

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes December 4th, 2019

- I. CALL TO ORDER at **12:24 PM**
- II. ROLL CALL
Present: Daisy Maxion, Kabir Dhillon, Melissa Baron, Riley Miller Siddharth Valecha, Martin Castillo, Bronte Kuehnis.
- III. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Agenda**
Motion to approve the agenda by **K. Dhillon**, seconded by **S. Valecha**, motion **PASSED**.
- IV. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Minutes of October 23, 2019**
Motion to approve the Minutes of October 23, 2019 by **S. Valecha**, seconded by **K. Dhillon**, motion **PASSED**.
- V. PUBLIC COMMENT – **Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the board on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay.**
No Public Comment
1:12
- VI. UNFINISHED ITEMS:
No Unfinished Items.
- VII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS:
 - A. Information Item: **Budget Report**
D. Maxion states the Vice President of Finance will be presenting a budget update to the Executive Committee.
M. Baron states due to the issues with club funding, they went back to the old Baysync. The google forms are now shut down. All the trouble should be resolved next semester. The clubs that requested money are PRSSA, African Student Association, Muslim Student Association, GANAS, Catholic Club, Social Justice League, Sustain Eastbay, Black Student Union, Urban Dance, Beta Gamma Sigma, and Middle Eastern and South Asian Club. Compared to all the clubs we have on campus, that is not much. She says that we can reach out to sponsor more events on campus. She adds that one of the categories that was filled pretty quickly was food. One of the issues that was brought up was that students wanted more money for food and advertising and clothing. In total, we gave \$7,100.00. For food, it was about \$5000.00.



R. Miller asks if that money go towards Chartwells Reservations or was it outside. **M. Baron** said it was Chartwells and outside places, such as Costco. She says the cutlery given out by Sustain Eastbay has the ASI logo on it. **R. Miller** asks what were you expecting to give out. **M. Baron** says she was hoping to hit \$10,000, but with all the issues going on, she couldn't give it to them because they didn't meet the deadline. She says she didn't want to start accepting people who didn't meet the deadline just to hit the number. **S. Valecha** says Baysync was the biggest issue people were having. **M. Baron** says she is not the best at responding to emails. **S. Valecha** recommends using social media and tabling to let people know about the changes because some people might still be using the new Baysync. **M. Baron** says she sent out an email to all students letting them know about the process, and if there was an issue then to contact Sneh. **S. Valecha** says people don't read emails, so we should table and let the students know. **M. Baron** says she can try doing something with Riley next semester. **R. Miller** says if she is sure of the process of club funding and can create a couple flyers for social media. **S. Valecha** recommends partnering with Student Life and Leadership and formulating a workshop for the first two weeks of school, so that people are informed. **M. Castillo** says previously, the VP of Finance has held office hours in Student Life. **M. Baron** mentions that she did think about it, but was scared. Next semester I will because it will be more effective. **R. Miller** states that if M. Baron does do workshops, he suggests targeting orgs and clubs. **M. Baron** states that they sponsor a lot of travels for conferences. **D. Maxion** asks how does ASI have to give with club funding. **M. Baron** mentions that there are three more requests left to go through and will get back with the information. We have three more requests for travel funding and a request from Sustain East Bay that was about \$1,000.

10:03

B. Discussion Item: [Policy Agenda Progress Reports](#)

The Executive Committee will be discussing a progress report on the 2019 Fall Semester's progress reports in regards to ASI'S 2019-2020 Policy Agenda.

R. Miller states that he was not able to complete it. I know Karen Ensor is working on the finals policy. I had trouble deciphering between some of the stuff regarding basic needs and student success. A lot of our attention this semester went towards DACA and Undocumented students, which was great. I can go over somethings we did. A couple resolutions we did were the Support the HR-4225, which was with Isabel Bueso. We did Campus Safety, Toiletries, Josh Peckham Scholarship, the Ohlone AS, which was support for funding. Omer Shakoor had stuff for Solar and Sustainability. We also had the DACA, Alumni Board and all the others that happened in between. **D. Maxion** suggests that R. Miller finish and have more detail with this and send it to her by the end of this week. **M. Baron** asks that for the Josh Peckham Scholarship, if they were



giving to the family or to the scholarship. If I remember correctly, we were going to donate to the scholarship and his family directly. **M. Castillo** states that he noted that E. Pinlac was in contact with donor relations and Bridge Waters and he was working out all the details. My understanding is that there is just a \$2,500 for this year.

