubled the amount of people that attended. **K. Dhillon** said what Dessiree was going towards was that if you can't attend, then email her and ask her how you could be helpful. **Lil Parker** said she agrees with Myles and that we need to communicate with the students. She said it is important to have the Board present at events, especially if you'd like to say this was a successful event.

1:06:10

D. DISCUSSION ITEM – Support of Diverse Professional Organizations [~5 minutes]

The ASI Sen of Business will lead a conversation on why this is so important, it is potential on students, and what we can do to help.

I. De La Cruz said 2.2% of Latinos in the US are millionaires. 1.8% of Americans in the US are millionaires. 33% of Caucasians in the US are millionaires. He said few weeks ago, he spoke to a representative from NABA (National Association of Black Accountants). He said he expressed concerns about the lack of university attention in regards to the Afro resource center and the undocumented resource center. He said that she told him that NABA has made multiple attempts at establishing a chapter at East Bay in the past, but to no avail. He said he is not sure if NABA is going to establish a chapter here or not, but he is excited about the potential of adding another professional organization on campus. He said NABA is actively searching for people to fill in the holes in their organizations. He said they are having trouble because the students are not aware that this avenue is available to them. He said professional organizations can help CSUEB with its retention rates, graduation rates, and help those from poor backgrounds. He said he does not really have an agenda with this item. **Marguerite** said it is not necessarily that African American students are not aware of NABA, but they lack resources to be able to be participating in NABA. She said on a local scale, the African American resource center is really about providing tangible resources for students so that they can finish college. **M. Watkins** said focusing in on professional organizations that come in and create a direct pipeline to people that will give people solidified income, but also be able to raise themselves into the middle school. **K. Parada** said to lay out a suggestive plan on how the next Senator can carry out the idea that you have in the transition binder.

1:15:22


"Students working for Students!"

E. INFORMATION ITEM – Banquet Presentation [~10 Minutes]

The ASI VP of Communications will give information on the ASI Banquet.

M. Baron said at the last meeting during round table remarks, she asked everybody if they have any ideas to let her know. She said she wants to open up all ideas; if they'd like to see something on the menu, an activity, or a DJ. **R. Finazzo** said in the previous years, it is been very directed towards the Board of Directors. He said he does not want that to happen again and he wants it to be more of ASI. **R. Finazzo** recommends awards and appreciations. **M. Watkins** said he does not want it to be just an award show directed towards us. He said he wants to recognize every individual member in the ASI. He said without the staff, it would be difficult to get things done. **M. Baron** asked if the thank you speech would be more opened up to everybody. **K. Parada** recommends having gluten free things on the menu and superlative awards so that everyone gets an award. **L. Casillas** recommends putting something about the dress code and that there are options at the HOPE closet. **E. Pinlac** recommends assigned sitting since they are connecting with more organizations. **R. Finazzo** said last Board Meeting, the motion for sanctions due to the Code of Conduct. He said the motion on the floor was to give Mahdi, Mahad, and Hoang sanctions for violations for the Code of Conduct for using ASI resources for personal benefits, and the motion was to table this until the minutes of the personnel meeting was approved. He said the minutes for the personnel meeting were approved and you can read in the language. He said this motion is on the table and anyone can bring it off of the table to discuss it. **E. Pinlac** reminds that there was amended to the motion that removed Mahad from that. **R. Finazzo** said he will get it on next Board meetings agenda.

1:24:43

F. DISCUSSION ITEM – Amendments to the ASI Standing Rules [~10 Minutes]

The BOD will discuss the potential edits to the ASI Standing Rules.

Z. Meade said he has several edits that he wanted to make to this. He said in rule 10, on debate, right now it says that members on the Board may only speak twice on any item under consideration under the Board of Directors. He said the word speak is wrong and it causes some confusing. He clarifies that it is not about speaking more than once; it is about debating more than once. He said it is important to change that language. He suggests everyone reads the changes to the standing rules relating to items and documents they approve. He suggests reframing from putting items they are going to be approving as discussion items because right now, that is under rule 8, which says when an item of business comes to the Board, the Board may only begin consideration of the item when a member moves to consider to proceed the item, an item having been moved and seconded shall be after debate, postponed till the next session unless suspension of the rules. He said in the future, when they do have a new item that comes before the Board, he wants to make it clear that this rule says to have it by then. **M. Watkins** recommends adding a dictionary or glossary what the words mean because it could be easily understandable, but without definition what a debate or discussion item actually mean, there will be less confusion. **K. Dhillon** said if they publish this on the website, they might understand what it means, but the students might not understand what it actually means. **M. Watkins** said having a preface in the beginning of saying exactly what it is and why they did it; which will make it easier for Boards coming into understand why they have certain rules.

1:30:38


"Students working for
Students!"

G. INFORMATION ITEM – Finals Week Policy Updates [~5 Minutes]

ASI Senator of Science will give an update on the policy above which was passed by the Senate, and some of the challenges they are encountering.

