

Cal State East Bay Hayward Campus Master Plan

Partial Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report

SCH No. 2008042100



CALIFORNIA STATE
UNIVERSITY
E A S T B A Y

March 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1.0-1
1.1 Introduction	1.0-1
1.2 Purpose of This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.....	1.0-2
1.3 Project Description.....	1.0-3
1.4 Scope of This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.....	1.0-3
1.5 Report Organization	1.0-4
1.6 Environmental Review Process.....	1.0-4
2.0 REVISED PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IMPACT ANALYSIS	2.0-1
2.1 Introduction	2.0-1
2.2 Existing Conditions.....	2.0-1
2.3 Regulatory Conditions	2.0-3
2.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures	2.0-5
2.5 Cumulative Impacts.....	2.0-16
2.6 References	2.0-16
3.0 LIST OF PREPARERS/ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED.....	3.0-1

APPENDICES

- Appendix A 2008 Draft EIR Text
- Appendix B Fall 2012 and Spring 2014 Park User Surveys

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 Garin and Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks – Entrance and Survey Points	2.0-4
2 Survey Point 3.....	2.0-8

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.0-1 HARD Facilities within Two Miles of CSUEB Hayward Campus	2.0-2
2.0-2 Fall 2012 Survey Data.....	2.0-6
2.0-3 Spring 2014 Survey Data	2.0-7
2.0-4 Projected Increase in Regional Park Use by CSUEB Affiliated Population.....	2.0-12

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This Partial Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) has been prepared for the California State University East Bay (CSUEB) Hayward Master Plan, including the Pioneer Heights Phase IV Student Housing project. A Draft EIR addressing the environmental impacts from campus growth under the CSUEB Hayward Master Plan and construction and operation of the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project was published in November 2008 (SCH No. 2008042100) and the Final EIR was certified in September 2009. The Notice of Determination (NOD) was filed in September 2009. The EIR was challenged by the City of Hayward and two local community groups, Hayward Area Planning Association and Old Highlands Homeowners Association (collectively, "Petitioners"). The trial court agreed with the Petitioners that the EIR failed to adequately analyze the project's impacts on fire protection and public safety, traffic and parking, air quality, and parklands. The decision was appealed by the University and sent to the First District Court of Appeal.

The First District disagreed with the trial court and found the EIR to be adequate in all respects except that it determined the EIR's analysis of potential environmental impacts to parkland was not supported by substantial evidence. With respect to the parkland impact, the First District concluded that the EIR failed to:

- Consider the specific impacts of campus growth on two neighboring parks, Garin Regional Park and Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Park.
- Provide factual evidence to support the statement that the new students would make the same nominal use of these nearby parks as the existing students.

The First District stated that the EIR should:

- Determine the extent to which the existing students use the adjacent parklands or to extrapolate from such data estimated increased usage by the additional approximately 5,500 anticipated full-time equivalent students.
- Calculate existing parkland use made by the existing approximately 1,200 residential students and extrapolate from such data the increase in use by the additional 600 students anticipated to live in the new student housing project.
- Provide evidence regarding overall usage or capacity of the neighboring parks.

The court accordingly reversed the trial court’s judgment except to the extent it required the Trustees, before considering certification of a revised EIR, to revise the analysis of the impacts of the Master Plan and related projects to area parklands.

Petitioners then sought review by the California Supreme Court. The Supreme Court granted review and held the case pending its decision in another CEQA matter involving San Diego State University (the “SDSU Litigation”). Following the Supreme Court’s issuance of its decision in the SDSU Litigation, the Supreme Court remanded this case to the Court of Appeal for reconsideration in light of that decision. The Court of Appeal then re-issued and republished its decision, with modifications to address the SDSU Litigation. Specifically, the Court of Appeal acknowledged that the City had failed to exhaust the mitigation funding issues raised in the SDSU Litigation, but given the clarity provided by the Supreme Court and the public importance of the Project, that CSU should reconsider its findings on mitigation funding. The Court of Appeal published its revised decision at *City of Hayward v. Trustees of the California State University*, 242 Cal.App.4th at 833 (2015). The revised decision requires the University to revise the analysis of the impacts of the Master Plan and related site-specific projects to parklands.

CSU, which is the lead agency for the environmental review of the CSUEB Hayward Master Plan, has determined that because of the *City of Hayward* decision and in order to comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and *State CEQA Guidelines*, the Draft EIR analysis dealing with the impacts of Master Plan development and the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project on nearby parklands will be reevaluated and recirculated.

This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR will be used, in conjunction with the September 2009 Final EIR, to inform the University decision makers, responsible and trustee agencies, other public agencies, and members of the public of the potential environmental effects on nearby parks from the implementation of the CSUEB Hayward Master Plan, including the implementation of the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS PARTIAL RECIRCULATED DRAFT EIR

This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR has been prepared pursuant to Section 15088.5 of the *State CEQA Guidelines*. A lead agency is required to recirculate an EIR when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the Draft EIR but prior to certification. The term “information” can include changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information. New information is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment on a substantial adverse impact of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect. The First District found that the Draft EIR was inadequate in its analysis of impacts on parkland. As directed by the court, CSU gathered additional data

on parkland use by the campus population and has prepared a revised parklands impact analysis. CSU has determined that although the revised analysis does not represent significant new information because the analysis shows the project will not result in a significant impact on the environment and no new mitigation is required, it will nonetheless circulate the revised analysis for agency and public review, and provide the public an opportunity to comment on the project's impacts on parkland.

1.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The CSUEB Hayward Campus Master Plan outlines all aspects of physical development and planned land use to support the academic and enrollment goals of CSUEB for its Hayward campus through 2030. The Master Plan is intended to allow the Campus to accommodate its Master Plan Ceiling as approved by the California Postsecondary Education Commission of 18,000 Full-Time Equivalent Students (FTES) (a headcount of 25,000 individual students), and a commensurate number of faculty and staff. The Master Plan includes a land use plan and additional policies that will guide existing academic programs and support services as they modernize, expand, and improve.

In addition to the adoption of the Master Plan, the Campus proposes to construct the fourth phase of the Pioneer Heights student housing neighborhood. Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would provide 600 beds in mostly double units within four structures.