S. Valecha asks if he applies, will it be a conflict of interest. **M. Baron** replies that it will not cause any conflict. **M. Castillo** says that we do not know the criteria. **B. Kuehnis** asks that for the Undocu/DACA item, we were going to have a meeting with Barbara, one of the lawyers, to give us information about how many students have been seeking out services. **R. Miller** asks do they know when the information will be given. **B. Kuehnis** states that the meeting has been set, tentatively, for the 9th, but I need to confirm. If there is any specific information you want, I can get that from her.

15:59

C. Discussion Item: **RAW / UU Referendum**

The Executive Committee will be discussing the potential RAW/ UU Referendum happening in Spring Semester 2020.

D. Maxion states that in regards to this, they are looking to have a Referendum happening during the time of elections next semester. The Referendum would be for increasing the tuition fees for the RAW and the unions. I sent some documents to K. Dhillon Dr. Espinoza sent me these documents, which go into detail. Some of the things she pointed out to me was the historical context that shows that there hasn't been an increase in RAW since 2014/2015. Meanwhile, the university union has not seen an increase since 2000's. The cost of maintaining these facilities has risen and the fees have maintained the same. At the moment, there has been a reduction of services, like hours being cut at the RAW. This referendum will help sustain these fees moving forward. **S. Valecha** states if they already decided. **D. Maxion** states no due to not having executive meeting today. We didn't have a meeting today due to everyone taking finals and not meeting quorum. In that meeting, we would discuss the timeline of what officially is going on. Dr. Espinoza wants to propose her thoughts on the timeline. **R. Miller** states if they are still going to have a meeting today and not vote. **D. Maxion** states that no. **M. Castillo** states that they just cancelled it. **M. Baron** states that in the meeting, they should have a long-term timeline. For example, every five years they would increase tuition fees, instead of waiting almost ten or more years. It would be better if the increase happened gradually instead of all at once. I don't believe that they should take jumps like these so sudden, because it was their mistake for not doing their job. I don't think it's fair to students, who will receive the burden all at once. **M. Castillo** states that it is actually the cost of living adjustment, stated all the way in the end. Which states "recommended as the adoption of the higher education price index",



what M. Baron is saying about the timeline, it's already in there. **M. Baron** states if it's already in there. **M. Castillo** states yes, the original fees never had it, which is why it never increased. Any new fee that are being proposed, will have an inflation factor that would impose them to raise the fee gradually. **K. Dhillon** states that if you look over his comments, this was an issue that raised concerns. With that committee, when this topic comes up thirty or forty years later, the plan is to automatically raise the fee. It shows to me that it is not thought out clearly, the timing is also very bad. I don't think this issue should be presented during ASI elections. **M. Castillo** states that nothing has been approved yet, all members of CFAC committee will be weighing in on this matter. Keep in mind these fees have been approved by previous ASI boards, not the administration. The committee never thought of adding an inflation factor, which is why it's common practice. Some of the things that are happening are students losing their jobs, due to the minimum wage rising to \$15.00. What used to be supported from fees in the past, are longer doing that. Therefore, this is why the inflation factor is being considered. In the past, alternate consideration was being used, which meant that students had no vote. This time, we want to go to referendum, if the students say that they don't want to raise it, then we won't. However, if we go this route, we would have to cut services and use the revenue that is being generated. **B. Kuehnis** states if that mean if members of ASI have the ability to vote on the matter. **M. Castillo** states the two options that Chancellors offices give to campus are; alternative consultation, which means we hold town meetings and ask focus groups what they think. Then CFAC would make the decision, but they are eliminating this option. All new fees would have to be voted on by the students using a referendum process. Erik would also agree that we shouldn't vote on this matter during ASI elections. Due to it becoming more political, the proposed timeline is to do it after elections. **K. Dhillon** states that if we do the referendum at the beginning of the year, it will lead to more students being involved in the election. Another thing I noticed, is that they wanted to do programming to the university union. I don't agree with this due to the new union not having a programming body. That body in now compact to ASI Presents. To me it seems as if they want to move backwards and raise the programming fee. However, we already have a very successful programming team. I would rather have them give the money towards a more useful need or to ASI. Most of it is understandable of why we are raising the fee, just it would impact the students. **R. Miller** states despite ASI hosting various events, the RAW also hostess certain events. Such as, Greek Olympics for Greek Council, or Alpha Phipha. Many organizations host many events throughout the year. I'm not sure if we should host more events or use more money and have our people work through the RAW. Unless we keep the RAW separate. **K. Dhillon** states that the RAW already does that. If the University Union were to get a programming body, that's creating something new that we already have. **M. Castillo** states that the programming body was part of University Union,