K. Parada said she is going to the Academic Senate's Ex. Comm. Meeting next week. She said last time; she got some potential feedback regarding the issues to this policy. One being that if you allow a student to take an exam at a different time than everybody else that opens up the door for potential in cheating or spreading the information on the final. She said she went to the testing center in the library and it is kind of useless because there is no one that monitors and you can bring your backpack and have your phone in there. She said if they were to pass this policy, to let the students take an exam on a different time, then that's where they would go do it and there's obviously plenty of opportunity of cheating there. **R. Finazzo** asked if she went to the testing center while a test was going on. **K. Parada** said she scoped out the area where the student would be able to take a test alternatively. **E. Pinlac** said to be caution with some of the assumption of things because some people might have an accommodations. **M. Lee** said cheating is a problem nationally. He said the issue, three exams in one day, which should be a narrow aspect of the discussion, is about fairness to the students and academic success. The idea of having three finals on the same day is a small number, but there should be a remedy for it when it occurs. He said the point you would want to make to Ex. Comm. is to stress the fairness, the incompatibility with three exams in one day for student's success, and ask if faculty is reluctant to vote on something like that, then the nature of the reluctance needs to be addressed. He recommends doing some research from other universities relating to this. **K. Parada** said she was tasked with finding a way to ease the concern. **M. Watkins** said what if during finals week there is no U-Hour. He said as the Board, they cannot worry about if each individual student wants to cheat. **B. Irwin** recommends looking at other CSU's to see if they have it. He said he does know that one school in Connecticut has this policy, where if they have three finals in one day then the third final gets moved to Friday, where they had make-up final times. He said working with the instructor for the times. **K. Parada** asked if anyone would like to go with her next Tuesday at 2:15pm. She said she could start a Google Doc with possible inputs anyone might have. **R. Finazzo** reminds that they are coming up on the 3 minute mark. **M. Lee** said one comment in the Academic Senate by somebody who mentioned cheating. He said it would be helpful to talk to as many faculties as they can to find out what it is about. He said your policy is your policy; it's not the university policy. To become a university policy, it has to come forward from Ex. Comm. as a BEC or it can forward from the Faculty Affairs Committee or it come forward from the floor from an individual faculty member. He said he is not sure if the student Senate can make the motion. He said this Board can start the process and it can happen on Tuesday.

Motion to extend the time of the meeting by 10 minutes by **Z. Meade**, second by **K. Dhillon**, motion **PASSED**.

1:41:59

VIII. SPECIAL REPORTS

A. Senator of Science Updates [~5 minutes]

K. Parada said she will email it out.


"Students working for Students!"

B. This Way to Sustainability Conference Update [~5 minutes]

Z. Meade said he went to the This Way to Sustainability Conference at Chico State University. He said one of the takeaways was that CSU Northridge and CSU Chico is just killing it in Sustainability. He said one of the ways they do this is by counting the number of buildings that are lead gold or lead silver. He clarifies that lead is a standard of sustainability buildings. He said we have a couple of buildings on campus, which are the SF building, and the RAW building. He thinks the RAW is silver right now and the SF building is might be silver or gold. He said the CORE building will by lead gold ready. He said the takeaway he wanted to express to the Board doesn't really have to do with sustainability as a topic of Environmentalism or Social Justice. He addressed how little impact ASI had on sustainability within the universities. He said the only one that had a real big impact was Chico and the reason it does is because Chico State's sustainability department is completely funded by AS. He said he brings it up because what he heard from other CSU staff that work in sustainability is that their AS doesn't get to be as involved because a lot of their AS is a little dysfunctional. He said part of the reason is that it is difficult to build partnerships with other amenities on campus. He said with the last remaining time they have as a Board, what do we do to mitigate the problem of unreliability in regards to partnerships with amenities on campus. He said this has to do with how organizations can approach this conversation of sustainability better and advocate for student needs. He thinks we need to approach more on how to make this organization more reliable for year to year.

1:47:19

IX. ROUND TABLE REMARKS

K. Dhillon said they are having Hey Be Nice happening on Tuesday, Wednesday and combined with Commuter Appreciation Day on Thursday. He said Daisy will be reaching out regarding milestone documents and he reminds to start preparing transition binders. **E. Pinlac** said Spring Mayhem is this weekend and to go out and have fun. He said don't show up intoxicated, even if you're over age. **K. Parada** asked if they are allowed to eat during meetings and asked to specific if they are allowed to or not because she has back to back classes. **R. Finazzo** said the Chair application will be posted soon so if you are interested then to do that. **M. Watkins** said he finished up the research for African American student resource across states. He wanted to remind that they have some big projects going on and there should be no election of grief between people when it comes to doing our job in here. He said if people do ask you to help them, regardless if they are on your slate or not, to help them with everything that you have because there are specific conversations that will happen within this group. He wants to encourage everyone to keep doing well in their ASI projects. He said he appreciates people wanting to be leaders for next year, but they have this year to handle. **Z. Meade** asked if they could have a Board meeting next week. **R. Finazzo** said to discussion this after.

X. ADJOURNMENT at 1:59 PM



Minutes Reviewed by:

Chair of the Board

Name: Ryan Finazzo

Minutes Approved on:

4-24-19

Date:

