

Board of Directors Meeting Minutes of April 22, 2026

I. CALL TO ORDER at 12:02PM

- II. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** – We would like to recognize that while we gather at California State University East Bay located in Hayward, CA, we are gathered on the ethno-historic tribal territory of the intermarried Jalquin (hal-keen) / Yrgin (eer-gen) Chochenyo-Ohlone-speaking tribal group, who were the direct ancestors of some of the lineages enrolled in the Muwekma Ohlone Tribe of the San Francisco Bay Area, and who were missionized into Missions San Francisco, Santa Clara and San Jose.

It is important that we not only recognize the history of the land of the Jalquin/Yrgin on which we gather to learn and participate, but also recognize that the First People of this region – the Muwekma Ohlone People, are alive and thriving members of the Hayward and broader Bay Area communities today.

It is of great importance to acknowledge the significance of this Holše Warep (hol-sheh wah-rehp) ~ Beautiful Land to the indigenous Muwekma Ohlone People of this region. We ask everyone who attends or visits Cal State University East Bay in Hayward, to be respectful of the aboriginal lands of the Muwekma Ohlone People, and consistent with their principles of community and diversity strive to be good stewards on behalf of the Muwekma Ohlone Tribe, on whose land you are their guests.

III. ROLL CALL

Present – London Deguzman, Kartik Tripathi, Charan Reddy Doolam, Yashica Avhad, Rolando Munos, Matthias Dempsey, Dane Lopez, Tiffany Trieu, Casey Pangilinan, Niharika Naidu Immadi, Nikhil Nettem, Aria Short, Kushal Reddipally, Martin Castillo, James Carroll, Erick Loreda, My-Lan Huynh, Steve Spencer, Kabir Dhillon.

Absent – Jul Custodio, Jenny O, Desiree Cuevas, Allie Bach

IV. ACTION ITEM – Approval of the Agenda



Motion to postpone Information Item G indefinitely by **C. Doolam**, Seconded by **K. Reddypalli**.
Motion Approved.

Motion to postpone Information Item A in Unfinished Items indefinitely by **N. Nettem**, Seconded by **Y. Avhad**. **Motion Approved.**

Motion to approve the agenda of Board of Directors Meeting on April 22nd 2026 **K. Tripathi**, seconded by **C. Pangilinan**. **Motion Carried.**

V. ACTION ITEM - Approval of the Minutes of April 8th, 2026

Motion to approve the minutes of Board of directors meeting on April 8th, 2026 by **K. Tripathi**, seconded by **N. Immadi**. **Motion Carried.**

VI. PUBLIC COMMENT – Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the committee on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay.

No public Comment.

VII. UNFINISHED ITEMS:

A. INFORMATION ITEM: [AB524 Compliance Workshop](#)

The ASI Board of Directors will be informed on the event by Nikhil.

Motion to postpone Information Item A in Unfinished Items indefinitely by **N. Nettem**, Seconded by **Y. Avhad**. **Motion Approved.**

VIII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS

A. INFORMATION ITEM: Athletics Fee Proposal Presentation

The ASI Board of Directors will be informed on the fee proposal by CSUEB Athletics, **Allison Kern** introduced herself as the Director of Athletics at Cal State East Bay and began by checking that everyone could hear her clearly. She thanked the group for their time and noted that she had already met with members of the executive team and various student



groups, meaning some attendees might be familiar with the presentation. However, she explained that the content had been adjusted based on feedback, with the goal of improving clarity and addressing common questions. Given the smaller setting, she encouraged an interactive discussion and welcomed questions throughout rather than only at the end.

A. Kern acknowledged that many attendees had likely received the President’s email regarding the proposed increase in the athletics student fee, but she recognized that the information provided could be dense and unclear. She expressed hope that the session would clarify the proposal, explain its purpose, and address any concerns.

She then explained the role of student fees in supporting athletics, noting that across U.S. campuses, student fees are the primary funding source for athletic programs. At Cal State East Bay, the athletics fee was established in 2008 to support the university’s return to NCAA Division II competition. Since then, the fee had not been increased, despite rising costs over the past 18 years. As a result, maintaining even a baseline level of operations had become increasingly difficult.

A. Kern addressed a common concern: why students who are not athletes or do not attend games should support athletics. She argued that athletics, like other campus services such as the Student Health Center or affinity spaces, contributes to the university’s identity and student experience. Even if not all students directly use these services, they are part of the broader campus environment and community.

She referenced a campus climate survey indicating that students wanted a stronger sense of community, connection, and school pride. **A. Kern** emphasized that athletics plays a significant role in fostering these elements. She also highlighted athletics as a tool for increasing enrollment and visibility, explaining that athletic events and recruitment efforts introduce the university to audiences beyond its typical reach.

Using the example of a successful men’s basketball season, she described how hosting tournaments brought visitors, alumni, and new audiences to campus, many of whom would not have otherwise engaged with the university. These events also expanded the university’s visibility through broadcasts reaching thousands of viewers across multiple states.

A. Kern further discussed the importance of athletics in alumni engagement. She cited research indicating that over half of alumni reconnect with their institutions through athletics, regardless of whether they were former athletes. This engagement often leads to broader involvement, including philanthropy, mentorship, and institutional support.

She emphasized that the value of a degree is tied not only to academic quality but also to the institution’s reputation. Athletics helps expand awareness and strengthen that reputation, which benefits all graduates.



Turning to the proposed fee increase, **A. Kern** explained that the total increase would be \$94, implemented gradually over three semesters. She acknowledged that this amount is significant for students but stressed that it was carefully calculated to cover rising operational costs without expanding programs. The goal, she said, was simply to sustain current operations.

She compared the situation to a financial shortfall, where expenses exceed income, forcing reliance on inconsistent solutions like fundraising or additional revenue streams. While those efforts would continue, they are not reliable enough to sustain the program long term.

A. Kern clarified that the increase is not tied to recent athletic success but rather to long-standing financial challenges. She also noted that the increase would not be ongoing indefinitely and that the calculated amount is intended to stabilize funding without requiring additional increases in the near future.

She provided context by comparing Cal State East Bay's fees to other campuses, noting that the university ranks in the lower third of the CSU system in total student fees and last in its athletic conference in terms of athletics funding. Even with the proposed increase, the university would remain relatively low in comparison.

A. Kern explained that remaining competitive does not mean striving for dominance but simply maintaining the ability to offer comparable opportunities and resources. Without this baseline, the university risks losing prospective students to institutions that provide more comprehensive offerings.

She also highlighted additional benefits of the athletics program, including student employment opportunities, particularly for international students who may have limited access to other work options. She noted that many student employees in athletics are not athletes themselves. Additionally, summer camps and community engagement initiatives bring prospective students and families to campus, further expanding the university's reach. In closing, **A. Kern** emphasized that the proposal process has included extensive student outreach, including meetings with numerous student organizations, open forums, and surveys. She noted that participation in the survey had already exceeded turnout in recent student elections, underscoring the effort to gather broad student input.

30.00

L. Deguzman began by thanking **A. Kern** and asking about student engagement, specifically inquiring about attendance and participation at games over the past three years. In response, **A. Kern** explained that average attendance at men's basketball games that year was just under 2,000. She noted that themed games had been organized in collaboration with affinity centers and that there were plans to expand such efforts. Drawing from her



experience across multiple institutions, she emphasized that while increasing engagement is a priority, limited staffing and time make it challenging.

L. Deguzman then asked how a potential fee increase would affect financial aid. **A. Kern** explained that across CSU campuses, a large percentage of students receive financial aid, many of whom have their full cost of attendance covered. She clarified that when fees increase, the cost of attendance also rises, and financial aid typically adjusts accordingly, ensuring continued support for most students.

A. Kern also addressed a common question regarding the \$50 million donation from MacKenzie Scott. She explained that although the gift was unrestricted, it still had limitations that prevent it from being used for athletics. Additionally, she pointed out that such donations are one-time funds and cannot serve as a sustainable solution for ongoing financial needs.

She further responded to concerns about prioritizing athletics over campus infrastructure. **A. Kern** explained that the university operates through multiple funding sources, each with specific restrictions, meaning student fees cannot simply be redirected to areas like facilities or maintenance. She emphasized that funding decisions are not an either-or situation but rather a balance of different needs.

L. Deguzman acknowledged the clarification and expressed cautious support for the proposed athletics fee increase, noting its potential to improve campus engagement. However, she also raised concerns about the financial burden on students, especially with ongoing fee increases across the CSU system.

In response, **A. Kern** stressed the importance of making gradual financial decisions over time to avoid larger, more difficult increases in the future. She explained that delaying such decisions can lead to more significant financial challenges later.

A. Kern encouraged students to complete the survey and shared her contact information for further questions. She clarified that survey data would be reviewed by CFAC, which advises the university president on student fee matters. She also noted that athletics does not own campus facilities and that student fees cannot be used for facility maintenance, though increased funding could help support staffing and improve engagement opportunities.

L. Deguzman concluded by thanking **A. Kern** for the presentation, encouraging attendees to ask further questions and complete the survey, and then proceeded with the meeting.

B. DISCUSSION ITEM: [Resolution to Recognize Farm Workers Day](#)

The Board of Directors will discuss the Resolution proposed by London.



L. Deguzman introduced a resolution to recognize Farm Workers Day. She explained that recent allegations had been made against Sister Chavez and emphasized that ASI, particularly as advocates for sexual assault survivors, could not support celebrating an individual associated with such accusations.

L. Deguzman clarified that the purpose of the resolution was to continue honoring the contributions of farm workers who led the movement, while shifting the focus away from the individual by renaming the holiday. He noted that this change would remain in place unless the California State Legislature makes a different decision in the future.

C. DISCUSSION ITEM - Budget Cuts on BART Resolution

The Board of Directors will discuss the resolution regarding Budget Cuts to BART.

D. Lopez introduced a resolution titled Transportation Access and BART Funding, which he had begun working on with **L. Deguzman**. He explained that the resolution focuses on recognizing transportation as an issue of access and equity, rather than just a means of getting to campus. He highlighted that approximately 85–90% of students commute, many traveling long distances of 20 to 50 miles and often more than 30 minutes. He emphasized that BART is a critical mode of transportation for many students, especially those who do not drive.

D. Lopez raised concerns about proposed budget cuts to BART, which aim to address a deficit of \$350–400 million, noting that such reductions would create significant barriers for students. These barriers could impact class attendance and make access to education more difficult. He outlined key goals of the resolution, including protecting student access and sustainability through stronger transit funding, recognizing transportation as an equity issue affecting affordability, retention, and academic success, and supporting practical transit solutions such as improved campus connections and last-mile access. **D. Lopez** also mentioned the possibility of expanding awareness and usage of existing transit programs like the Easy Pass. Finally, he emphasized the importance of ASI using its collective voice to advocate against service reductions.

L. Deguzman added further context by explaining how the proposed BART cuts would impact students living in areas such as Antioch, Pittsburg, and Brentwood. He noted that potential station closures in these regions could force students to rely on cars, limiting access to the university for those who depend on public transit. He stressed the importance of preserving current transit services and suggested actions such as signing petitions, engaging with government representatives, and reaching out to BART’s board of directors to advocate for increased funding.



L. Deguzman further explained that the resolution would include outreach efforts, such as drafting letters to decision-makers and gathering student input through surveys. He encouraged discussion, student testimony, and additional solutions, emphasizing the importance of representing student voices and understanding how transportation challenges affect the broader campus community.