which later got absorbed by ASI. Before K. Dhillon's meeting with Dr. Espinoza, find out what your other campuses do. **K. Dhillon** states its different do to the ASI having oversight to the University Unions. **M. Castillo** states that not all of them do. **K. Dhillon** states that most do. **M. Castillo** states that they are independent. **K. Dhillon** states that in the sense that there's a board for University Union and the RAW, with this, I don't think we need to create a new body for programming. **M. Baron** states that it gets tricky due to different groups on campus doing events. Is the DISC part of University Union? **M. Castillo** states that it is under University of Diversity Office, due to changing this year. **M. Baron** states if the University Union has a programming council, would it be under student life or stand alone. **M. Castillo** states that these are all great questions, however, Jennifer and Kendrick would be the ones to answer these questions. **B. Kuehnis** states if we can see a more expanded benefits of having a programming committee before we reject it based on what ASI. Relating back to the fees, I think it's a good idea to not have it during the elections. Due to a possibility of someone running a platform on not raising the fee and effecting the student's success for the future. It might also cause not having the fees raised in the future. It's important to keep a policy within ASI that's separate from elections. **D. Maxion** states going back to the programming question. The goal is to have more student academic success programs, such as more workshops and key note speakers. **B. Kuehnis** states that these programs can still go through ASI. **K. Dhillon** states that he believes it should come from ASI, not the University Union. **M. Castillo** states may he ask why we are not currently going to happen. It makes sense that it comes from one body, however, if that body is not doing it then how do we get that. Somebody needs to do it if it is not happening at the moment. **B. Kuehnis** states that their idea is not add additional programing or we can restructure our own. **M. Castillo** states that he is wondering if it isn't happening due to not raising the student fee. Would you be able to afford it, even if you don't have the inflation factor to it? These are the types of questions that need to be answered. **K. Dhillon** states that are budget is already tight. **M. Castillo** states you need to do a referendum in the near future. **K. Dhillon** states that it is something we need to consider. If it comes from ASI, we have people that can host these events like Michael Ryan. I rather have a successful team be in charge of programming rather than something new that is not as successful. As for the advisory boards, I was interested on student participation. **D. Maxion** states that she asked Dr. Espinoza that same question, she wanted to use our input on deciding what groups we should invite. I suggested, that we should have staff and students from the RAW and students that work in the Unions. Due to them being directly impacted by the fee increase and they know what has been going on this semester. **M. Castillo** states that he would recommend to have at least two guaranteed seats from ASI on either board. You have a wellness director and I believe a director of the University Union that could be the voice of both groups, which will be part of the condition for the



referendum. **K. Dhillon** states that he agrees with that point. **D. Maxion** states that we can discuss this topic more in CFAC meeting or in the next executive meeting.

30:50

D. Discussion Item: **Resolution for Mandated Undocu-Ally Trainings**

The Executive Committee will be discussing a potential resolution mandating Undocu-Ally Trainings for faculty and staff.

Motion to suspend the rules and postpone action item D and E to the next executive meeting by **K. Dhillon**, second by **S. Valecha**, motion **CARRIED**.

Motion to amend striking out action item D by **B. Kuehnis**, second by **K. Dhillon**, motion **CARRIED**.

D. Maxion states that the motion on the table right now is to amend the original motion to postpone action item D and E. To only postponing action item E.

Motion to postpone action item E, by **ALL**, motion **CARRIED**.

B. Kuehnis states if you could include her with the person working on this resolution. This is really important due to talking to different organization who can help with this training. I just wanted to keep myself in the loop due to talking to ILD so much. I am sure they have some ideas on how we can best implement this decision. Due to having a big problem turning away students because staff are not being trained in this area. **D. Maxion** states she has an idea. After the public forum the Chancellors, one of the staff or faculty Cindy mentioned that there should be mandated Undocu-Ally Training across the CSU's. I have mentioned this idea to the CSSA Director of Legislative Affairs, to see how we can either implement it to all CSU's or our campus. Another option would be, CSSA can write a resolution in support to the training. She did give me some tips to create this resolution, specifically for our campus. However, this is something we would have to work on with Provost, due to needing funding to host these trainings. We can bring it more in depth to the Undocu-Ally Taskforce to see what we can do moving forward. **M. Baron** states that you are doing a great job, especially because you are not in a position to worry about this issue. I congratulate you for taking Yajaira's lead on this position. I really encourage you to work with the clubs and organization on campus to get more people to start talking about this. **D. Maxion** states that it's a good point to get more clubs and organization on it, I just wanted to have a solid game plan first.

Motion to extend the motion by five minutes by **K. Dhillon**, second by **B. Kuehnis**, motion **CARRIED**.