1.4 SCOPE OF THIS PARTIAL RECIRCULATED DRAFT EIR

According to Section 15088.5 (c) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, if the revisions to the previously circulated Draft EIR are limited to a few chapters of the EIR, the lead agency is required to recirculate only those portions of the Draft EIR that have been modified. CSU has thus decided to prepare a Partial Recirculated Draft EIR and recirculate only the discussion dealing with impacts on parkland. This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR includes the following:

- Public Services – The analysis in this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR replaces MP Impact PUB-3, PH Phase IV impact analysis related to parks, and the cumulative impact analysis related to parks in the November 2008 Draft EIR.

The original recreation and parkland impact analysis is presented in **Appendix A** for ease of reference. The revised analysis which replaces the original recreation and parkland impact analysis in full is presented in **Section 2.0** of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

Pursuant to Section 15088.5(f)(2), CSU is requesting that reviewers of this document submit new comments only on the revised impact analysis presented in this Partial Recirculated EIR. Any comments that pertain to the parkland topic addressed in this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR must be submitted

during the public comment period for this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR if the commenter wishes to receive a written response in the Final EIR.

1.5 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This Partial Recirculated Draft EIR is organized into the following sections:

Section 1.0, Introduction, summarizes the purpose and organization of the Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

Section 2.0, Revised Parks and Recreational Facilities Impact Analysis, describes the environmental setting as it relates to parks and recreational facilities, including applicable plans and policies, provides an analysis of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project (both the Master Plan and Pioneer Heights Phase IV project) and cumulative impacts on parks and recreational facilities. This section presents the revised MP Impact PUB-3, revised PH Phase IV Impact PUB-1, and revised Cumulative Impact PUB-1.

Section 3.0, List of Preparers/Organizations Consulted, identifies the Lead Agency and consultants involved in the preparation of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR and organizations and persons consulted during preparation of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

Appendix A presents the original park impact analysis that was presented in the 2008 CSUEB Hayward Campus Master Plan Draft EIR.

Appendix B presents details of park user surveys conducted during the preparation of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

1.6 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

CSU has filed a Notice of Completion with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (State Clearinghouse), indicating that this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR has been completed and is available for review and comment (SCH No. 2008042100). In addition, the notice has been provided to every agency, person, and organization that commented on the previously circulated Draft EIR as required by CEQA.

The Partial Recirculated Draft EIR is being made available for review by the public and interested parties, agencies, and organizations for a review period of at least 45 days, as required by California law, from March 28 through May 11, 2017. In reviewing the Partial Recirculated Draft EIR, reviewers should focus on the document's adequacy in identifying and analyzing the project's significant effects on the environment and ways in which the significant effects of the project might be avoided or mitigated (see *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15204(a)). Comments should be focused only on the revised impact

analyses presented in this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR. Comments may be submitted in writing during the 45-day public review period but no later than May 11, 2017 to:

Jim Zavagno
Associate Vice President
25800 Carlos Bee Blvd.
Hayward, California 94542
Jim.zavagno@csueastbay.edu

Although pursuant to state law (Public Resources Code Section 21091(d)(3)), CSU will accept e-mail comments in lieu of mailed or hand-delivered comments, reviewers are encouraged to follow up any email comments with letters. At the end of the 45-day public review period, CSU will prepare written responses to all comments received on the Partial Recirculated Draft EIR and a Final EIR will be prepared. The Final EIR will be available to all commenting agencies at least 10 days prior to certification, in accordance with CEQA requirements. CSU will review the Final EIR for adequacy and will exercise its independent judgment regarding certification pursuant to the requirements of Section 15090 of the *State CEQA Guidelines*.

CSU will prepare and file a Notice of Determination (NOD) with the State Clearinghouse. The NOD will include a description of the project, the date of approval, and an indication of whether Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations were prepared. The NOD will also provide the address where the EIR and record of project approval are available for review.

2.0 REVISED PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IMPACT ANALYSIS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes the existing conditions of parks and other recreational facilities in the project area and potential impacts from campus growth under the California State University East Bay (CSUEB) Hayward Master Plan, including the Pioneer Heights Phase IV Student Housing project, on parks and recreational facilities. As noted in **Section 1.0, Introduction**, this analysis replaces the recreation and parkland impact analyses presented in the 2008 CSUEB Master Plan EIR. For ease of reference, the original recreation and parkland impact analysis from the 2008 Draft EIR is reproduced in **Appendix A**.

This section incorporates information from questionnaire-based park user surveys conducted by Impact Sciences and CSUEB in fall 2012 and spring 2014. Data sheets and results of the park user surveys are provided in **Appendix B** of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

2.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.2.1 Parks

CSUEB Hayward Recreational Facilities

Existing recreational facilities on the Hayward campus include: (1) the existing indoor 116,000 gross square foot (gsf) Pioneer Gym, which houses basketball/volleyball courts; (2) an outdoor track/stadium (Pioneer Stadium); (3) baseball/softball fields with an adjacent multi-use field; (4) tennis courts; (5) two outdoor swimming pools; and (6) a 53,000 gsf Recreation and Wellness Center, which houses a gymnasium, indoor jogging track, outdoor adventure center, two massage therapy rooms, multi-use courts, weight and cardiovascular workout rooms, dance studios, and wellness and administrative offices. The gym and swimming pools are located towards the northwest corner of the campus on the east side of West Loop Road. The Recreation and Wellness Center is located on Harder Road, across the street from on-campus student housing. The remaining facilities are located on the west side of West Loop Road between Carlos Bee Boulevard and Harder Road.

Hayward Area Recreation and Park District Facilities

The Hayward Area Recreation and Park District (HARD), an independent special use district, is one of the two primary providers of parks and recreational programs in the project vicinity. The district currently owns, leases, maintains, or operates 137 facilities in the Cities of Hayward and San Leandro, as

well as the unincorporated communities of Castro Valley and San Lorenzo (HARD 2017). HARD facilities in the vicinity (two-mile radius) of the campus are listed in **Table 2.0-1, HARD Facilities within Two Miles of CSUEB Hayward Campus**, below. The parks include many amenities, such as trails, picnic areas, baseball/softball fields, play areas, multi-use fields, tennis courts, basketball courts, and other park amenities.

**Table 2.0-1
HARD Facilities within Two Miles of CSUEB Hayward Campus**

Park	Distance (miles)	Direction
Memorial Park	0.1	North
Old Highlands Park	0.2	Northeast
Spring Grove Park	0.2	West
College Heights Park	0.4	East
Greenbelt Park	0.4	North
East Avenue Park	0.6	Northeast
Southgate Park	0.7	West
Sorensdale Park	0.9	Southwest
Canyon View Parks	1.0	East
Bret Harte Park and Field	1.1	Northwest
Sulphur Creek Nature Center	1.1	North
San Felipe Park	1.3	North
J. A. Lewis Park	1.4	East
Valle Vista Park	1.4	South
Tennyson Park	1.5	South
Schafer Park	1.6	West
Japanese Gardens	1.6	North
Lakeridge Park	1.6	North
Five Canyons Park	1.6	Northeast
Stonybrook Park	1.6	South
Weekes Community Park	1.7	Southwest
Centennial Park	1.5	Northwest
Cannery Park	1.6	Northwest
Carlos Bee Park	1.6	North
Birchfield Park	1.7	Northwest
Pexioto Playfield	1.7	Southwest
Ruus Park	1.9	Southwest
Fairway Greens	1.6	South

Park	Distance (miles)	Direction
Bidwell Park	2.0	South

Google Maps 2017, HARD 2017

East Bay Regional Park District Facilities

The East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD) owns and operates a system of parklands and trails in Alameda and Contra Costa counties. The system comprises 114,000+ acres in 65 parks, including over 1,200 miles of trails (EBRPD 2017). Two of the EBRPD regional parks are located near the campus. Garin Regional Park is located immediately adjacent to the southern boundary of CSUEB Hayward campus, with Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Park adjacent to the southern boundary of Garin Regional Park as shown on **Figure 1**. The two parks together cover an area of approximately 4,794 acres. The two parks feature more than 20 miles of hiking and horseback riding trails, picnic areas, a fishing pond, and Garin Barn Visitor Center (EBRPD 2017).

The main entrance to Garin Regional Park is on Garin Avenue, about two miles southeast of the Hayward campus (shown on **Figure 1** as Main Entrance - Survey Point 1). It is accessed from downtown Hayward by traveling south on Mission Boulevard and east on Garin Avenue. A minor entrance serving the northern end of the regional park is located on Ziele Creek Drive (Northern Entrance - Survey Point 2 shown on **Figure 1**). As Garin Regional Park adjoins CSUEB campus lands, the northern portion of the regional park can be accessed via informal trails that emanate from the parking lots serving the existing student housing complex on Harder Road in the southern portion of the campus.

The main entrance to the Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Park is on May Road, which intersects Mission Boulevard about 3.5 miles south of the campus (Dry Creek Entrance shown on **Figure 1**). For ease of reference, both regional parks are collectively referred to below as the “Garin/Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks.”

2.3 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

There are no federal, state, or local regulations that pertain to parks and recreational facilities.



SOURCE: Google Earth, 2017

FIGURE 1

Garin and Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks – Entrances and Survey Points

2.4 IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

2.4.1 Standards of Significance

In accordance with Appendix G of the *State CEQA Guidelines* and the CSU CEQA Handbook, the impact of campus development under the proposed Master Plan (including Pioneer Heights Phase IV project) on parks and recreational facilities would be considered significant if it would:

- increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or
- include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

2.4.2 Analysis Methodology

In order to evaluate whether campus population growth, including the increase in on-campus residential population, would result in an increase in the use of the two regional parks such that a substantial physical deterioration could occur and to address the issues identified by the court as requiring more substantiation, CSUEB decided to gather park use data by conducting questionnaire-based surveys. As shown on **Figure 1**, Dry Creek Regional Park does not adjoin the campus and is south of Garin Regional Park. Given the distance between the campus and the Dry Creek Regional Park, it was determined that it was unlikely that CSUEB affiliated population currently uses that park in large number or would be likely to use that park in large numbers in the future. That park was, therefore, excluded from a survey of park users.

The surveys were conducted at the Garin Regional Park and covered all individuals entering the park at key locations (shown on **Figure 1**). The surveys were focused on determining what percentage of regional park users are campus-related persons, the frequency at which the campus-related persons visit the parks, and the types of recreational activities they engage in when visiting the park.

The surveys were conducted in October 2012 and May 2014. Although summer months are typically the time of highest park use, the Hayward campus is not in session at that time and very few students and a small number of faculty and staff are on the campus each day. Fall quarter, which commences in September, is the period when the largest number of students is on the campus. Therefore, the 2012 surveys were conducted in early October (October 10, 14, and 17, 2012) when the weather was still warm and the students had settled down into a steady routine.

Due to an issue with the one of the survey locations used during the 2012 surveys, a second set of surveys were conducted in 2014 in May (May 7, 14, 18, and 25, 2014). Although enrollment during the spring

quarter is not as high as during the fall quarter, the warmer weather during spring could potentially result in greater use of the nearby park than during fall. Both surveys are described in further detail below. Detailed data from the 2012 and 2014 surveys are included in **Appendix B**.

Park User Survey – Fall 2012

In the 2012 surveys, individuals entering the Garin Regional Park were surveyed at the main entrance to the Garin Regional Park off Garin Avenue (Survey Point 1 shown on **Figure 1**) on Wednesday October 10th from 8:00 AM to 1:30 PM and Sunday October 14th from 8:00 AM to 12:30 PM. On October 14th from 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM, a survey was conducted at the northern entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 2 shown on **Figure 1**). The surveys were conducted on Wednesday and Sunday in order to capture regional park usage by CSUEB affiliated persons both during the workweek and on the weekends. A survey was also conducted in the vicinity of trails leading from campus parking lots near the existing Pioneer Heights Student Housing Complex. However, data gathered from this survey location could not be used because this survey location is not an entrance to the regional park. The parking lots are approximately 0.4 mile north of the nearest Ziele Creek trail entrance (see Survey Point 3 on **Figures 1** and **2**). Persons using the trails emanating from the parking lots may in fact just be using the trails on CSUEB open space and not actually accessing the trails on the regional park.

The data collected from the two survey locations are presented in **Table 2.0-2, Fall 2012 Survey Data**.