T. Truie asked whether one of the ZPASS initiatives includes extending coverage to BART.

D. Lopez responded that, at present, ZPASS only covers AC Transit. He added that there could be efforts to advocate for including BART again in the future. He referenced **L. Deguzman's** earlier point about potentially writing a letter and reopening discussions with transit officials. He noted that in prior conversations, the focus had remained on AC Transit coverage, including routes extending as far as Exxon, but suggested that expansion to BART could be pursued through continued advocacy.

C. Panglinan commented that the resolution was strong, especially for a commuter campus, but asked for clarification regarding the data presented. He noted that while the data was useful, it was not clearly traceable and asked whether footnotes or references would be included in the next draft.

L. Deguzman responded that the current version was still a rough draft, explaining that he had been traveling in Washington, D.C., which limited his time to refine the document. He confirmed that future revisions would include footnotes, citations, and additional supporting resources. He also stated that he would email further materials later in the week or that same day to provide more context and allow members to review the information in greater detail.

D. DISCUSSION ITEM - [Renewal IX training in support of AB-2212 Resolution.](#)

The ASI Board of Directors will discuss the resolution.

D. Lopez presented a summary of AB 2212, explaining that the bill focuses on addressing sexual harassment prevention, including increased attention to AI-related harassment, online abuse, and digital misconduct. He emphasized that the intent of the resolution is to strengthen prevention efforts and modernize practices for students, particularly in relation to Title IX protections and training.

D. Lopez noted that Title IX training materials are distributed to campus each semester, but suggested that current resources may be outdated, including existing prevention videos. He expressed concern that new forms of harassment—such as AI-enabled abuse, online chatroom misconduct, and anonymous apps—are not adequately addressed. He explained that these issues can negatively impact students both mentally and academically, creating barriers to their college experience and success.



D. Lopez proposed that the resolution support updated Title IX training and prevention materials, and suggested collaboration with the Title IX office, ASI, and other departments to create more current educational content, such as revised videos or awareness campaigns. He then invited feedback from the group, noting his personal investment in the issue and referencing prior related discussions with **Y. Avhad** and **C. Panglinan**.

L. Deguzman added that a practical next step could be partnering with student organizations, particularly those focused on graphic design, media production, or communications, to help develop educational materials. He emphasized that such collaborations could improve accessibility and help more students understand what sexual harassment and sexual violence look like in digital spaces. He also suggested that these efforts could be incorporated into a transition binder or passed on to future leadership for continuity.

Y. Avhad then added that the California State University system is expected to roll out a revised non-discrimination policy in the coming fall or following year. He encouraged the group to align their concerns with this upcoming policy update and suggested communicating these ideas to the Chancellor's Office so they could potentially be included in the revised CSU non-discrimination and Title IX-related policies.

C. Panglinan recalled a previous discussion with D. Lopez during CHESS and responded to the resolution by highlighting the importance of incorporating campus-specific data. She noted that while national data is useful, there are also relevant incidents and experiences on the campus that could strengthen the resolution if included.

C. Panglinan suggested engaging student voices more directly, possibly through surveys or outreach forms, to gather input and better understand student experiences. She emphasized that collecting this type of campus-based data would be valuable for informing the resolution and strengthening its impact.

E. DISCUSSION ITEM - [Reduce Usage of AI in Classes Resolution](#)

The ASI Board of Directors will discuss the resolution.

D. Lopez presented a resolution focused on AI use in education, emphasizing concerns about environmental impact, academic integrity, and the overall value and credibility of a CSU education. He explained that AI tools are widely used by students, but argued that evaluations such as exams and quizzes should ensure students are being properly assessed, suggesting that tools like Respondus help maintain academic integrity. **D. Lopez** noted that AI-related misconduct is increasing and framed the issue as both an academic concern and a sustainability issue, citing research on significant water usage by data centers. He also raised concerns about how AI use in education could affect institutional reputation and student outcomes after graduation.



L. Deguzman added that while AI is not inherently negative, there is a risk that overreliance on it could lead employers to question the reliability of a university’s academic preparation. He suggested exploring ways to improve the efficiency of AI data centers to reduce environmental impacts, particularly in terms of water and electricity consumption.