36:00

E. Discussion Item: **Operating Agreement**

The Executive Director will be discussing ASI's operating agreement.



F. Action Item: [Alumni Wall Policy](#)

The Executive Committee will be taking action on approving the Alumni Wall Policy.

Motion to postpone the Alumni Wall Policy indefinitely by **K. Dhillon**, second by **S. Valecha**, motion **CARRIED**.

K. Dhillon states that the policy is not adequate. **B. Kuehnis** states if this is a policy that we would pass in the future. **K. Dhillon** states no. **R. Miller** states that when he doesn't like to give his committee real documents. In case of the event that they edit the form, or if I go in and do it on accident one day.

Motion to postpone this item indefinitely, motion **CARRIED**.

37:33

VIII. SPECIAL REPORTS:

A. Executive Director

Not present at the moment.

B. ASI Chair

B. Kuehnis states that some of the events she did was Know Your Rights Campaign and spreading awareness. One thing I want to try to do is get more attorney from ISL to what ASI is trying to do. I am working with one of the lawyers named Barbra, she was expressing her concerns that she wants her legal team to be more involved. I've also conducted various meetings to see how services are going on around here, or preparing for events. I am planning more next week and during spring. I want to build a stronger communication with ILD and ASI. My biggest effort besides preparing for meetings, has been improving students and facilities experience on how we can better support undocumented students. For the meetings, I've been communicating with our members to try to reach all of our policy agenda. It has been very successful in the board of director meetings, due to passing over ten resolutions. For spring, I would like to do more actions instead of stating where we stand at. In order to implement more actions towards our students.

39:48

C. Vice President of Communications

R. Miller states that he established ASI Today, and got plans for next semester to make it more organized. The news letter is done, I spoke to Erik, who stated for next semester to recreate everything we have done during fall. This will help students get more excited for spring. I also spoke with Omar, with the University Branding Initiative. This will help East Bay come up with a better unifying brand. I'm also working on some old/ new legislation and looking at the grammatical errors. For example, we are looking into the graduate program senator and amending the interview process for ASI. As well as, getting a PA system and using the Tv's for



ASI Today and other ASI marketing events. Kendrick Ali has been very helpful and wants to collaborate. One thing I need to work on is tabling, I made a schedule for events. This past semester, I haven't been successful in making sure everyone was tabling. Next semester, everything will run more smoothly due to having more free time.

41:29

D. Vice President of University Affairs

S. Valecha states that he has accomplished almost all of his task list. I was able to create a Senate drive with all my active Senators.

Motion to extend the meeting until 1:10 PM by **B. Kuehnis**, second by **D. Maxion**, motion **CARRIED**.

I was trying to plan Its On Us event for this semester, however, it was moved to spring semester. I had a meeting with D. Cuevas already, and the whole week is already planned out. By the end of today, we are planning to book the tabling forms. Before we leave to winter break, I will be speaking to Pam about Hey Be Nice event. For Senate Initiatives, I was able to have everyone involved in the constructions groups, in order to work on our policy agenda. My main focus was on the Student Success and Equity to Improve Graduation Retention Rates. All my senators, except Brittney have tabled, due to being part of various committees but she reaches out to student via social media. Daisy sits on the academic meetings, overall, as a whole they have been helping me out getting all the necessary data. This data I will need when I go speak to Susan about different topics. One thing I haven't done this semester is tabling, but I do talk to many students. I've met with multiple staffs during the semester, I met with Susan in order to discuss my game plan. I also sat in the meeting with Senator Glazer, Daisy, Trustees, and explained to them the problems going on with graduation retention rate. I've also attended a conference with K. Dhillon in Los Angeles in order to get a deeper understanding of the bill GI 2023. I've been gaining a lot of insight and sharing it with both academic and non-academic senate. In terms with University Wide Committees, I went to the CFAC meeting with Kabir, Daisy, and Riley. I was not able to attend the Pioneer Pledge Task due to a conflict with my internship. Ashley was able to attend with James and do some workshops together.

45:05

D. Maxion states due to time, I will ask for a written report for the remaining people. We can also share it with students to show them what the board has been working on. **B. Kuehnis** states if she can send an email reminder.

45:30

E. Vice President of Finance

F. Executive Vice President/Chief of Staff

G. President/CEO



IX. ROUND TABLE REMARKS
No roundtable remarks.

45:43

X. ADJOURNMENT at **1:09 PM**

Minutes Reviewed By:
President/CEO & Chair
Name: Daisy Maxion

Minutes Approved on:
2-5-2020
Date:

