Board of Directors Meeting Minutes of May 20, 2020

I. CALL TO ORDER at 4:41PM

II. ROLL CALL
Present: Bronte Kuehnis, Daisy Maxion, Kabir Dhillon, Melissa Baron, Siddharth Valecha, Riley Miller, Euridice Sanchez-Martinez (excused), Yajaira Ortega-Huerta, Lynn Vu, Omer Shakoor, Antoinette Milano, Brittney Golez, Tyler Engquist, Daisy Padilla, Martin Castillo, Erik Pinlac, Mark Almeida, Darrell Bailey, Marguerite Hinrichs, Michael Lee

Late: Andrew Yunker

Absent: Patricia Regalado

III. ACTION ITEM - Approval of the Agenda
Move to approve the agenda by K. Dhillon, second by Y. Ortega-Huerta.

IV. ACTION ITEM - Approval of the Minutes of May 6, 2020
Move to approve the minutes of May 6, 2020 by Y. Ortega-Huerta, second by S. Valecha.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT – Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the board on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay. Ashley Depappa thanks the Board for taking their time with this. I highly support Euridice Sanchez-Martinez in receiving justice from her grievance. It was a big infiltration of her privacy and I was a part of her slate. I had witnessed what had happened. I hope that you all consider the appeal of her grievance.

Dimitri Hiyood states that it is his first time being a part of the Board of Directors meeting. I am here in support of Mahdi Fugfugosh stating that he did not do anything. Based on what has been seen with his leadership on campus and things I have been involved, I do not believe he did it. I have seen the ASI president election videos and I was disappointed to see that the person did not share the report, but just stated it. I believe that this is a due-in-process violation. It is not right that something is approved but not shown. I was disappointed to see the back and forth conversations while there is a virus, in which, people should be supporting students. I am disappointed to be in a meeting and hear about what is going on. Being in student government in college, we need to move forward and not backwards. I am here to support Mahdi Fugfugosh and I strongly believe he did not do anything. He should not be disqualified. We are leaders who need to move forward. Sabrina Beisner states that she is in support of Euridice Sanchez-Martinez. I hope that the Elections committee would review the evidence that was submitted. She cares about our campus
and I have seen her hard work for the student body. I would like to show my support and I would like the Elections committee to review all of the evidence. There is evidence that is on record. Thank you for allowing me to be a part of this public forum and allowing me to sit on this meeting.

**Jennifer Esogi** states that in regard to support, there is no clarity. For the past two months, there has been an influx of information shared from both sides. It is difficult to see who is being transparent. I am sure the Board has not informed the public with any new information. The information that was shared from both sides is enough information to disqualify the other. My objective from this meeting would be to validate or invalidate the previous information that came out. Both candidates have said many things, but there is no solid source to validate that.

**Nicholas Koro** states that on Discussion Item B, everyone can see the violations.

**Jessica Iheaso** states that she is support on Euridice Sanchez-Martinez’s appeal. A violation of her privacy was committed and for future candidates running for election, it is important for accountability to be met. It may not have been a direct candidate running who violated her privacy. This can frighten future candidates. I hope that the facts and evidence are being looked over. I hope that justice is sought out and that the result of the grievance is fair.

**Stalina Magallanes** states that she is supporting Euridice Sanchez-Martinez and her grievance. I do not believe the outcome was just and for an invasion of privacy to be broken. Someone running for ASI should not happen and a warning is not enough.

**Mirna Maamou** states her support for Euridice Sanchez-Martinez. With the evidence she has provided and being a part of her slate, I have witnessed the invasion of privacy that happened to her and her family. I do not believe that a warning is enough because it could affect present and future campaigns. This is an invasion of privacy and there needs to be consequences for that.