K. Tripathi advised that since there was limited time before the next Board of Directors meeting, the group should email the board with clear data and sources to support their resolutions so they can be properly reviewed and acted upon.

D. Lopez further suggested strengthening campus academic support systems, proposing that resources like SCA or AIPA centers be expanded and made more accessible so students can rely more on peer tutoring rather than AI tools or the internet.

T. Trueie expressed appreciation for how the resolution framed both environmental and reputational impacts of AI use. She suggested that student government could help support the initiative and recommended adding standardized syllabus language to raise awareness about AI’s environmental effects.

K. Dhillon provided detailed feedback, stating that the resolution needed clearer problem framing and stronger alignment with institutional policy. He noted that reducing AI usage may conflict with current CSU goals and employer expectations, which increasingly assume AI fluency. He recommended collaborating with the Academic Senate and Dr. Wu to ensure the resolution aligns with existing AI policy frameworks. He also pointed out that previous ASI decisions on webcam proctoring could conflict with parts of the resolution, and encouraged focusing more on balanced AI use rather than restriction.

C. Panglinan suggested refining the resolution to emphasize expanded, controlled assessment methods and encouraged consideration of students in asynchronous learning environments. He also referenced a recent GAAG committee case involving an AI-related appeal, highlighting it as a relevant example for further discussion and context.

1:03:00

F. INFORMATIONAL ITEM - [Bay Area Bargain Baddies 2.0 & Constant Tabling](#)

The Board of Directors will be informed of the event by Dane.

L. Deguzman informed the Board that the event would be renamed **Rack to Runway**. She explained that the event would no longer be a runway-style program on the Meiklejohn Lawn, but would instead be hosted in the Innovation Hub, in a format similar to Drag Brunch.



He stated that participants would be encouraged to bring tailored or secondhand clothing and that some outfits would also be provided. He explained that the goal of the event is to show students that secondhand clothing can still be fashionable, while also creating a fun and engaging atmosphere. Additional ideas for the event included karaoke, a projector, light refreshments, snacks, sweets, and possibly a charcuterie board.

L. Deguzman stated that he anticipated requesting approximately **\$150** for the charcuterie board and also discussed plans for online promotion, including a possible “fit check with ASI” theme.

L. Deguzman also reminded members to coordinate with staff regarding food purchases, noting that outside food purchases must comply with Chartwells requirements and spending limits.

1:06:49

G. ACTION ITEM: Fraternity and Sorority Event Funding Application

The Board of Directors will take action.

Motion to postpone Information Item G indefinitely by **C. Doolam**, Seconded by **K. Reddypalli**. **Motion Carried.**

1:07:36

H. INFORMATIONAL ITEM: Civil Rights Office Visit

The Board of Directors will be introduced to a new member of the Civil Rights team.

Motion to postpone Information Item H to next Board of Directors Meeting by **K. Tripathi**, seconded by **Y. Avhad**. **Motion Carried.**

1:08:17

I. INFORMATION ITEM: [Recap of the How Not To Crash Out Workshop](#)

The Board of Directors will receive a recap of the event by Tiffany and Yashica.

Y. Avhad presented the recap on behalf of Tiffany. She stated that the workshop had approximately **seven participants**, which she considered a fair turnout given that the event was held from **4:00 PM to 5:00 PM** and was Tiffany’s first ASI event.

She reported that **six out of seven participants** expressed interest in future related workshops. According to the survey feedback, five participants felt that the event was the perfect length, while two participants indicated that it could have been longer.

Y. Avhad also noted that one challenge was that students had difficulty locating the Bayview Room. The total budget spent on the event was **\$57.53 plus taxes**, and free face masks and bath fizzers were distributed as incentives.

1:10:47

J. INFORMATION ITEM: Canvases and Sandwiches

The Board of Directors will be informed on the event by Allie.

Y. Avhad presented this item on behalf of Allie. She explained that **Canvases and Sandwiches** is Allie's first ASI event and is centered on **Project Impact students**, although it is open to all students.

She stated that the purpose of the event is to encourage creative expression, relaxation, community building, and increased awareness of campus services related to disabilities, counseling, and the Career Empowerment Center. She also noted that Allie is collaborating with Project Impact and Accessibility Services.

The event is planned for **Core 338**, pending room availability. The requested budget is approximately **\$127 to \$130** for art supplies, sandwiches, and a veggie tray. **Y. Avhad** noted that the event would provide students with an opportunity to connect with accessibility services and learn more about disability-related support resources.

1:14:23

K. INFORMATION ITEM: Gen AI Workshop

The Board of Directors will be informed of the event by Niharika.

N. Immadi presented an overview of the **Gen AI Workshop**, which is scheduled for **April 29 from 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM** in the CORE.

She explained that the purpose of the workshop is to introduce students to generative AI, demonstrate practical academic and career-related uses, and educate them on ethical and responsible AI usage. She noted that the workshop is being planned in collaboration with **Dr. Yashus Hari Prasad**, Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science.

The workshop will include an introduction to generative AI, live demonstrations, prompt engineering, responsible AI practices, and a Q&A session. Niharika stated that she would require a budget of **\$110**.

1:17:46

L. INFORMATION ITEM: [Pause & Check: Respecting Boundaries](#)

The Board of Directors will be informed of the event by Aria.

A. Short presented this item and explained that the event would take place on **April 29** in recognition of **Denim Day**. She stated that she and Yashica would be tabling at the **UU Walkway**.

She explained that the event is intended to promote understanding of personal boundaries and awareness of social cues among students. The estimated cost for the event is approximately **\$22**, primarily for packages and signage, since the office already has art supplies available.

Additional clarification was provided that the event would feature two tables: one focused on resources and prevention education, and another where students could decorate denim patches with supportive messages. It was also noted that if weather conditions are unfavorable, the event may be moved indoors.

L. Deguzman pointed out that this event and the Gen AI Workshop are scheduled for the same day and time. She acknowledged that simultaneous events can reduce participation, but also suggested that organizers could coordinate and redirect students between events to support attendance at both.

1:25:46

M. ACTION ITEM: [Remuneration Policy Update](#)

The Board of Directors will take action on the Remuneration Policy.

Motion to Amend the Action Item M: Remuneration Policy was by **K. Tripathi**, seconded by **M. Dempsey**. **Motion Carried**.

K. Tripathi explained that the Personnel Committee had discussed two proposed changes. The first was to increase the scholarship amount for the **Executive Vice President and Chief of Staff**, based on both the hierarchy of the position and the level of responsibility associated with the role. He stated that the scholarship should better reflect the workload and expectations of the position.

The second proposed change was to move from **monthly scholarship disbursements** to **three full payments** over the course of the term: one in the summer, one in the fall, and one in the spring. He explained that this change was proposed because monthly disbursements had often been delayed, while a lump-sum style approach would make scholarship distribution more reliable and timelier.

Additional context was provided that this type of payment structure is not unusual among ASIs, and that similar practices are used at other CSU campuses. It was also clarified that if a Board member were to resign or be terminated after receiving payment, the funds would be returned through the appropriate financial aid process.

J. Carroll explained that Financial Aid and Student Financial Services had indicated that monthly disbursement timelines could not be guaranteed consistently, whereas the proposed three-payment structure is more feasible and aligned with existing aid timelines.

C. Pangilinan asked whether Financial Aid had confirmed they could meet the three-payment schedule. In response, it was clarified that Financial Aid had recommended the proposed timeline specifically because it would better align with summer and fall aid processing.

The Board then proceeded to a roll call vote.

In Favor: K. Tripathi, C. Reddy Doolam, Y. Avhad, R. Munoz, M. Dempsey, D. Lopez, C. Pangilinan, N. Immadi, N. Nettem, A. Short, M. Castillo

Abstain: K. Reddipally

With the majority voting in favor, the **Remuneration Policy** was approved.