**Table 2.0-2
Fall 2012 Survey Data**

Location	Duration of Survey	Total Individuals Surveyed	CSUEB Resident Students	CSUEB Commuting Students	CSUEB Faculty	Total CSUEB Affiliated Park Users
Garin Avenue Main Entrance (Survey Point 1)	2 days	102	1	2	1	4
Northern Entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 2)	1 day	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2 days¹	102	1	2	1	4²

Source: Impact Sciences 2012

Notes: ¹Zero individuals accessed the park from Survey Point 2. It was thus determined to end at noon.

2. Based on the data collected in this survey, approximately 1.5 students and 0.5 faculty member associated with CSUEB Hayward used the park each day.

Of the 139 individuals observed entering the park during the two days of surveys in the fall 2012, 102 individuals were administered the survey.¹ Of the 102 surveyed individuals, four reported to be associated with the campus and 98 as having no association with the campus. Of the four individuals that reported to be associated with the campus, one reported to be a resident student and two commuting students. One individual reported to be a faculty member. All four of the campus-related park users accessed the park via Survey Point 1. Nobody accessed the park through Survey Point 2 during the 2012 survey. Based on the data collected in this 2012 survey, approximately 1.5 students and 0.5 faculty member associated with CSUEB Hayward campus used the park each day.

Park User Survey – Spring 2014

As noted above, because of the distance between the campus parking lots and the regional park’s closest entry point at Ziele Creek Trail’s western entrance, it could not be assumed that the individuals observed accessing the trails, during the 2012 survey at the Pioneer Heights parking lots, did in fact continue to hike or run to finally enter the regional park via the Ziele Creek Trail. Therefore, additional surveys were conducted in spring 2014 at the western entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 3 shown on **Figure 2**). These surveys were conducted on two Wednesday (May 7th and May 18th, 2014) and two Sundays (May 14th and May 25th, 2014) from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM. Once again the days of the surveys were selected to capture park usage by CSUEB–related persons both during the workweek and on the weekends. The data collected during the spring 2014 survey are presented in **Table 2.0-3, Spring 2014 Survey Data**.

**Table 2.0-3
Spring 2014 Survey Data**

Location	Duration of Survey	Total Individuals Surveyed	CSUEB Resident Student	CSUEB Commuting Students	CSUEB Faculty	Total CSUEB Affiliated Park Users
Western Entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 3)	4 days	26	5	5	0	10 ¹

Source: Impact Sciences 2014

1. Based on the survey data, 2.5 students accessed the regional park each day via the Ziele Creek Trail western entrance.

Of the 26 individuals surveyed in 2014, 10 reported to be associated with the campus and 16 stated as having no association with the campus. No faculty members were among the 10 individuals that reported

¹ Approximately 37 individuals who entered Garin Regional Park via the main entrance (Survey Point 1) could not be administered the survey due to the high volume of persons entering at certain times on the survey days.



SOURCE: Google, Inc., September 2014, Imagery February 2014

FIGURE 2

Survey Point 3

to be associated with the campus. Of the 10 individuals, five identified themselves as resident students and five as commuting students. Based on the 2014 survey data, on average, 2.5 students used the regional park each day and accessed it via the Ziele Creek Trail western entrance, and 1.25 out of the 2.5 student users were resident students.

The majority of the CSUEB affiliated individuals use the park for hiking, walking, or running. Some of the students also visit Garin Barn and picnic near the Garin Park main entrance.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The key findings of the park user surveys are listed below:

- About 10.9² percent of the park users surveyed in the fall 2012 and spring 2014 are associated with the CSUEB Hayward campus.
- Primarily the students appear to be using the regional park and faculty and staff do not appear to be using the regional park.
- On average, the number of students who use the park each day is less than three students per day.
- The students generally access the park via trails near the campus and do not use the main entrance to Garin Regional Park (Survey Point 1) or the northern entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 2). As the main entrance to Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Park is further south of the Garin Regional Park main entrance, it is unlikely that any campus-related population accesses that park via that entrance.
- Students that use the regional park, use it mainly for walking, running, hiking, and picnicking.

2.4.3 Project Impacts

MP Impact PUB-3: Campus growth under the proposed Master Plan would not result in significant environmental impacts related to the development of new or modified recreational facilities nor increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.

Level of Significance: Less than significant

² The total number of park users associated with the CSUEB Hayward campus is 14, with 4 users observed during the 2012 survey and 10 users observed during the 2014 survey. Of the total 128 individuals surveyed in 2012 and 2014, park users affiliated with CSUEB constitute about 10.9 percent.

As stated in the Draft EIR project description, the primary objective of the Hayward Campus Master Plan is to comply with the CSU system-wide requirement to maintain a master plan for guiding campus development and meeting the educational mission of the University, as defined in the California Education Code. The Master Plan is based on a horizon year of 2030 and is expected to be implemented gradually over the Master Plan period of 2009 through 2030.³ The specific project objectives of the Master Plan are to enhance the campus learning environment within a walkable campus core by providing adequate sites for planned and future programs, to accommodate growth in campus enrollment up to the California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC)-approved Master Plan enrollment ceiling of 18,000 FTES, create supportive student neighborhoods that would help create a sense of community for both residents and commuting students, and increase on-campus housing to accommodate 5,000 students.

As demonstrated by the analysis below, implementation of the proposed Master Plan is not expected to substantially increase the use of neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities in the project area; require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse effect on the environment; or otherwise adversely affect existing recreational opportunities. The effects of the projected increases in the campus's total and residential population on on-campus recreational facilities as well as off-campus recreational facilities are analyzed below.

On-Campus Recreational Facilities

The increased student enrollment would increase the use of campus athletic and recreational facilities. In order to serve the growing population of the campus and to avoid physical deterioration of the facilities from overuse, existing recreational and athletic facilities on the campus would be improved under the proposed Master Plan and regularly maintained per current campus practice. Pursuant to the proposed Master Plan, the gym complex would remain in its existing location with room to expand the main building and pool area, and informal recreation areas would be provided within the two student housing neighborhoods. The minor modifications to existing recreational facilities proposed under the Master Plan would not result in substantial physical effects on the environment as all of these facilities are already developed and located in portions of the campus where sensitive environmental resources are not present. Furthermore, mitigation measures set forth in the Draft Master Plan EIR would be implemented to avoid or minimize environmental impacts during the construction of these minor

³ The Master Plan period in the Draft EIR was noted to extend from 2009 through 2030. However due to CEQA litigation, the Master Plan was not approved in 2009, and the development of the campus under the Master Plan was halted. If this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR is certified and the Master Plan is approved for implementation, the Master Plan period will run from 2017 to 2030.

modifications. The impact related to modification of on-campus recreational facilities would be less than significant.