**Bobby Hummer** states his support of Mahdi Fugfugosh. With the accusations I have been hearing, it seems as though people have not listened to the previous Elections committee hearing. From my viewpoint, Euridice Sanchez-Martinez’s evidence was opinion based. There is no true evidence and I believe that Mahdi Fugfugosh did not commit the act. It is shocking to see that people are accusing him of an act, which he did not commit. The accusations are random and false. After listening to the Elections committee grievance hearing, I was surprised to see many rules upheld and bylaws not followed. It is disappointing to see that from Cal State East Bay. I grew up in this community and it is hard to see the university try to accuse someone of false claims. I want to see the Elections committee follow the rules that have been set in the by-laws and not just follow past practices.

**Jennifer Esogi** states that she has had a few of Mahdi Fugfugosh’s videos forwarded to her. In most of them, he is specific in how he was unfairly and unjustly treated with the bylaws. That is the one thing I would like validated out of all of this. The only reason why I am having trouble believing all of this is that there are many bylaws. I would not have thought that ASI committee would do as such. If Euridice Sanchez-Martinez was playing by the rules, this whole thing is convoluted. If Mahdi Fugfugosh’s claims were previously validated, there is no point of going this far.
Karen Eltrade states that she would like to express her concern with online bullying with the results of the annual ASI elections. From being on campus, I can see that each year, it progressively becomes worse. Right now, there are certain requirements that candidates must meet in order to run for a student government position. I urge ASI into looking into adding an additional requirement for candidates. That additional requirement, being completing some form of anti-bullying workshop or training to prevent and discourage bullying of any kind. In addition to that, I would like to urge the Board of Directors to work with the Vice President of Communications, Riley Miller, to create clear communication to keep students properly informed of what happened in this Board meeting today and what the next steps are. This is to prevent any misinformation that may be put out there. Thank you, Bronte Kuehnis, for being clear on what this meeting today entails and how everything will go. I appreciate it.

Mahad Fugfugosh states that this year, there are many sides to everyone’s stories. When I was in ASI, there were no closed sessions the entire year. With doing this, it makes people question whether rules are being followed.

Euridice Sanchez-Martinez states that Mahdi Fugfugosh admitted that he obtained information from my account through his campaign worker, Khayree Wells. I want to understand how someone can break the law, violate my privacy rights without consent, and then attempt to use the legally attained information of the defense as a defense mechanism. All of this was proven, but only issued a warning. These acts are illegal and highly inappropriate for an election. Board of Directors, I come to you with new information. I spoke to investigators at AT&T and the California Department of Justice and it is their belief that I can prove that my opponent is responsible for the sim swap of my number and takeover of my Instagram account. I have not filled an official police report yet because of the severe consequences this situation entails. I try my best to keep this at the university level, but if the university does not take this event seriously, then I will take this officially to law enforcement and bring back information when the criminal investigation is completed. I also have new information that is crucial to this election. Matthew Jones, East Bay student and brother of Zeta Omega was working at the Fremont AT&T store at the time my sim card was swapped. In this situation, there is a direct connection to my opponent with the actions that were committed. As I mentioned before, law enforcement needs a green light for me to take any legal action for any legality gathered. As a sign of kindness and respect, I will give one more opportunity for this to be at the university level. At the Elections committee meeting, Mahdi Fugfugosh stated that he was willing to cooperate and therefore, I asked for him to keep his word and email ASI Student Conduct and myself a letter granting permission to review his wireless call record including field site information for April 11th to the 12th, 2020. If this request cannot be fulfilled, I have no other choice but to grant jurisdiction to the authorities. With all this being said, I ask the Board of Directors to use their power, according to Article 9 of the Election codes, to conduct a new hearing for the next Board of Directors meeting, where the new information and evidence can be discussed and determine whether or not my opponent should be held responsible for the actions taken on my AT&T account. I strongly believe in accountability. As a slate leader, I nature my members and campaign workers to be respectful to all candidates. I
believe the Elections Committee should have upheld Article 9, section 4 where it states “The Elections Committee has the power to disqualify a candidate from taking office if a serious violation of Elections code, rules or procedures, or serious misconduct is proven. The committee believes that actions required require a student conduct case”. I believe this should have been serious enough to issue a disqualification. For the people wondering where my information is at, if you look at the ASI Elections grievance meetings, on the screen, it provides the information from AT&T. I have information that I sent Bronte Kuehnis, but I am in the process of gathering more. That is why I encourage to have another hearing to see if my opponent should be disqualified. Ultimately, this resulted in the election being a disaster for students, faculty, staff, emotionally and through hard work as well.

Mahdi Fugfugosh thanks Euridice Sanchez-Martinez for the new evidence. I hope that everyone can take a look at this because I have not done anything. Matthew Jones does not work at AT&T and never has. He is also not an active brother in Zeta Omega. If Euridice Sanchez-Martinez would like, this can be settled elsewhere for the reason that it has nothing to do with the elections. No one needs to be attacked on social media. At first, Euridice Sanchez-Martinez mentioned that Khayree Wells was involved. Euridice Sanchez-Martinez’s evidence, before it was brought to the Elections committee, showed that Khayree Wells did not do anything. Yet, Euridice Sanchez-Martinez found it in her actions to speak out. There was misleading information that left out key facts of why the decision was made. Khayree Wells did nothing to Euridice Sanchez-Martinez’s account. Khayree Wells accessed the account with ‘customer not present’, which is allowed. This happened three days after the social media account was accessed. It leaves the whole entail of me being tied to this showing that Khayree Wells did not do anything. Student Rights and Conduct wants to investigate further after the elections. After the video of misleading information was posted, AT&T acted within less than 24 hours, taking Khayree Wells off of suspension and firing him for bringing bad publicity and bad customer relationship. Not only is this being taken seriously by AT&T, actions are being taken. However, because of the misleading information and trying to say invasion of privacy, we did nothing wrong. Khayree Wells gave information to me stating that Euridice Sanchez-Martinez’s number is still active. Khayree Wells got in trouble for it and now it is affecting him and in this situation with a virus. He does not have a job anymore because of elections and he did not mean any harm. Now, he is without a job. With my appeal, I said that the elections code was not being followed and it states that run-offs should occur if there is a tie. Why did run-offs occur? Secondly, no one was notified that votes would be removed. The process and procedure of counting ballots did not take place. How can you authorize the removal of votes and silencing students? That is what my appeal is based on. My appeal is not based on blame and holding campaign workers accountable when my campaign worker was not involved.

Posting misleading information affected someone who had nothing to do with the act. I cannot stand by this and will continue to defend. Euridice Sanchez-Martinez continues to use conspiracy theories and misinformation. If Euridice Sanchez-Martinez would like to settle this, it can be done in court. This has nothing to do with ASI and Khayree Wells and I did nothing wrong. Euridice Sanchez-
Martinez can state Matthew Jones, but he has never worked at AT&T. He does not attend East Bay anymore and is a graduate student at UC Berkeley. All I am stating is that this has nothing to do with ASI. Actions are being taken outside and all people are hearing is one side of the story that is circulated and being connected to me. I will yield the rest of my time.

36:21

VI. UNFINISHED ITEMS:
No Unfinished Items.

VII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS:
A. DISCUSSION ITEM: Letter of Appeal | CLOSED|
The Board of Directors will discuss a letter from Pamela Sanchez to appeal a decision of the Elections Committee.

B. DISCUSSION ITEM: Letter of Appeal | CLOSED|
The Board of Directors will discuss a letter from Mahdi Fugfugosh to appeal a decision of the Elections Committee.
Motion to extend the meeting by fifteen to twenty minutes by A. Milano, second by B. Golez, motion CARRIED.
B. Kuehnis would like to thank everyone who stayed with us during this meeting. In discussion item A, we looked at the evidence that was provided to us, as well as new evidence. For discussion item B, we discuss the evidence that was provided, the elections code, elections standard and we reviewed both sides. We will be postponing both of these items until the next BOD meeting, in which we would not vote in the next meeting. Due to not having enough evidence and time to come up with a thorough decision.

VIII. SPECIAL REPORTS:
No special reports.

IX. ROUND TABLE REMARKS
No roundtable remarks.

X. ADJOURNMENT at 6:43 PM

Minutes Reviewed by:
Chair of the Board
Name: Bronte Kuehnis