1:29:55

IX. SPECIAL REPORTS

A. Herb Garden Updates



D. Lopez provided an update on the herb garden project being developed with his committee. He explained that the plan is to establish a herb garden on the balcony outside the ASI office, using a **3-foot by 18-foot space** divided into three separate sections.

He stated that the initial plan is to grow Mediterranean herbs such as **rosemary** and **thyme**. He also noted that irrigation and watering logistics are still being considered, and that he plans to consult with Jennifer and Antonio Roman Alcala, who recently addressed similar irrigation issues at the Music Building garden.

D. Lopez added that the herb garden would be open access for students and ASI members and would be maintained by future Boards of Directors.

B. Hill Week Advocacy

L. Deguzman reported on his recent trip to **Washington, D.C.**, alongside President Sandin and Chief of Staff Derek Aiken for **Hill Week Advocacy**.

He explained that one major focus of the advocacy effort was protecting the **federal Pell Grant**, noting that the federal government has considered reducing the maximum award and tightening eligibility requirements, particularly for students who are not enrolled full time. He emphasized that such changes would significantly impact access to higher education.

L. Deguzman stated that she and President Sandin met with legislators, senators, congressmembers, and policy advisors to advocate for full Pell Grant funding. She stressed that investment in higher education is an investment in the workforce and highlighted CSU's role in producing college graduates and workers across California.

He also discussed the issue of **Minority Serving Institution (MSI)** funding and explained that, while CSUEB remains an MSI, restrictions and legal questions around federal MSI funding continue to evolve. She added that the university is also exploring opportunities as an **Emerging Research Institution (ERI)** to increase eligibility for federal research grants, particularly those related to STEM.

L. Deguzman concluded by encouraging students to advocate for their right to education by contacting legislators and policymakers. He also shared that she had spoken with Chancellor Garcia about expanding **EBT implementation** across CSU campuses, highlighting CSUEB's progress in supporting food-insecure students.

1:33:01

X. ROUND TABLE REMARKS

K. Tripathi reminded Board members to continue completing their task lists and transition binders, noting that the deadline is **April 30**. He also provided logistical reminders regarding **EB Jamboree** and **Admitted Students Day**, including expectations for Board participation and attire. He concluded by noting that the newly elected Board members were present and encouraged the current Board to greet and welcome them after the meeting.

XI. ADJOURNMENT at 1:34 PM

Minutes reviewed and approved by:

President/Chief of Staff Name:

London Deguzman

London Deguzman

[London Deguzman \(May 7, 2026 15:07:17 PDT\)](#)

Minutes approved on:

05/06/2026

Date:








Board of Directors Meeting Minutes of April 22nd, 2026

Final Audit Report

2026-05-07

Created:	2026-05-07 (Pacific Daylight Time)
By:	Sneh Sharma (sneh.sharma@csueastbay.edu)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA53jS_QCk_el4YUvCOsehjk_FwbtXmeh-

"Board of Directors Meeting Minutes of April 22nd, 2026" History

-  Document created by Sneh Sharma (sneh.sharma@csueastbay.edu)
2026-05-07 - 3:03:39 PM PDT - IP address: 134.154.131.123
-  Document emailed to London Deguzman (london.deguzman@csueastbay.edu) for signature
2026-05-07 - 3:04:16 PM PDT
-  Email viewed by London Deguzman (london.deguzman@csueastbay.edu)
2026-05-07 - 3:06:25 PM PDT - IP address: 134.154.77.67
-  Document e-signed by London Deguzman (london.deguzman@csueastbay.edu)
Signature Date: 2026-05-07 - 3:07:17 PM PDT - Time Source: server- IP address: 134.154.77.67 - Signature Appearance Selected: MOBILE_TYPE
-  Agreement completed.
2026-05-07 - 3:07:17 PM PDT