Garin and Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks

As described above, the Garin Regional Park is located south of the Hayward campus, with the Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Park to the south of the Garin Regional Park. Both parks are interconnected by the trail system, and some of the trails at the northern end of the Garin Regional Park are directly accessible from the trail system in the southern portion of the Hayward campus. As a result of the proximity of the two regional parks to the campus, comments were received from the public expressing concern that increased park use by the campus-related population could cause or accelerate substantial physical deterioration of the regional park facilities. As noted earlier, due to the distance between the campus and Dry Creek Regional Park, it is unlikely that campus-affiliated population would use that park in large numbers. Therefore, the impact evaluation below focuses on the impact of the population growth at CSUEB Hayward campus on Garin Regional Park.

As noted above, two surveys were conducted to establish existing regional park use by campus-related population, and to extrapolate from that data whether the additional population that would be on the campus under the Master Plan buildout conditions could lead to a substantial increase in park use that could cause a substantial deterioration of the regional park facilities.

At buildout of the proposed Master Plan, the Hayward campus is expected to accommodate an enrollment of 18,000 FTES and on-campus housing would be increased to accommodate up to 5,000 students.

During the fall 2012 when the first park user survey was conducted, the total enrollment level at CSUEB Hayward campus was 11,190 FTES. The results of the 2012 park user survey indicate that an average of 1.5 CSUEB students and about 0.5 faculty member used the regional park per day. If the 2012 average daily rates of park use by campus-related population are used to project the future use of the regional park by future campus-related population, at full buildout of the Master Plan, an average of 2.4 CSUEB students and about one faculty would use the regional park on a daily basis. Compared to an average of 0.5 resident student using the park on a daily basis in the fall 2012, with 5,000 resident students at full buildout, there would be two resident students using the park on a daily basis. These results are summarized in **Table 2.0-4** below.

During spring 2014, the total enrollment level at the campus was 10,387 FTES. The results of the 2014 park user survey indicate that an average of 2.5 CSUEB students per day and zero faculty members used the regional park. As shown in **Table 2.0-4**, based on the average daily rates calculated from the survey, at

full buildout, an average of about four CSUEB students and no faculty would use the regional park on a daily basis. Compared to an average of 1.25 resident student using the park on a daily basis in the spring 2014, there would be 4.9 resident students that would use the park on a daily basis at full buildout.

**Table 2.0-4
Projected Increase in Regional Park Use by CSUEB Affiliated Population**

	2012	Full Buildout	Project Increase
Total FTE Students ¹	11,190	18,000	6,810
Average Daily Park User – All Students ²	1.50	2.41	0.91
Average Daily Park User – Faculty ²	0.50	0.80	0.30
Total Resident Students	1,272	5,000	3,728
Average Daily Park User - Resident Students ²	0.50	1.97	1.47
	2014	Full Buildout	Project Increase
Total FTE Students ¹	10,387	18,000	7,613
Average Daily Park User – All Students ²	2.50	4.33	1.83
Average Daily Park User – Faculty ²	0	0	0.00
Total Resident Students	1,272	5,000	3,728
Average Daily Park User - Resident Students ²	1.25	4.91	3.66

Source: Impact Sciences 2014

Notes: ¹This number is inclusive of resident students.

². All daily rates in this table derived from 2012 and 2014 survey data.

Based on daily rates derived from the two surveys and the projected increase in campus population under the Master Plan, it is estimated that at Master Plan buildout, a total of one to two additional students and about one faculty would use Garin Regional Park on a daily basis. With respect to resident students, an additional two to four resident students would use the regional park daily.

Activities undertaken by CSUEB affiliated individuals in the regional park during both survey periods included hiking, walking, running, and picnicking. It is anticipated that the majority of the additional CSUEB affiliated park users, resulting from the implementation of the Master Plan, would use the regional park for similar purposes. The small increase in the campus-related population using the regional park is not expected to result in overuse of the park facilities such that deterioration of the

facilities would occur. The additional users are expected to primarily use Ziele Creek Trail via the western entrance. The minor increase in foot traffic due to a small number of additional students using the trail each day is unlikely to result in a substantial increase in erosion. EBRPD was consulted in the preparation of this analysis, and EBRPD staff concurs that this small increase in regional park use from the implementation of the CSUEB Master Plan would not cause or accelerate substantial physical deterioration of park facilities (Holt 2017).

Projections of the increase in park use by the public at large are not available. However, regional park usage is expected to increase as the population of Alameda and adjacent counties increases. Alameda County population is projected to grow by 17.3 percent between 2017 and 2030 (Department of Finance 2017). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that campus-related population would continue to constitute a very small portion of the total population using the regional park.

Given the small numbers and the extensive facilities available on the regional park, the use of the regional park by campus-related population would not result in the physical deterioration of facilities. Therefore, the impact on the regional parks from the projected increase in campus population would be less than significant.

HARD Facilities

There are several HARD facilities located within two miles of the CSUEB Hayward campus, which are listed in **Table 2.0-1** on page 2.0-2 above. Based on the data collected at the Garin Avenue Entrance (Survey Point 1) of the Garin Regional Park located approximately 1.9 miles to the south of campus, and the northern entrance of Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 2) located approximately 0.8 miles to the southwest of campus, very few if any CSUEB affiliated individuals would use the nearby HARD facilities. With respect to some of the HARD parks that are relatively close to the campus, those parks are small community parks with few amenities that would be attractive to the CSUEB students. In addition, the CSUEB Hayward campus has many on-site facilities described above that would accommodate the demand from CSUEB students, faculty and staff. Therefore, the impact on the HARD facilities from the projected increase in campus population would be less than significant.

Other Recreational Facilities

Use of other off-campus recreational resources by campus-related new population living in the wider Bay Area would also not be substantial because the campus-related population would make a very small fraction of the population in each community. CSUEB is a regional University that serves the East Bay. As of the fall 2016, approximately 67 percent of students attending CSUEB live in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, and in most cases graduate from Bay Area high schools and are already living in the Bay Area

when they first enroll on the campus (CSUEB 2017). Similarly, most of the staff that is hired by CSUEB is already living in the Bay Area at the time that they are first hired as are also some of the faculty. Therefore, campus growth under the proposed Master Plan and corresponding increase in campus-related population in the Bay Area communities is not expected to substantially increase the use of neighborhood parks or other recreational facilities in the project area such that substantial deterioration would occur. Furthermore, improvements to and maintenance of existing parks is conducted by cities and counties using tax revenues and park fees. Therefore, to the extent any new Hayward campus-related population moves into Bay Area communities from outside the Bay Area, they would pay taxes and fees that would fund park maintenance and improvements. The impact on other recreational facilities in the wider Bay Area would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure: No mitigation is required.

PH Phase IV Impact PUB-1: The proposed PH Phase IV Project would not result in significant environmental impacts related to the development of new or modified recreational facilities nor increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.

Level of Significance: Less than significant

The proposed Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would add 600 student beds to the existing Pioneer Heights student housing complex. The new beds would be provided in four new 4 to 6 story buildings that would be located south of the existing housing.

On-Campus Recreational Facilities

Although the total number of resident students living on the campus would increase as a result of the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project, the existing on-campus recreation and athletic facilities are adequate for the campus population. As noted above, a Recreation and Wellness Center was completed and opened in early 2011 and includes a gymnasium, indoor jogging track, two activity rooms, outdoor adventure center, two massage therapy rooms, and other amenities within a 53,000-square-foot building. Additionally, the proposed project would provide an open space area in the center of the complex for passive recreational opportunities. Therefore, the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would result in less-than-significant impacts related to demand for on-campus recreational facilities. Furthermore, the

environmental impacts from improving and maintaining existing recreational facilities on the campus would be less than significant.

Garin and Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks

As described above, the two regional parks are located south of the campus and the northern portion of the Garin Regional Park adjoins the open space area of the CSUEB Hayward campus. The Pioneer Heights Student Housing complex is located at the southern end of the developed campus adjacent to the campus open space. A number of trails emanate from the student housing complex and extend through the campus open space area, and one trail leads to the Ziele Creek Trail on the Garin Regional Park (Figure 2).

Due to the proximity of this housing to the on-campus trails that lead on to the trail system in the Garin Regional Park, the 2014 park user survey described above was conducted at the western entrance to the Ziele Creek Trail (Survey Point 3), which is the regional park trail closest to the campus housing complex.

As described above, based on the survey approximately 1.25 resident students used the regional park every day during 2014. At the time of the survey, there were a total of 1,272 resident students on the campus. If the rate of park use by resident student population at the time of the 2014 survey is used to estimate the number of resident students that would use the trail once Phase IV is constructed, of the 1,872 resident students that would live on the campus, about 1.83 resident students would use the regional park each day.

As noted above, the trails in the vicinity of the campus are in fairly good condition and the small increase in foot traffic is unlikely to result in an increase in erosion. Therefore, this level of additional park use due to the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would not be expected to result in substantial deterioration of park facilities. As stated above, EBRPD was consulted in the preparation of this analysis, and EBRPD staff concurs that this small increase in regional park use from the implementation of the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would not cause or accelerate substantial physical deterioration of park facilities (Holt 2017). The impact of the proposed student housing project on the regional parks would be less than significant.

HARD Facilities

As noted above, the HARD parks close to the campus are small community parks with few amenities that would be attractive to the CSUEB resident students. In addition, the CSUEB Hayward campus has many on-site facilities described above that would accommodate the demand from CSUEB resident students. Therefore the additional residents students would be unlikely to place a substantial demand on HARD

facilities. The impact on the HARD facilities from the projected increase in student beds as a result of the Pioneer Heights Phase IV project would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure: No mitigation is required.

2.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

CUM Impact PUB-1: **Campus growth pursuant to the proposed Master Plan, in conjunction with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects, would not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facilities would occur or be accelerated.**

Level of Significance: Less than significant

Campus growth pursuant to the proposed Master Plan along with buildout of the City pursuant to the General Plan would increase the demand for recreational facilities located within the City of Hayward and adjacent areas of Alameda County. The EIR prepared for the General Plan by the City of Hayward concluded that with the implementation of General Plan policies cumulative impacts on recreational facilities at buildout of the General Plan would be less than significant (City of Hayward 2014). Although the cumulative impact analysis in the City's General Plan EIR did not account for the increase in demand for recreational facilities created by CSUEB Hayward, as the analysis above indicates, the projected growth in campus population would place a relatively small demand on regional recreational facilities and only a small number of campus-affiliated persons would use off-campus facilities. Given the small increase in demand for off-campus recreational facilities, the proposed Master Plan would not increase the use of off-campus parks such that substantial physical deterioration could occur or be accelerated. The cumulative impact would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure: No mitigation is required.

2.6 REFERENCES

California Department of Finance. 2017. P-2: State and County Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity and Age (5-year groups): 2010-2060. <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/projections/>

City of Hayward. 2014. Hayward 2040 General Plan EIR.

CSUEB. 2017. California State University, East Bay – Overall Quarterly Headcount Enrollment Profile. <http://www.csueastbay.edu/ir/old-site/fact-book/quarterly-headcount-enrollment.html>

*2.0 Revised Parks and Recreational Facilities
Impact Analysis*

EBRPD. 2017. Garin/Dry Creek Regional Parks. <http://www.ebparks.org/parks/garin#about>

Hayward Area Recreation and Park District (HARD). 2017. HARD Facility Directory. <http://www.haywardrec.org/DocumentCenter/View/93>

Holt, B. 2017. Acting Chief of Planning/GIS. East Bay Regional Park District. Email communication with Impact Sciences. March 7.

3.0 LIST OF PREPARERS/ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED

3.1 EIR PREPARERS

3.1.1 CEQA Lead Agency

The California State University Board of Trustees

California State University, East Bay
25800 Carlos Bee Boulevard
Hayward, California 94542

Jim Zavagno
Associate Vice President

Anne Salazar Leung, LEED AP
University Planner

CSU Office of the Chancellor

Capital Planning Design & Construction
401 Golden Shore, Long Beach CA 90802

Steve Lohr
Chief, Land Use Planning & Environmental Review

3.1.2 EIR Consultants

Impact Sciences, Inc.

505, 14th Street, Suite 1230
Oakland, California 94612

Shabnam Barati, Ph. D., Principal

Rima Ghannam, Associate Principal

Sylvie Josel, Staff Planner

Van Hoang, Publications Manager

3.2 AGENCIES CONSULTED

3.2.1 East Bay Regional Park District

Brian Holt, Acting Chief of Planning/GIS, Advance Planning Unit

APPENDIX A

2008 Draft EIR Text

2008 CSUEB Hayward Campus Master Plan Draft EIR Text Related to Impacts on Recreational Facilities and Parkland

The text related to impacts on parks and recreation facilities as it appeared in the 2008 Draft EIR for the Master Plan is reproduced below. This text and analysis is replaced by the text and analysis in **Section 2.0** of this Partial Recirculated Draft EIR.

Draft EIR Volume I, Pages 4.11-3 to 4.11-4

4.11.2.4 Parks

CSUEB Hayward Recreational Facilities

Existing recreational facilities on the Hayward campus include: (1) the existing indoor 116,000 gross square foot (gsf) Pioneer Gym, which houses basketball/volleyball courts; (2) an outdoor track/stadium; (3) baseball/softball fields with an adjacent soccer field; (4) a soccer practice field with an adjacent multi-use field; (5) tennis courts; and (6) two outdoor swimming pools. The gym and swimming pools are located towards the northwest corner of the campus on the east side of West Loop Road. The remaining facilities are located on the west side of West Loop Road between Carlos Bee Boulevard and Harder Road.

Hayward Area Recreation and Park District

The Hayward Area Recreation and Park District (HARD) is the primary provider of parks and recreational programs in the project vicinity. The district includes the unincorporated communities of Castro Valley, Ashland, Cherryland, Fairview, and San Lorenzo as well as the City of Hayward. There are over 50 parks and recreation facilities in the Hayward area, comprising approximately 3,700 acres (City of Hayward 2006b). Parks in the vicinity (2-mile radius) of the campus include Sorensdale Park to the southwest, College Heights and Canyon View Parks to the east, Hayward Memorial Park to the northwest, and East Avenue and San Felipe Parks to the north. These parks include four baseball fields, four multi-use fields, two tennis courts, four children's play areas, a basketball court, and other park amenities.

East Bay Regional Park District

The Hayward campus is bounded by Garin Regional Park to the south. The 1,442-acre park, which is managed by the East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD), includes hiking and horseback riding trails, picnic areas, and a fishing pond. The park is accessed from downtown Hayward by traveling south on Mission Boulevard and east on Garin Avenue.

Draft EIR Volume I, Pages 4.11-8 to 4.11-9

MP Impact PUB-3: The proposed Master Plan would not result in impacts to parks or other recreational facilities.

Level of Significance: Less than significant

At buildout of the proposed Master Plan, the Hayward campus is intended to accommodate an enrollment of 18,000 FTES. The increased student population would likewise increase the use of campus athletic and recreational facilities. Under the proposed Master Plan, existing recreational and athletic facilities would be maintained. The practice soccer field located south of Parking Lot A would be relocated slightly to the north, the gym complex would remain in its existing location with room to expand the main building and pool area, and informal recreation areas would be provided within the two student housing neighborhoods. A Recreation and Wellness Center will be completed in 2010 and will include a gymnasium, indoor jogging track, two activity rooms, outdoor adventure center, two massage therapy rooms, and other amenities within a 53,000-square-foot building. Modifications to existing recreational facilities as proposed in the proposed Master Plan are analyzed in all the other sections of this Draft EIR, and because of the developed nature of the campus would not result in substantial physical effects on the environment.

Implementation of the proposed Master Plan is not expected to increase the use of neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities in the project area; require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse effect on the environment; or otherwise adversely affect existing recreational opportunities. Use of off-campus recreational resources by the additional students and potential resident faculty and staff would be nominal because on-campus facilities would adequately support the campus population. Use of off-campus recreational resources by campus-related new population living in the wider Bay Area would also be nominal because the campus-related population would make a very small fraction of the population in each community (see **Section 4.10, Population and Housing**). Therefore, project impacts on recreational resources in the region would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure: No mitigation is required.

Draft EIR Volume II, Page 2.0-56

The analysis in Section 4.11, Volume 1, concluded that implementation of the proposed Master Plan is not expected to increase the use of neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities in the project area, or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that

might have an adverse effect on the environment. Modifications to existing recreational facilities as proposed in the Master Plan are analyzed in Volume 1 of this Draft EIR and would not result in substantial physical effects on the environment beyond those identified in this Draft EIR. The existing “ropes course area” on the project site would be demolished, but the proposed Recreation and Wellness Center for the campus, to be completed in 2009, would provide similar recreational opportunities in its outdoor adventure center. Additionally, the proposed project would provide an open space area in the center of the complex for passive recreational opportunities. Therefore, the CSUEB Hayward Campus Master Plan, including the PH Phase IV Project, would result in less than significant impacts related to park demand and the construction of new parks or expansion of existing parks off site. No additional project-level analysis of this impact is required.

APPENDIX B

Fall 2012 and Spring 2014 Park User Surveys

Survey Questionnaire

Garin/Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks Questionnaire

Information: The Campus is conducting a survey of students residing on the campus to determine whether students use the Garin/Dry Creek Pioneer Regional Parks.

Questions:

1. Are you associated with the CSU?
2. How are you associated?
 Student Faculty Staff
3. (If they are a student) Are you a commuting or a resident student?
4. How often do you come to the park?
 never daily weekly
 yearly monthly other
5. What type of activities are you planning on doing at the park?
 walking/running/hiking mountain biking/horseback riding
 camping picnicking/hanging out
 visit Garin Barn recreational games (horse shoes/sports)
6. How long are you planning on staying?

Fall 2012 Park User Surveys

CSU East Bay Hayward Campus Park Questionnaire

TOTAL COUNT: 107

Total Resident Students: 3

Time	Are you associated with the CSU?		How are you associated?			If a student, are you a commuting or resident student?		How often do you come to the park? Example: 1XD, 2XW	What are you planning on doing at the park?					How long are you planning on staying?
	Yes	No	Student	Faculty	Staff	Resident	Commuting		Hiking/ Walking/ Running	Biking/ Horseback Riding	Visit Garin Barn Visitor Center	Camping	Horse-shoes	
October 10, 2012 8:00 am to 1:30 pm Garin Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 52														
1	8:00		X											
2	8:24		X											
3	8:28		X											
4	8:36		X											
5	8:36		X											
6	8:49		X											
7	8:49		X											
8	8:49		X											
9	8:49		X											
10	8:59		X											
11	9:00		X											
12	9:02		X											
13	9:17		X											
14	9:18		X											
15	9:20		X											
16	9:25		X											
17	9:27		X											
18	9:27		X											
19	9:27		X											
20	9:35	X		X				X						
21	9:47		X											
22	9:57		X											
23	10:04		X											
24	10:05		X											
25	10:10		X											
26	10:15		X											
27	10:39		X											
28	10:50		X											
29	10:50		X											
30	10:50		X											
31	10:52		X											
32	11:17		X											
33	11:17		X											
34	11:20		X											
35	11:20		X											

TOTAL COUNT: 107

Total Resident Students: 3

Time	Are you associated with the CSU?		How are you associated?			If a student, are you a commuting or resident student?		How often do you come to the park?	What are you planning on doing at the park?					How long are you planning on staying?	
	Yes	No	Student	Faculty	Staff	Resident	Commuting	Example: 1XD, 2XW	Hiking/ Walking/ Running	Biking/ Horseback Riding	Visit Garin Barn Visitor Center	Camping	Horse-shoes	Picnicking	
36	11:36	X													
37	11:36	X													
38	12:00	X													
39	12:00	X													
40	12:07	X													
41	12:15	X													
42	12:17	X													
43	12:19	X													
44	12:19	X													
45	12:31	X													
46	12:39	X													
47	12:48	X													
48	12:55	X													
49	1:00	X													
50	1:00	X													
51	1:03	X													
52	1:10	X													
October 14, 2012 8:00 am to 12:30 pm Garin Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 50															
53	8:02	X		X				X			X				Less than five
54	8:03	X													
55	8:04	X													
56	8:06	X													
57	8:10	X													
58	8:10	X		X			X	Once a week	X						One hour
59	8:10	X													
60	8:20	X													
61	8:20	X													
62	8:25	X													
63	8:30	X													
64	8:40	X													
65	8:45	X													
66	8:47	X													
67	8:58	X													
68	9:00	X													
69	9:06	X													
70	9:06	X													
71	9:07	X													
72	9:15	X													

TOTAL COUNT: 107

Total Resident Students: 3

Time	Are you associated with the CSU?		How are you associated?			If a student, are you a commuting or resident student?		How often do you come to the park?	What are you planning on doing at the park?					How long are you planning on staying?	
	Yes	No	Student	Faculty	Staff	Resident	Commuting	Example: 1XD, 2XW	Hiking/ Walking/ Running	Biking/ Horseback Riding	Visit Garin Barn Visitor Center	Camping	Horse-shoes	Picnicking	
73	9:15	X													
74	9:29	X													
75	9:29	X													
76	9:43	X													
77	10:07	X													
78	10:20	X		X											
79	10:22	X													
80	10:29	X													
81	10:33	X													
82	10:50	X													
83	11:02	X													
84	11:10	X													
85	11:12	X													
86	11:22	X													
87	11:24	X													
88	11:50	X													
89	11:59	X													
90	11:59	X													
91	11:59	X													
92	12:05	X													
93	12:05	X													
94	12:06	X													
95	12:06	X													
96	12:15	X													
97	12:15	X													
98	12:15	X													
99	12:25	X													
100	12:25	X													
101	12:25	X													
102	12:25	X													
October 17, 2012 10:00 am to 2:00 pm CSU East Bay Hayward Lot C1 TOTAL COUNT: 3															
103	10:13	X		X				X							
128	10:40	X		X	X										Ecology Class*
129	10:40	X		X			X	Once a week	X						One hour
130	10:40	X		X			X	Once a week	X						One hour
October 17, 2012 10:00 am to 2:00 pm CSU East Bay Hayward Lot C TOTAL COUNT: 2															
131	10:16	X		X			X		X						Half hour
132	1:45	X		X			X	Once a day	X						One hour

* During the survey, an Ecology class of 25 student and a faculty member accessed the nearby CSUEB trails.

Spring 2014 Park User Surveys

CSU East Bay Hayward Campus Park Questionnaire

TOTAL COUNT		26		Total Resident Students				5							
Time	Are you associated with the CSU?		How are you associated?			If a student, are you a commuting or resident student?		How often do you come to the park? Example: 1XD, 2XW	What are you planning on doing at the park?						How long are you planning on staying?
	Yes	No	Student	Faculty	Staff	Resident	Commuting		Hiking/ Walking/ Running	Biking/ Horseback Riding	Visit Garin Barn	Camping	Recreational Games	Picnicking/ Hanging out	
May 7, 2014 8:00 am to 4:00 pm CSUEast Bay Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 2															
1	9:30	x		x				x	2xw	x					1 hr
2	9:30	x		x				x	1xw	x					1 hr
May 14, 2014 8:00 am to 4:00 pm CSUEast Bay Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 7															
3	10:23		x								x				4 hr
4	10:23		x								x				4 hr
5	10:23		x								x				4 hr
6	1:20		x							x					45 min
7	3:23	x		x			x		1xw	x					2 hr
8	3:30		x								x				2 hr
9	3:30		x								x				20 min
May 18, 2014 8:00 am to 4:00 pm CSUEast Bay Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 11															
10	11:15		x							x					1 hr
11	11:15		x							x					1 hr
12	11:45		x								x				2 hr
13	11:45		x							x					2 hr
14	11:48	x		x				x	1xm	x					2 hr
15	11:48	x		x				x	1xy	x					2 hr
16	1:30	x		x				x	1xw	x					1 hr
17	1:40		x						1xw	x					1 hr
18	1:40		x						1xw	x					1 hr
19	2:50	x		x			x		1xw	x		x			2 hr
20	3:30	x		x			x		1xw	x		x			2 hr
May 25, 2014 8:00 am to 4:00 pm CSUEast Bay Park Entrance TOTAL COUNT: 6															
21	10:38		x						2xw	x		x			1 hr
22	11:19		x						1xw	x					1 hr
23	11:19		x						1xw	x					1 hr
24	12:52		x						1xw	x		x			2 hr
25	3:30	x		x			x		1x3 w	x		x		x	2 hr
26	3:30	x		x			x		1x3 w	x		x		x	2 hr